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Gradient descent is a general purpose optimization technique which can be applied to optimize some arbitrary cost function $J$ on many prediction and classification algorithms.
Gradient Descent Algorithm

- Gradient descent update equations
  We want to choose $\theta$ so as to minimize cost function $J(\theta)$ with learning rate $\alpha$.

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta)$$

This update is simultaneously performed for all values of $j = 0, \ldots, n$

- Batch gradient descent.

Repeat until convergence {

$$\theta_j := \theta_j + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^{(i)} - h_\theta(x^{(i)})) x_j^{(i)} \quad \text{for every } j.$$ 

}

Here, $m$ is the number of samples.
Gradient Descent Illustration
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Basically, for $t$ iteration of a batch gradient descent on $m$ training samples, it requires a time $t \times (T_1 \times m + T_2)$. Here, $T_1$ is the time required to process each sample, and $T_2$ is the time required to update the parameters.

Normally $m \gg j$, $j$ is the number of parameter. For example, $m$ would be very large, say 100,000,000. So when $m$ is large, it can be very time consuming!

If we consider optimization problem, the algorithm is more expensive.

We need to parallel batch gradient descent!
Parallel Scenario

For each iteration (400 samples, for example):

- Each work calculates local gradient
- Send to a centralized master server and put them back together
- Update $\theta$ using $\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \left( \frac{1}{400} (temp_j^{(1)} + temp_j^{(2)} + temp_j^{(3)} + temp_j^{(4)}) \right)$
- Ideally, we can get 4X speed up
Parallel Implementation -- Initialization

Dataset

Features (n dimensions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>F_1</th>
<th>F_2</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>F_n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Master Node:
1. Split data to p buckets for workers_1 to worker_p evenly and the last bucket also store the extra samples.
2. Send number of samples to workers such as n_1, n_2, …n_p for initialization
Parallel Implementation -- Update Gradient

**Update Weight \( \theta_j \) at the Master Node**

1. Send weight to each worker. We initialize weight to 1 at first time

**Worker:**

1. Receive data from corresponding bucket by id and number of samples sent from Master node
2. Calculate local gradient for each worker, for example, \( temp_j^{(1)} \) is the gradient for the first worker.
3. Send local gradient to the master code

**Master Node:**

Sum up local gradient and update weight for \( \theta_j \) (\( j=1 \ldots n \)) simultaneously
Parallel Implementation -- Cost and Termination

**Master Node:**
If Error\_new is less than Error\_old, update Error\_old with Error\_new and repeat program. Actually Error\_old keep decreasing until finding a minimum. We initialize Error\_old to a large number. Else, end program.

**Worker:**
1. Calculate local error which is the number of samples we got wrong for each worker.
2. Send local error to the master code

Master Node:
Sum up local error and compared with the minimum error Error\_old

\[
\text{Error\_new} = \text{Error}^{(1)} + \text{Error}^{(2)} + \ldots + \text{Error}^{(p)}
\]

T is the number of iteration, for example, 25
Experiment Setup

• Dataset: NHANES -- National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (24,000 × 9999) contains data of 24,000 persons ages 2 months and older for disease risk factor analysis.

• Master node is in charge of job distribution and collection. Worker do computation.

• Experiment 1: # of node = 2 (fixed)
  # of PPN = 2,3,4,5,6,7,8

• Experiment 2: # of PPN = 2 (fixed)
  # of node = 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8

• We use 2,4,6, … 64 cores to set up the experiment and plot performance graph. One core works as master node, is mainly in charge of collecting data. Other cores do computation work.
Experiment Results -- fixing the number of node

Running time with different cores (#of node=2)

- # of ppn: from 2 to 8
- # of node: 2
- Total cores: (# of node) × (# of ppn)
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Results Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of node</th>
<th># of PPN</th>
<th># of total core</th>
<th>Run time</th>
<th>Speedup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>3.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>5.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>7.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>8.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>9.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>10.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>5.240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of node</th>
<th># of PPN</th>
<th># of total core</th>
<th>Run time</th>
<th>Speedup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>3.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>5.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>7.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>9.582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>11.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>6.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>5.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table1 : Experiment when fixing node number

Table2 : Experiment when fixing PPN number

- The bottom point is $T(14)=214\text{ms}$ for the fixed node case. While the bottom point is $T(12)=204\text{ms}$ for the fixed PPN case.
- The fixed node running time is slight less than the fixed PPN case.

**Conclusion:** Intra-Node communication performance gives better performance than Inter-Node communication for this dataset (24,000 samples)
Program converges within different iterations. So to measure our performances, we’d better provide the unit iteration performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core #</th>
<th>Iteration #</th>
<th>Running time (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Experiment Result -- Unit iteration

Running time with different cores (#of node=2)

Speedup with different cores (#of node=2)
Algorithm Improvement

**Improvement on Cost and Termination for previous algorithm:**

Instead of comparing the new error with the old error, we fix iteration to 25 since program always converges within 10 iterations. In each iteration, the Worker calculates local gradient and local error \( \text{Error}_i \) and the Master node updates the parameter \( \theta_j \), saving the global error for the \( i \)th iteration. After 25 iterations, we choose the parameter which minimizes the global error.

\[
\text{Error}_i = \text{Error}^{(1)} + \text{Error}^{(2)} + \ldots + \text{Error}^{(p)}
\]
Experiment Results for Algorithm Improvement

Running time with different cores (#of node=2)

Speedup with different cores (#of node=2)
Discussion

Questions & Answers
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