



# Propositional Knowledge Representation for Biomedical Informatics

Stuart C. Shapiro, PhD<sup>1</sup> Daniel R. Schlegel, PhD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professor Emeritus, UB Department of Computer Science and Engineering Affiliated Professor Emeritus, UB Department of Linguistics Affiliated Professor Emeritus, UB Department of Philosophy ACM Distinguished Scientist Fellow, AAAI shapiro@buffalo.edu

> <sup>2</sup>Postdoctoral Associate UB Department of Biomedical Informatics drschlegel@buffalo.edu

### Goals of Talk

- Provide an appreciation of Knowledge Representation systems.
- ② Discuss benefits of propositional representation.
- Introduce the SNePS knowledge representation system.

### Outline

- Introduction: Knowledge Representation and Reasoning
- Focus of Representation: Objects, Classes, Propositions
- View of Representation: Logic, Frames, Graphs
  - The Logic View
  - The Frame View
  - The Graph View
- 4
  - SNePS
  - The Logic View of SNePS
  - The Frame View of SNePS
  - The Propositional Graph View of SNePS
- Benefits of Propositional Knowledge Representation
  - Conclusions

#### Outline



- Focus of Representation: Objects, Classes, Propositions
- 3 View of Representation: Logic, Frames, Graphs
  - The Logic View
  - The Frame View
  - The Graph View
- 4) SNe
  - The Logic View of SNePS
  - The Frame View of SNePS
  - The Propositional Graph View of SNePS
- 5 Benefits of Propositional Knowledge Representation
  - Conclusions

#### A well-defined declarative formalism for expressing information to be used by a computational system.

Well-defined: Having a clear syntax and semantics.

Clear syntax: A clear specification of atomic symbols and rules for combining them into expressions. Clear semantics: Clear meanings of atomic symbols and clear rules for determining the meanings of expressions.

Declarative: Each expression can independently be

entered by a user;

A well-defined declarative formalism for expressing information to be used by a computational system.

Well-defined: Having a clear syntax and semantics.

Clear syntax: A clear specification of atomic symbols and rules for combining them into expressions. Clear semantics: Clear meanings of atomic symbols and clear rules for determining the meanings of expressions.

Declarative: Each expression can independently be

• entered by a user;

A well-defined declarative formalism for expressing information to be used by a computational system.

Well-defined: Having a clear syntax and semantics.

Clear syntax: A clear specification of atomic symbols and rules for combining them into expressions.

Clear semantics: Clear meanings of atomic symbols and clear rules for determining the meanings of expressions.

Declarative: Each expression can independently be

entered by a user;

A well-defined declarative formalism for expressing information to be used by a computational system.

Well-defined: Having a clear syntax and semantics.

Clear syntax: A clear specification of atomic symbols and rules for combining them into expressions. Clear semantics: Clear meanings of atomic symbols and clear rules for determining the meanings of expressions.

#### Declarative: Each expression can independently be

entered by a user;

A well-defined declarative formalism for expressing information to be used by a computational system.

Well-defined: Having a clear syntax and semantics.

Clear syntax: A clear specification of atomic symbols and rules for combining them into expressions. Clear semantics: Clear meanings of atomic symbols and clear rules for determining the meanings of expressions.

Declarative: Each expression can independently be

- entered by a user;
- produced by the system in response to a user query.

#### Reasoning

The process of producing and using from the stored KR expressions additional KR expressions that are consequences of them.

### **Domain of Discourse**

#### The "world" within which the entities denoted by terms (what the terms mean) reside.

Could be:

the real world; the world of some theoretical discipline; a fictional or mythological world.

#### Knowledge Base (KB)

All the expressions of some KR system accessible by some computational system at some time.

#### User

A person supplying or obtaining information from the system.

(We are KR system designers/implementers. I am agnostic regarding (most) issues of content.)

#### Outline

#### Introduction: Knowledge Representation and Reasoning

#### Focus of Representation: Objects, Classes, Propositions

- 3 View of Representation: Logic, Frames, Graphs
  - The Logic View
  - The Frame View
  - The Graph View
- 4) SNel
  - The Logic View of SNePS
  - The Frame View of SNePS
  - The Propositional Graph View of SNePS
- 5 Benefits of Propositional Knowledge Representation
  - Conclusions

### Objects vs. Classes vs. Propositions

#### Objects: The individuals/instances in the domain of discourse. Dr. Jan's patient, Jane Doe.

Classes: Classes/categories of objects, sometimes called "concepts". The class of patients.

#### Propositions: Facts or beliefs about objects or classes.

Jane Doe is a patient.

Patients are persons.

Jane Doe has a prescription of metformin from Dr. Jan.

### Objects vs. Classes vs. Propositions

Objects: The individuals/instances in the domain of discourse. Dr. Jan's patient, Jane Doe.

Classes: Classes/categories of objects, sometimes called "concepts". The class of patients.

Propositions: Facts or beliefs about objects or classes.

Jane Doe is a patient.

Patients are persons.

Jane Doe has a prescription of metformin from Dr. Jan.

### Objects vs. Classes vs. Propositions

Objects: The individuals/instances in the domain of discourse. Dr. Jan's patient, Jane Doe.

Classes: Classes/categories of objects, sometimes called "concepts". The class of patients.

Propositions: Facts or beliefs about objects or classes.

Jane Doe is a patient.

Patients are persons.

Jane Doe has a prescription of metformin from Dr. Jan.

# What is a Proposition?

An expression in some language

- that can be true or false
- whose negation makes sense
- that can be believed or not
- whose negation can be believed or not
- that can be put in the frame "I believe that it is not the case that \_\_\_\_\_."

