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## The Problem

## K-means Clustering

Dividing a large vector filled of points into smaller groups which are organized according to a centroid point, each group must have almost the same number of components.


Centroids (k)

## Algorithm Description

K-means clustering
$\Rightarrow$ It has by objective to partition $n$ elements into $k$ clusters.
$\Rightarrow$ The partition is made grouping the observed elements according to it proximity with one of the $k$ elements using as centroids.
$\Rightarrow$ The distance between a centroid $(k)$ and a point is calculated by:

- Euclidean Distance Metric:

Point - K = |Distance/ (Absolute value result)

## Parallel Algorithm Implementation (MPI)

$\Rightarrow$ In order to make the $k$ - means clustering problem parallel, the following steps will be implemented:

## Data organization

P1 ... Pn


1- P processors, each will contain nxTn data values (points) randomly assigned.

2- Three k values (centroids) will be used in each iteration to determinate the clusters.

## Parallel Algorithm Implementation (MPI)

Algorithm
$\Rightarrow$ Iterative algorithm
1- For the first iteration 3 k values (centroids) will be determinate randomly.

2- Each PE in parallel will calculate the clusters associated to each k using the Euclidean Distance Metric.

## Parallel Algorithm Implementation (MPI)

3- Each PE in parallel will calculate the median value of each of its cluster.

- Media:

1- Determinate a frequency table containing each point in the cluster frequency.

2- Calculate the media position according to the frequency table and hence the median value will be obtained.

## Parallel Algorithm Implementation (MPI)

4- Each PE will broadcast its medians for each cluster to all other PEs.

5- In parallel each PE will determinate a new median for each cluster using the received data and its just calculated median.

6- Each PE will check for each cluster the different between the new calculated median and it previous calculated median.

## Parallel Algorithm Implementation (MPI)

## Final Conditions

- When the different between old and new median (error value) is minimal or zero the iteration process stops under normal considerations.
- For simplicity of the algorithm, in this case the number of iterations made was predetermined to avoid infinite iterations (10 itarations).
- For each iteration (except first one) the K values will be the closest medians to 0 determinate in previous iteration.


## Test Cases \& Conclusions

1- Same centroids, different data, same \# processors, same \# tasks.

2- Same centroids, same data, different \# processors.
3- Same centroids, same data, different \# tasks.
4- Different centroids, different data, different \# processors.

5- Different centroids, different data, different \# tasks.
6- Same data, different \# processors.

Test Case 1: Same centroids, different data, same \# processors, same \# tasks.


| K | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 100 | 2 | 8 | 0.13 |
| 3 | 1000 | 2 | 8 | 0.28 |
| 3 | 2000 | 2 | 8 | 0.35 |
| 3 | 5000 | 2 | 8 | 0.80 |
| 3 | 9000 | 2 | 8 | 3.03 |

K = \# centroids
d = \# data
P = \# processor
T = \# tasks

## Conclusion

The processing time dramatically increase.

Test Case 2: Same centroids, same data, different \# processors.


| K | d | P | Time.sec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 100 | 2 | 0.13 |
| 3 | 100 | 4 | 0.14 |
| 3 | 100 | 8 | 0.29 |
| 3 | 100 | 16 | 0.43 |

K = \# centroids
d = \# data
P = \# processor

## Conclusion

The processing time slowly increase.

Test Case 3: Same centroids, same data, different \# tasks.


| K | d | T | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 100 | 2 | 0.05 |
| 3 | 100 | 4 | 0.06 |
| 3 | 100 | 8 | 0.13 |
| 3 | 100 | 16 | 0.24 |

K = \# centroids
d = \# data
T = \# tasks

## Conclusion

The processing time slowly increase.

Test Case 4: Different centroids, different data, different \# processors.


| $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 100 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 6 | 1000 | 4 | 0.35 |
| 12 | 5000 | 8 | 25.54 |

K = \# centroids
d = \# data
P = \# processor

## Conclusion

The processing time dramatically increase.

Test Case 5: Different centroids, different data, different \# tasks.


| K | d | T | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 100 | 2 | 0.05 |
| 6 | 1000 | 4 | 0.12 |
| 12 | 5000 | 8 | 4.95 |

K = \# centroids
d = \# data
T = \# tasks

## Conclusion

The processing time dramatically increase.

Test Case 6: Same data, different \# processors.


| $\mathbf{P}$ | time, $\mathbf{s e c}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.85 |
| 4 | 0.18 |
| 8 | 0.07 |
| 16 | 0.05 |
| 32 | 0.06 |

Total data, $\mathrm{N}=12288$, is divided by an increasing $P$ in every stage

## Conclusion

The processing time slowly decrease until the \# processors is to high and the data per $P$ is too low.

## Questions?



