## MATRIX MULTIPLICATION USING MPI

Adithya Raman

Final Review (12/02/2021)

工面University at Buffalo The sate unvesity of New vork

## Matrix Multiplication

- A matrix is linear transformation
- Applications in
- Graphics:
- Scaling, Translations and Rotations of vectors
- Can represent a system of linear equations
- In general if $A$ is $(l \times m)$ and $B$ is $(m \times n)$ then the product is an $(I \times n)$ matrix whose elements are :

- $\mathrm{C}_{1 * \mathrm{n}}=$


## Straight forward single processor serial multiplication

```
Algorithm 1: The Naive Matrix Multiplication Algorithm
    Data: S/A//B/,P/G//H/
    Result: Q///]
    if B}==G\mathrm{ then
        for m}=0:m<A:m++\mathrm{ do
            for r=0:r<H:r++ do
            Q[m]/r]=0;
            for }k=0:k<G:k++\mathrm{ do
            | Q (m)/r] + =S S/m]/k]*P[k//r];
            end
            end
            end
    end
```



- Multiplying a matrix of size (AxB) with a matrix of size ( $B x C$ ) using the naive approach gives a complexity of
- $O\left(A^{*} B^{*} C\right)$


## Cannon's Algorithm (working with square matrices)



- Let $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{\mathrm{nxn}}$ and $B=\left[b_{i j}\right]_{\mathrm{nxn}}$ be two matrices
- To compute $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{AB}$ using ' $p$ ' processors:
- Partition $A$ and $B$ into $p$ square blocks $A_{i, j}$ and $B_{i, j}$ such that ( $0<=i, j<=p^{1 / 2}$ )
. Size of each block will be $\left(n / p^{1 / 2}\right) x\left(n / p^{1 / 2}\right)$
- Initialize C sub-blocks at each processor with size $\left(n / p^{1 / 2}\right) x\left(n / p^{1 / 2}\right)$ and values as 0


## - Algorithm:

1) At each processor compute the partial sum of the $C$ sub-block in that processor using current A sub-block and B-sub-block
2) Shift A sub-block one step to the left
3) Shift B sub-block one step up
es


## Parallel Algorithm Performance

| nodes | $\mathrm{T}(250 \times 250)$ | $\mathrm{T}(500 \times 500)$ | $\mathrm{T}(750 \times 750)$ | T <br> $(1000 \times 1000$ <br> $)$ | T <br> $(10000 \times 1000$ <br> $0)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.096 | 0.489 | 1.62 | 3.53 | $\sim$ |
| 2 | 0.130 | 0.67 | 1.706 | 7.23 | $\sim$ |
| 4 | 0.072 | 0.36 | 0.98 | 2.42 | $\sim$ |
| 8 | 0.041 | 0.246 | 0.53 | 1.089 | $\sim$ |
| 16 | 0.034 | 0.18 | 0.32 | 0.56 | 580.794 |
| 32 | 0.044 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 367.178 |
| 64 | 0.058 | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0.54 | 161.535 |
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## Speedup



Note :

- We use the runtime of the best performing serial algorithm to calculate speedup
- The serial algorithm I used is NOT the most efficient.


## Concluding Remarks

- Efficiency of the parallel algorithm decreases with increasing number of nodes
- Beyond 32 nodes the run-time of parallel algorithm increases
- Speedup is much higher for larger problem sizes
- Comparing the $1000 \times 1000$ vs the $250 \times 250$ execution