#### A sentence is an expression of a (written natural) language that begins with a capital letter and ends with a period, question mark, or exclamation point.

Some sentences do not contain a proposition: *"Hi!", "Why?", "Pass the salt!"* 

Some sentences do not express a proposition, but contain one: *"Does Jane have diabetes?"* 

A sentence is an expression of a (written natural) language that begins with a capital letter and ends with a period, question mark, or exclamation point.

Some sentences do not contain a proposition: *"Hi!", "Why?", "Pass the salt!"* 

Some sentences do not express a proposition, but contain one: *"Does Jane have diabetes?"* 

A sentence is an expression of a (written natural) language that begins with a capital letter and ends with a period, question mark, or exclamation point.

Some sentences do not contain a proposition: *"Hi!", "Why?", "Pass the salt!"* 

Some sentences do not express a proposition, but contain one: *"Does Jane have diabetes?"* 

A sentence is an expression of a (written natural) language that begins with a capital letter and ends with a period, question mark, or exclamation point.

Some sentences do not contain a proposition: *"Hi!", "Why?", "Pass the salt!"* 

Some sentences do not express a proposition, but contain one: *"Does Jane have diabetes?"* 

# Significance of Focus

#### What the user thinks about when giving and getting information.

What one can (easily) give and get information about.

The entities in the domain of discourse.

# Significance of Focus

# What the user thinks about when giving and getting information.

#### What one can (easily) give and get information about.

The entities in the domain of discourse.

# Significance of Focus

What the user thinks about when giving and getting information.

What one can (easily) give and get information about.

The entities in the domain of discourse.

# Focusing on Objects

Jane Doe

is a patient. is 56 years old. is female. has a prescription of metformin from Dr. Jan. has a prescription of cisplatin from Dr. Park.

#### Focusing on Classes

#### Primary Care Physicians are Physicians Clinical Oncologists are Physicians Physicians are Persons Patients are Persons

Physicians prescribe Treatments to Patients

Jane Doe is a Patient. Dr. Jan is a Primary Care Physician. Primary Care Physicians are Physicians. Metformin is a Medication.

Jane Doe is female.

Jane Doe has a prescription of metformin from Dr. Jan.

Dr. Park reported that Jane Doe has lung cancer.

Jane Doe is a Patient. Dr. Jan is a Primary Care Physician. Primary Care Physicians are Physicians. Metformin is a Medication.

Jane Doe is female.

Jane Doe has a prescription of metformin from Dr. Jan.

Dr. Park reported that Jane Doe has lung cancer.

Jane Doe is a Patient. Dr. Jan is a Primary Care Physician. Primary Care Physicians are Physicians. Metformin is a Medication.

Jane Doe is female.

Jane Doe has a prescription of metformin from Dr. Jan.

Dr. Park reported that Jane Doe has lung cancer.

Jane Doe is a Patient. Dr. Jan is a Primary Care Physician. Primary Care Physicians are Physicians. Metformin is a Medication.

Jane Doe is female.

Jane Doe has a prescription of metformin from Dr. Jan.

Dr. Park reported that Jane Doe has lung cancer.

Jane Doe is a Patient. Dr. Jan is a Primary Care Physician. Primary Care Physicians are Physicians. Metformin is a Medication.

Jane Doe is female.

Jane Doe has a prescription of metformin from Dr. Jan.

Dr. Park reported that Jane Doe has lung cancer.

### Outline

- Introduction: Knowledge Representation and Reasoning
- Focus of Representation: Objects, Classes, Propositions
- View of Representation: Logic, Frames, Graphs
  - The Logic View
  - The Frame View
  - The Graph View

#### SNePS

- The Logic View of SNePS
- The Frame View of SNePS
- The Propositional Graph View of SNePS
- 5 Benefits of Propositional Knowledge Representation
  - Conclusions

### A KB Could be

- A collection of logical expressions.
- A collection of frames.
- A graph.

### Outline

- Introduction: Knowledge Representation and Reasoning
- Focus of Representation: Objects, Classes, Propositions
  - View of Representation: Logic, Frames, Graphs
    - The Logic View
    - The Frame View
    - The Graph View

#### SNeP

- The Logic View of SNePS
- The Frame View of SNePS
- The Propositional Graph View of SNePS
- 5 Benefits of Propositional Knowledge Representation
  - Conclusions

# What is a Logic?

- A language for expressing domain entities and propositions. (Call expressions that express propositions "sentences".)
- Has operators to combine sentences into compound sentences.
- Allows a proposition to have a "belief" or "truth" status.
- Each operator specifies a way of determining the meaning of a compound sentence from the meanings of the combined sentences ("Compositional semantics.")
- A notion of logical consequence.
- A system for reasoning over propositions.
- Prevents reasoning from "truths" to "falsities".
  (But can reason from truths and falsities to truths and falsities.)
- A language for expressing domain entities and propositions. (Call expressions that express propositions "sentences".)
- Has operators to combine sentences into compound sentences.
- Allows a proposition to have a "belief" or "truth" status.
- Each operator specifies a way of determining the meaning of a compound sentence from the meanings of the combined sentences. ("Compositional semantics.")
- A notion of logical consequence.
- A system for reasoning over propositions.
- Prevents reasoning from "truths" to "falsities".
  (But can reason from truths and falsities to truths and falsities.)

