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## Why LASSO?

- LASSO is short for least absolute shrinkage and selection operator.
- It is a regression analysis method that performs both variable selection and regularization.
- It enhances the prediction accuracy and interpretability of the resulting statistical model.
- It has a variety of interpretations in terms of geometry, Bayesian statistics and convex analysis.
- It helps in the models analysis and provide an optimum linear combination.
- Its applications include cross-section of return forecasts and asset portfolio management etc.


## Lasso: Formulation

A subset-selection problem in linear regression:

$$
y=X \beta
$$

where $y$ is $n \times 1, X$ is $n \times K, \beta$ is $K \times 1$. $n$ is the sample size, $K$ is the number of features (candidate variables).

We can solve $\beta$ by

$$
\min _{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p}}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\|y-X \beta\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\|\beta\|_{1}\right\}
$$

## LASSO: Optimization

We can solve the optimization problem by considering it as an OLS problem with a constraint, i.e.,

$$
\beta^{O L S}=\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T} Y
$$

s.t.

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{K}\left|\beta_{j}\right| \leq c
$$

## LASSO: Computational Complexity

For $\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1} X^{T} Y$,

- ( $\left.X^{T} X\right)$ takes $\mathcal{O}\left(n K^{2}\right)$ time and produces a $(K \times K)$ matrix.
- The inverse of a $(K \times K)$ matrix takes $\mathcal{O}\left(K^{3}\right)$ time.
- ( $\left.X^{T} Y\right)$ takes $\mathcal{O}\left(n K^{2}\right)$ time and produces a $(K \times K)$ matrix.
- The final matrix multiplication of two $(K \times K)$ matrices takes $\mathcal{O}\left(K^{3}\right)$ time.

The computational complexity of LASSO implemented using LARS algorithm (Efron et al., 2004) is $\mathcal{O}\left(K^{3}+n K^{2}\right)$.

## Matrix Multiplication: Parallel Implementation

- For typical LASSO settings $K \gg n$, so the computational complexity $\mathcal{O}\left(K^{3}+n K^{2}\right)$ then become $\mathcal{O}\left(K^{3}\right)$.


$$
\mathrm{o}\left(K^{3}+N K^{2}\right) \stackrel{K \gg \mathrm{n}}{\Longleftrightarrow} \mathrm{o}\left(K^{3}\right)
$$



$$
\mathrm{O}\left(K^{3}+n_{\max } K^{2}\right) \stackrel{K \gg \mathrm{n}}{\square} \mathrm{O}\left(K^{3}\right)
$$

- Therefore the data parallelism which divide the matrix X along example dimension $n$ does not boost the regression process.
- A possible way to improve the performance is to apply MPI to the matrix multiplication.


## Matrix Multiplication: MPI Implementation

Consider matrix multiplication $M_{1} \cdot M_{2}=M_{3}$.


## LASSO with MPI Matrix Multiplication

## Algorithm 1 LASSO coefficients

Input: $\operatorname{DataSet}(X, Y), \operatorname{lr}$ (learning rate), $p$ (penalty)
Output: $\beta$ (LASSO coefficients)

```
1: for \(X, Y\) in DataSet do
2: \(\quad Y_{\text {pred }} \leftarrow X \cdot \beta\)
3: \(\quad d \beta \leftarrow\left(-2 \cdot X \cdot\left(Y-Y_{\text {pred }}\right)+I(\beta) \cdot p\right) / X\).shape \([0]\)
4: \(\quad \beta \leftarrow \beta-l r \cdot d \beta\)
```

5: end for
6: return $\beta$

## Results

We conduct our experiment on UB-CCR debug partition nodes, which has 12 cores per node.

- If cores smaller than 12 , deploy on 1 node, else on 2 nodes
- For MPI, 1 core as master and the rest as computational cores
- Matrix Multiplication: $M 1 \cdot M 2$, each matrix is of $500 \times 500$
- LASSO: 30 examples, each example has 250 features

Experiment (CCR debug-partition \#core=12)

| \#Cores | MM/s | Speedup | LASSO/ms | Speedup |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 23.876 | 1.00 | 351 | 1.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 23.046 | 1.04 | 336 | 1.04 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 7.387 | 3.23 | 110 | 3.19 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 4.604 | 5.19 | 69 | 5.09 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 3.317 | 7.20 | 48 | 7.31 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 2.611 | 9.14 | 38 | 9.24 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 2.214 | 10.78 | 33 | 10.64 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 8.753 | 2.73 | 147 | 2.39 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 1 1 7}$ | 2.62 | 157 | 2.24 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 10.335 | 2.31 | 166 | 2.11 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 10.668 | 2.24 | 173 | 2.03 |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 12.789 | 1.87 | 194 | 1.81 |

- MM/s

- LASSO/ms



## Results

We compare the time using in total 24 cores on $6,8,12$ and 24 nodes for the Matrix Multiplication.

- Time (s)

| \# nodes * \# codes per node | Time (s) | Speed up |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 * 4 | 17.954 | $1 x$ |
| 8 * 3 | 18.973 | $0.946 x$ |
| 12 * 2 | 18.434 | $0.974 x$ |
| 24 * 1 | 12.253 | $1.465 x$ |

## Conclusion

- MPI in matrix multiplication and LASSO achieves linear speedup wrt. number of cores on single node.
- LASSO has similar speed up with matrix multiplication, which shows the correctness of our computational complexity analysis and implementation.
- MPI on multiple nodes may suffer from the communication as shown in the previous section, the best performance is achieved when we utilize all the cores.
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