- A language for expressing domain entities and propositions. (Call expressions that express propositions "sentences".)
- Has operators to combine sentences into compound sentences.
- Allows a proposition to have a "belief" or "truth" status.
- Each operator specifies a way of determining the meaning of a compound sentence from the meanings of the combined sentences ("Compositional semantics.")
- A notion of logical consequence.
- A system for reasoning over propositions.
- Prevents reasoning from "truths" to "falsities".
  (But can reason from truths and falsities to truths and falsities.)

- A language for expressing domain entities and propositions. (Call expressions that express propositions "sentences".)
- Has operators to combine sentences into compound sentences.
- Allows a proposition to have a "belief" or "truth" status.
- Each operator specifies a way of determining the meaning of a compound sentence from the meanings of the combined sentences. ("Compositional semantics.")
- A notion of logical consequence.
- A system for reasoning over propositions.
- Prevents reasoning from "truths" to "falsities".
  (But can reason from truths and falsities to truths and falsities.)

- A language for expressing domain entities and propositions. (Call expressions that express propositions "sentences".)
- Has operators to combine sentences into compound sentences.
- Allows a proposition to have a "belief" or "truth" status.
- Each operator specifies a way of determining the meaning of a compound sentence from the meanings of the combined sentences. ("Compositional semantics.")
- A notion of logical consequence.
- A system for reasoning over propositions.
- Prevents reasoning from "truths" to "falsities".
  (But can reason from truths and falsities to truths and falsities.)

- A language for expressing domain entities and propositions. (Call expressions that express propositions "sentences".)
- Has operators to combine sentences into compound sentences.
- Allows a proposition to have a "belief" or "truth" status.
- Each operator specifies a way of determining the meaning of a compound sentence from the meanings of the combined sentences. ("Compositional semantics.")
- A notion of logical consequence.
- A system for reasoning over propositions.
- Prevents reasoning from "truths" to "falsities".
  (But can reason from truths and falsities to truths and falsities.)

- A language for expressing domain entities and propositions. (Call expressions that express propositions "sentences".)
- Has operators to combine sentences into compound sentences.
- Allows a proposition to have a "belief" or "truth" status.
- Each operator specifies a way of determining the meaning of a compound sentence from the meanings of the combined sentences. ("Compositional semantics.")
- A notion of logical consequence.
- A system for reasoning over propositions.
- Prevents reasoning from "truths" to "falsities".
  (But can reason from truths and falsities to truths and falsities.)

# Outline

- Introduction: Knowledge Representation and Reasoning
- Focus of Representation: Objects, Classes, Propositions
  - View of Representation: Logic, Frames, Graphs
    - The Logic View
    - The Frame View
    - The Graph View

#### SNe

- The Logic View of SNePS
- The Frame View of SNePS
- The Propositional Graph View of SNePS
- 5 Benefits of Propositional Knowledge Representation
  - Conclusions

#### • A data structure in computer memory.

- Represents an entity in the domain.
- Has a set of named **slots**.
- Each slot represents a binary relation.
- The slots are not entities in the domain.
- Each slot is filled by one or more symbols or other frames.
- Frame<sub>1</sub>

Slot<sub>i</sub>: Frame<sub>2</sub> represents that the relation of Slot<sub>i</sub> holds between the entity of Frame<sub>1</sub> and the entity of Frame<sub>2</sub>.

#### • Computationally:

- A data structure in computer memory.
- Represents an entity in the domain.
- Has a set of named **slots**.
- Each slot represents a binary relation.
- The slots are not entities in the domain.
- Each slot is filled by one or more symbols or other frames.
- Frame<sub>1</sub>

Slot<sub>i</sub>: Frame<sub>2</sub> represents that the relation of Slot<sub>i</sub> holds between the entity of Frame<sub>1</sub> and the entity of Frame<sub>2</sub>.

• Computationally:

- A data structure in computer memory.
- Represents an entity in the domain.
- Has a set of named **slots**.
- Each slot represents a binary relation.
- The slots are not entities in the domain.
- Each slot is filled by one or more symbols or other frames.
- Frame<sub>1</sub>

Slot<sub>i</sub>: Frame<sub>2</sub> represents that the relation of Slot<sub>i</sub> holds between the entity of Frame<sub>1</sub> and the entity of Frame<sub>2</sub>.

#### • Computationally:

- A data structure in computer memory.
- Represents an entity in the domain.
- Has a set of named **slots**.
- Each slot represents a binary relation.
- The slots are not entities in the domain.
- Each slot is filled by one or more symbols or other frames.
- Frame<sub>1</sub>

Slot<sub>i</sub>: Frame<sub>2</sub> represents that the relation of Slot<sub>i</sub> holds between the entity of Frame<sub>1</sub> and the entity of Frame<sub>2</sub>.

• Computationally:

- A data structure in computer memory.
- Represents an entity in the domain.
- Has a set of named **slots**.
- Each slot represents a binary relation.
- The slots are not entities in the domain.
- Each slot is filled by one or more symbols or other frames.
- Frame<sub>1</sub>

Slot<sub>i</sub>: Frame<sub>2</sub> represents that the relation of Slot<sub>i</sub> holds between the entity of Frame<sub>1</sub> and the entity of Frame<sub>2</sub>.

• Computationally:

- A data structure in computer memory.
- Represents an entity in the domain.
- Has a set of named **slots**.
- Each slot represents a binary relation.
- The slots are not entities in the domain.
- Each slot is filled by one or more symbols or other frames.
- Frame<sub>1</sub>

Slot<sub>i</sub>: Frame<sub>2</sub> represents that the relation of Slot<sub>i</sub> holds between the entity of Frame<sub>1</sub> and the entity of Frame<sub>2</sub>.

#### • Computationally:

- A data structure in computer memory.
- Represents an entity in the domain.
- Has a set of named **slots**.
- Each slot represents a binary relation.
- The slots are not entities in the domain.
- Each slot is filled by one or more symbols or other frames.
- Frame<sub>1</sub>

Slot<sub>*i*</sub>: Frame<sub>2</sub> represents that the relation of Slot<sub>*i*</sub> holds between the entity of Frame<sub>1</sub> and the entity of Frame<sub>2</sub>.

• Computationally:

- A data structure in computer memory.
- Represents an entity in the domain.
- Has a set of named **slots**.
- Each slot represents a binary relation.
- The slots are not entities in the domain.
- Each slot is filled by one or more symbols or other frames.
- Frame<sub>1</sub>

Slot<sub>*i*</sub>: Frame<sub>2</sub> represents that the relation of Slot<sub>*i*</sub> holds between the entity of Frame<sub>1</sub> and the entity of Frame<sub>2</sub>.

#### • Computationally:

# Outline

- Introduction: Knowledge Representation and Reasoning
- Focus of Representation: Objects, Classes, Propositions

## View of Representation: Logic, Frames, Graphs

- The Logic View
- The Frame View
- The Graph View

#### SNePS

- The Logic View of SNePS
- The Frame View of SNePS
- The Propositional Graph View of SNePS
- 5 Benefits of Propositional Knowledge Representation
  - Conclusions

# What is a Graph?

#### Analytic geometry:



#### Graph theory:

- A Mathematical Structure
- A Data Structure
- A Picture

#### A Graph, G, is an ordered pair, $\langle V, E \rangle$ of:

- V: a set of entities, called vertices or nodes;
  E: a set of two element subsets of V called or
- *E*: a set of two-element subsets of *V*, called *edges*.

A Directed Graph, G, is an ordered pair,  $\langle V, E \rangle$  of:

V: a set of entities, called *vertices* or *nodes*;
 E: a set of ordered pairs, (v<sub>1</sub>, v<sub>2</sub>), called *arcs*, where v<sub>1</sub> and v<sub>2</sub> are in V.

A Labeled Directed Graph, G, is an ordered pair,  $\langle V, E \rangle$  of:

- V: a set of entities, called *vertices* or *nodes*;
- E: a set of ordered triples, called *arcs*, (v<sub>1</sub>, v<sub>2</sub>, *l*) where v<sub>1</sub> and v<sub>2</sub> are in V, and *l* is a symbol called a *label*.

- A Graph, G, is an ordered pair,  $\langle V, E \rangle$  of:
  - V: a set of entities, called vertices or nodes;
  - *E*: a set of two-element subsets of *V*, called *edges*.

#### A Directed Graph, G, is an ordered pair, $\langle V, E \rangle$ of:

- V: a set of entities, called *vertices* or *nodes*;
- 2 *E*: a set of ordered pairs,  $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ , called *arcs*, where  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are in *V*.

A Labeled Directed Graph, G, is an ordered pair,  $\langle V, E \rangle$  of:

- V: a set of entities, called *vertices* or *nodes*;
- E: a set of ordered triples, called *arcs*, (v<sub>1</sub>, v<sub>2</sub>, *l*) where v<sub>1</sub> and v<sub>2</sub> are in V, and *l* is a symbol called a *label*.

- A Graph, G, is an ordered pair,  $\langle V, E \rangle$  of:
  - V: a set of entities, called vertices or nodes;
  - *E*: a set of two-element subsets of *V*, called *edges*.

#### A Directed Graph, G, is an ordered pair, $\langle V, E \rangle$ of:

- V: a set of entities, called vertices or nodes;
- 2 *E*: a set of ordered pairs,  $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ , called *arcs*, where  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are in *V*.

A Labeled Directed Graph, G, is an ordered pair,  $\langle V, E \rangle$  of:

- V: a set of entities, called vertices or nodes;
- E: a set of ordered triples, called *arcs*, (v<sub>1</sub>, v<sub>2</sub>, *l*) where v<sub>1</sub> and v<sub>2</sub> are in V, and *l* is a symbol called a *label*.

- A Graph, G, is an ordered pair,  $\langle V, E \rangle$  of:
  - V: a set of entities, called vertices or nodes;
  - *E*: a set of two-element subsets of *V*, called *edges*.

#### A Directed Graph, G, is an ordered pair, $\langle V, E \rangle$ of:

- V: a set of entities, called *vertices* or *nodes*;
- 2 *E*: a set of ordered pairs,  $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ , called *arcs*, where  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are in *V*.

A Labeled Directed Graph, G, is an ordered pair,  $\langle V, E \rangle$  of:

- V: a set of entities, called vertices or nodes;
- E: a set of ordered triples, called *arcs*, (v<sub>1</sub>, v<sub>2</sub>, *l*) where v<sub>1</sub> and v<sub>2</sub> are in V, and *l* is a symbol called a *label*.

# A Graph as a Data Structure

#### A (Labeled, Directed) Graph is

- a set of data objects, called vertices or nodes;
- a set of labels.

such that, given a vertex  $v_i$  and a label  $I_j$ , there is constant time access to a set of vertices  $V_{ij}$ .

We say that an arc labeled  $l_i$  points from  $v_i$  to each vertex in  $V_{ij}$ .

## A Graph as a Picture, A Gallery of KR Picture Graphs



## WARNING

Just because two picture graphs look different doesn't mean that they are implemented differently as data structures.

Just because two picture graphs look the same doesn't mean that they are implemented the same way as data structures.

# Outline

- Introduction: Knowledge Representation and Reasoning
- 2 Focus of Representation: Objects, Classes, Propositions
- 3 View of Representation: Logic, Frames, Graphs
  - The Logic View
  - The Frame View
  - The Graph View

#### 4

#### SNePS

- The Logic View of SNePS
- The Frame View of SNePS
- The Propositional Graph View of SNePS
- 5 Benefits of Propositional Knowledge Representation
- Conclusions

# SNePS is a KRR system designed to represent the beliefs of a general, intelligent, natural-language-using, computational agent.

To represent anything an agent can conceive of.

Admit relations as entities in the domain.

Admit propositions as entities in the domain.

Allow propositions about any entity.

Uniqueness Principle: Anything represented is represented exactly once.

Therefore: Two different representations represent different (in some way) entities.

SNePS is a KRR system designed to represent the beliefs of a general, intelligent, natural-language-using, computational agent.

To represent anything an agent can conceive of.

Admit relations as entities in the domain.

Admit propositions as entities in the domain.

Allow propositions about any entity.

Uniqueness Principle: Anything represented is represented exactly once.

Therefore: Two different representations represent different (in some way) entities.

SNePS is a KRR system designed to represent the beliefs of a general, intelligent, natural-language-using, computational agent.

To represent anything an agent can conceive of.

#### Admit relations as entities in the domain.

Admit propositions as entities in the domain.

Allow propositions about any entity.

Uniqueness Principle: Anything represented is represented exactly once.

Therefore: Two different representations represent different (in some way) entities.

SNePS is a KRR system designed to represent the beliefs of a general, intelligent, natural-language-using, computational agent.

To represent anything an agent can conceive of.

Admit relations as entities in the domain.

Admit propositions as entities in the domain.

Allow propositions about any entity.

Uniqueness Principle: Anything represented is represented exactly once.

Therefore: Two different representations represent different (in some way) entities.

SNePS is a KRR system designed to represent the beliefs of a general, intelligent, natural-language-using, computational agent.

To represent anything an agent can conceive of.

Admit relations as entities in the domain.

Admit propositions as entities in the domain.

Allow propositions about any entity.

Uniqueness Principle: Anything represented is represented exactly once.

Therefore: Two different representations represent different (in some way) entities.

SNePS is a KRR system designed to represent the beliefs of a general, intelligent, natural-language-using, computational agent.

To represent anything an agent can conceive of.

Admit relations as entities in the domain.

Admit propositions as entities in the domain.

Allow propositions about any entity.

Uniqueness Principle: Anything represented is represented exactly once.

Therefore: Two different representations represent different (in some way) entities.

SNePS is a KRR system designed to represent the beliefs of a general, intelligent, natural-language-using, computational agent.

To represent anything an agent can conceive of.

Admit relations as entities in the domain.

Admit propositions as entities in the domain.

Allow propositions about any entity.

Uniqueness Principle: Anything represented is represented exactly once.

Therefore: Two different representations represent different (in some way) entities.

## **CSNePS**

CSNePS is the latest member of the SNePS Family of KR systems.

Implemented by Dan Schlegel.

The (C)SNePS KB can be thought of as simultaneously being:

- Term Logic based,
- Assertional Frame based,
- Propositional Graph based.

We have created a user interface which uses all three:

SNePS

## **CSNePS GUI**



## Outline

- Introduction: Knowledge Representation and Reasoning
- 2 Focus of Representation: Objects, Classes, Propositions
- 3 View of Representation: Logic, Frames, Graphs
  - The Logic View
  - The Frame View
  - The Graph View

## SNePS

- The Logic View of SNePS
- The Frame View of SNePS
- The Propositional Graph View of SNePS
- 5 Benefits of Propositional Knowledge Representation

## Conclusions

# KB as set of Logical Expressions

The CSNePS KB is a set of logical expressions:

- Atomic terms
  - Individual constants denoting entities in domain including classes, propositions, and some relations
- Arbitrary and indefinite terms [Shapiro, KR2004]
- Functional terms including
  - terms denoting individuals
  - terms denoting atomic propositions
  - terms denoting non-atomic propositions
- Use CLIF syntax.

Every logical expression is a term.

Allows propositions about propositions without leaving First-Order. Internal name of functional terms: wfti [!] for "well-formed term".
The CSNePS KB is a set of logical expressions:

- Atomic terms
  - Individual constants denoting entities in domain including classes, propositions, and some relations
- Arbitrary and indefinite terms [Shapiro, KR2004]
- Functional terms including
  - terms denoting individuals
  - terms denoting atomic propositions
  - terms denoting non-atomic propositions
- Use CLIF syntax.

Every logical expression is a term.

Allows propositions about propositions without leaving First-Order. Internal name of functional terms: wfti[!] for "well-formed term".

The CSNePS KB is a set of logical expressions:

- Atomic terms
  - Individual constants denoting entities in domain including classes, propositions, and some relations
- Arbitrary and indefinite terms [Shapiro, KR2004]
- Functional terms including
  - terms denoting individuals
  - terms denoting atomic propositions
  - terms denoting non-atomic propositions

### Use CLIF syntax.

Every logical expression is a term.

Allows propositions about propositions without leaving First-Order.

Internal name of functional terms: wft*i* [!]

for "well-formed term".

The CSNePS KB is a set of logical expressions:

- Atomic terms
  - Individual constants denoting entities in domain including classes, propositions, and some relations
- Arbitrary and indefinite terms [Shapiro, KR2004]
- Functional terms including
  - terms denoting individuals
  - terms denoting atomic propositions
  - terms denoting non-atomic propositions

### Use CLIF syntax.

Every logical expression is a term. Allows propositions about propositions without leaving First-Order. Internal name of functional terms: wft*i* [!] for "well-formed term".

The CSNePS KB is a set of logical expressions:

- Atomic terms
  - Individual constants denoting entities in domain including classes, propositions, and some relations
- Arbitrary and indefinite terms [Shapiro, KR2004]
- Functional terms including
  - terms denoting individuals
  - terms denoting atomic propositions
  - terms denoting non-atomic propositions
- Use CLIF syntax.

Every logical expression is a term.

Allows propositions about propositions without leaving First-Order.

Internal name of functional terms: wft*i* [!]

or "well-formed term".

The CSNePS KB is a set of logical expressions:

- Atomic terms
  - Individual constants denoting entities in domain including classes, propositions, and some relations
- Arbitrary and indefinite terms [Shapiro, KR2004]
- Functional terms including
  - terms denoting individuals
  - terms denoting atomic propositions
  - terms denoting non-atomic propositions

Use CLIF syntax.

Every logical expression is a term.

Allows propositions about propositions without leaving First-Order. Internal name of functional terms: wfti[!] for "well-formed term".

### Example Input

> (assert '(Isa "Jane Doe" Patient))
wft13!: (Isa Jane Doe Patient)

> (assert '(Isa "Dr. Park" "Clinical Oncologist"))
wft16!: (Isa Dr. Park Clinical Oncologist)

> (assert '(Isa "lung cancer" disease))
wft25!: (Isa lung cancer disease)

*"Dr. Park, a clinical oncologist, reported that Jane Doe, a patient, has lung cancer, a disease."* Note: wft27 gives meta-information.

Shapiro & Schlegel (CSE & BMI)

# Outline

- Introduction: Knowledge Representation and Reasoning
- 2 Focus of Representation: Objects, Classes, Propositions
- 3 View of Representation: Logic, Frames, Graphs
  - The Logic View
  - The Frame View
  - The Graph View

### SNePS

- The Logic View of SNePS
- The Frame View of SNePS
- The Propositional Graph View of SNePS
- 5 Benefits of Propositional Knowledge Representation
- Conclusions

 Based on "The Case for Case" [Fillmore, 1968] and The Berkeley FrameNet Project

- Frame
  - schematic representation of a situation with a set of participants and conceptual roles.
- Eliminates syntactic differences. E.g.
  - Dr. Jan prescribed metformin to Jane Doe.
  - Metformin was prescribed to Jane Doe by Dr. Jan.
  - Jane Doe has a prescription of metformin from Dr. Jan.
- We will use "caseframe" for their "frame"
- and use "frame" for an instantiated caseframe.

 Based on "The Case for Case" [Fillmore, 1968] and The Berkeley FrameNet Project

- Frame
  - schematic representation of a situation with a set of participants and conceptual roles.
- Eliminates syntactic differences. E.g.
  - Dr. Jan prescribed metformin to Jane Doe.
  - Metformin was prescribed to Jane Doe by Dr. Jan.
  - Jane Doe has a prescription of metformin from Dr. Jan.
- We will use "caseframe" for their "frame"
- and use "frame" for an instantiated caseframe.

 Based on "The Case for Case" [Fillmore, 1968] and The Berkeley FrameNet Project

- Frame
  - schematic representation of a situation with a set of participants and conceptual roles.
- Eliminates syntactic differences. E.g.
  - Dr. Jan prescribed metformin to Jane Doe.
  - Metformin was prescribed to Jane Doe by Dr. Jan.
  - Jane Doe has a prescription of metformin from Dr. Jan.
- We will use "caseframe" for their "frame"
- and use "frame" for an instantiated caseframe.

 Based on "The Case for Case" [Fillmore, 1968] and The Berkeley FrameNet Project

- Frame
  - schematic representation of a situation with a set of participants and conceptual roles.
- Eliminates syntactic differences. E.g.
  - Dr. Jan prescribed metformin to Jane Doe.
  - Metformin was prescribed to Jane Doe by Dr. Jan.
  - Jane Doe has a prescription of metformin from Dr. Jan.
- We will use "caseframe" for their "frame"
- and use "frame" for an instantiated caseframe.

 Based on "The Case for Case" [Fillmore, 1968] and The Berkeley FrameNet Project

- Frame
  - schematic representation of a situation with a set of participants and conceptual roles.
- Eliminates syntactic differences. E.g.
  - Dr. Jan prescribed metformin to Jane Doe.
  - Metformin was prescribed to Jane Doe by Dr. Jan.
  - Jane Doe has a prescription of metformin from Dr. Jan.
- We will use "caseframe" for their "frame"
- and use "frame" for an instantiated caseframe.

# **Components of Caseframes**

### Definition

- A caseframe has
  - A name<sup>a</sup>
  - A semantic type (sort) The type of the instances of the caseframe
  - An ordered list of named slots

<sup>a</sup>Temporary simplification for GUI.

#### The Frame View of SNePS

# Examples of Caseframes

### Example

Isa is a caseframe of type Proposition with slots member and class.

#### Example

prescription is a caseframe of type Proposition with slots prescribee, treatment, and prescriber.

### Frames vs. Logical Terms

- A frame is an instance of a caseframe.
- The logical term (F x<sub>1</sub>,..., x<sub>n</sub>) is represented by an instance of the caseframe named F whose slots, s<sub>1</sub>,..., s<sub>n</sub> are filled by the representations of x<sub>1</sub>,..., x<sub>n</sub>, respectively.

### Frames vs. Logical Terms: Example

```
(assert ' (prescription
          "Jane Doe" metformin "Dr. Jan"))
```

creates an instance of the prescription caseframe

whose prescribee slot contains the filler Jane Doe,

whose treatment slot contains the filler metformin,

and whose prescriber slot contains the filler Dr. Jan.

# Outline

- Introduction: Knowledge Representation and Reasoning
- 2 Focus of Representation: Objects, Classes, Propositions
- 3 View of Representation: Logic, Frames, Graphs
  - The Logic View
  - The Frame View
  - The Graph View

### 4 SNePS

- The Logic View of SNePS
- The Frame View of SNePS
- The Propositional Graph View of SNePS
- 5 Benefits of Propositional Knowledge Representation
  - Conclusions

### A way of visualizing and traversing the frames/logical expressions.

- Directed Acyclic Graph
- Every term is a node.
  - Individual constants
  - Arbitrary and Indefinite terms
  - Functional terms (frames)
  - Proposition-denoting functional terms
- Node ID is
  - symbol
  - frame name (wfti[!])
- Edges drawn

- Edges labeled by slot names.
- Collapse propositional node to binary edge labeled with relation where possible.

### A way of visualizing and traversing the frames/logical expressions.

### Directed Acyclic Graph

#### Every term is a node.

- Individual constants
- Arbitrary and Indefinite terms
- Functional terms (frames)
- Proposition-denoting functional terms
- Node ID is
  - symbol
  - frame name (wfti[!])
- Edges drawn

- Edges labeled by slot names.
- Collapse propositional node to binary edge labeled with relation where possible.

### A way of visualizing and traversing the frames/logical expressions.

Directed Acyclic Graph

#### Every term is a node.

- Individual constants
- Arbitrary and Indefinite terms
- Functional terms (frames)
- Proposition-denoting functional terms
- Node ID is
  - symbol
  - frame name (wfti[!])
- Edges drawn

- Edges labeled by slot names.
- Collapse propositional node to binary edge labeled with relation where possible.

A way of visualizing and traversing the frames/logical expressions.

- Directed Acyclic Graph
- Every term is a node.
  - Individual constants
  - Arbitrary and Indefinite terms
  - Functional terms (frames)
  - Proposition-denoting functional terms
- Node ID is
  - symbol
  - frame name (wfti[!])
- Edges drawn

- Edges labeled by slot names.
- Collapse propositional node to binary edge labeled with relation where possible.

A way of visualizing and traversing the frames/logical expressions.

- Directed Acyclic Graph
- Every term is a node.
  - Individual constants
  - Arbitrary and Indefinite terms
  - Functional terms (frames)
  - Proposition-denoting functional terms
- Node ID is
  - symbol
  - frame name (wfti[!])
- Edges drawn

- Edges labeled by slot names.
- Collapse propositional node to binary edge labeled with relation where possible.

A way of visualizing and traversing the frames/logical expressions.

- Directed Acyclic Graph
- Every term is a node.
  - Individual constants
  - Arbitrary and Indefinite terms
  - Functional terms (frames)
  - Proposition-denoting functional terms
- Node ID is
  - symbol
  - frame name (wft*i*[!])
- Edges drawn

- Edges labeled by slot names.
- Collapse propositional node to binary edge labeled with relation where possible.

A way of visualizing and traversing the frames/logical expressions.

- Directed Acyclic Graph
- Every term is a node.
  - Individual constants
  - Arbitrary and Indefinite terms
  - Functional terms (frames)
  - Proposition-denoting functional terms
- Node ID is
  - symbol
  - frame name (wfti[!])
- Edges drawn

- Edges labeled by slot names.
- Collapse propositional node to binary edge labeled with relation where possible.

A way of visualizing and traversing the frames/logical expressions.

- Directed Acyclic Graph
- Every term is a node.
  - Individual constants
  - Arbitrary and Indefinite terms
  - Functional terms (frames)
  - Proposition-denoting functional terms
- Node ID is
  - symbol
  - frame name (wft*i*[!])
- Edges drawn

- Edges labeled by slot names.
- Collapse propositional node to binary edge labeled with relation where possible.

A way of visualizing and traversing the frames/logical expressions.

- Directed Acyclic Graph
- Every term is a node.
  - Individual constants
  - Arbitrary and Indefinite terms
  - Functional terms (frames)
  - Proposition-denoting functional terms
- Node ID is
  - symbol
  - frame name (wfti[!])
- Edges drawn

- Edges labeled by slot names.
- Collapse propositional node to binary edge labeled with relation where possible.

A way of visualizing and traversing the frames/logical expressions.

- Directed Acyclic Graph
- Every term is a node.
  - Individual constants
  - Arbitrary and Indefinite terms
  - Functional terms (frames)
  - Proposition-denoting functional terms
- Node ID is
  - symbol
  - frame name (wfti[!])
- Edges drawn

- Edges labeled by slot names.
- Collapse propositional node to binary edge labeled with relation where possible.

A way of visualizing and traversing the frames/logical expressions.

- Directed Acyclic Graph
- Every term is a node.
  - Individual constants
  - Arbitrary and Indefinite terms
  - Functional terms (frames)
  - Proposition-denoting functional terms
- Node ID is
  - symbol
  - frame name (wfti[!])
- Edges drawn

- Edges labeled by slot names.
- Collapse propositional node to binary edge labeled with relation where possible.

A way of visualizing and traversing the frames/logical expressions.

- Directed Acyclic Graph
- Every term is a node.
  - Individual constants
  - Arbitrary and Indefinite terms
  - Functional terms (frames)
  - Proposition-denoting functional terms
- Node ID is
  - symbol
  - frame name (wfti[!])
- Edges drawn

- Edges labeled by slot names.
- Collapse propositional node to binary edge labeled with relation where possible.

## **Example Propositional Graph**



"Dr. Park, a clinical oncologist, reported that Jane Doe, a 56-year old female patient, has lung cancer, a disease."

Shapiro & Schlegel (CSE & BMI)

# Outline

- Introduction: Knowledge Representation and Reasoning
- 2 Focus of Representation: Objects, Classes, Propositions
- 3 View of Representation: Logic, Frames, Graphs
  - The Logic View
  - The Frame View
  - The Graph View
- 4) SNel
  - The Logic View of SNePS
  - The Frame View of SNePS
  - The Propositional Graph View of SNePS
- Benefits of Propositional Knowledge Representation
  - Conclusions

# N-ary Relations

# A relation among *n* arguments (prescription "Jane Doe" metformin "Dr. Jan")

#### corresponds to a frame with n slots

wft31

- prescribee: Jane Doe
- treatment: metformin
- prescriber: Dr. Jan

#### corresponds to a node with *n* outgoing arcs.



### **Representing Pedigree**

The pedigree of a proposition *P* is represented as an assertion about *P*.

Example: Dr. Park reported that Jane Doe has lung cancer.



# Negation

#### Issues:

#### • What is the relation between a proposition and its negation?

• For some schemes, there is none. (HasDisease "Jane Doe" arthritis) (DoesntHaveDisease "Jane Doe" arthritis)

(shouldTake "Jane Doe" metformin)
(shouldNotTake "Jane Doe" metformin)

• Negated arcs in hierarchies present reasoning difficulties.

# Negation

Issues:

- What is the relation between a proposition and its negation?
  - For some schemes, there is none.

(HasDisease "Jane Doe" arthritis) (DoesntHaveDisease "Jane Doe" arthritis)

(shouldTake "Jane Doe" metformin)
(shouldNotTake "Jane Doe" metformin)

• Negated arcs in hierarchies present reasoning difficulties.

# Negation

Issues:

- What is the relation between a proposition and its negation?
  - For some schemes, there is none.

(HasDisease "Jane Doe" arthritis) (DoesntHaveDisease "Jane Doe" arthritis)

(shouldTake "Jane Doe" metformin)
(shouldNotTake "Jane Doe" metformin)

• Negated arcs in hierarchies present reasoning difficulties.
## Negation

Issues:

- What is the relation between a proposition and its negation?
  - For some schemes, there is none.

(HasDisease "Jane Doe" arthritis) (DoesntHaveDisease "Jane Doe" arthritis)

(shouldTake "Jane Doe" metformin)
(shouldNotTake "Jane Doe" metformin)

• Negated arcs in hierarchies present reasoning difficulties.

# SNePS Approach to Negation

not is a proposition-valued functional term that takes a proposition as argument.

(not (HasDisease "Jane Doe" arthritis))



## Benefits of SNePS Approach 1

Can represent proposition and its negation independently. Example: Jane Doe claimed that she has arthritis, but she doesn't.



# Benefits of SNePS Approach 2

Can recognize contradictions.

Example: Jane Doe has arthritis, and she doesn't.



## **Representing Rules**

#### A rule (non-atomic proposition) is a proposition with a logical operator as relation and other propositions as arguments.



## Outline

- Introduction: Knowledge Representation and Reasoning
- 2 Focus of Representation: Objects, Classes, Propositions
- 3 View of Representation: Logic, Frames, Graphs
  - The Logic View
  - The Frame View
  - The Graph View
  - SNeP
    - The Logic View of SNePS
    - The Frame View of SNePS
    - The Propositional Graph View of SNePS
- Benefits of Propositional Knowledge Representation

### Conclusions

When choosing (or starting to use) a KR system,

- Consider
  - what the system invites you to focus on:
    - objects,
    - classes,
    - propositions;
  - how the system presents information:
    - logical expressions,
    - frames,
    - graphs;
  - how it will deal with:
    - n-ary relations,
    - negation,
    - pedigree,
    - attributes,
    - other meta-information.
- Don't be fooled by superficial differences.

When choosing (or starting to use) a KR system,

- Consider
  - what the system invites you to focus on:
    - objects,
    - classes,
    - propositions;
  - how the system presents information:
    - logical expressions,
    - frames,
    - graphs;
  - how it will deal with:
    - n-ary relations,
    - negation,
    - pedigree,
    - attributes,
    - other meta-information.
- Don't be fooled by superficial differences.

When choosing (or starting to use) a KR system,

- Consider
  - what the system invites you to focus on:
    - objects,
    - classes,
    - propositions;
  - how the system presents information:
    - logical expressions,
    - frames,
    - graphs;
  - how it will deal with:
    - n-ary relations,
    - negation,
    - pedigree,
    - attributes,
    - other meta-information.

• Don't be fooled by superficial differences.

When choosing (or starting to use) a KR system,

- Consider
  - what the system invites you to focus on:
    - objects,
    - classes,
    - propositions;
  - how the system presents information:
    - logical expressions,
    - frames,
    - graphs;
  - how it will deal with:
    - n-ary relations,
    - negation,
    - pedigree,
    - attributes,
    - other meta-information.
- Don't be fooled by superficial differences.

### For More Information

Shapiro: http://www.cse.buffalo.edu/~shapiro/ Schlegel: http://danielschlegel.org/ SNePS Research Group: http://www.cse.buffalo.edu/sneps/