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## - Background

Odd-even transposition sort is a relatively simple sorting algorithm, developed originally for use on parallel processors with local interconnections. It is a comparison sort related to bubble sort, with which it shares many characteristics.

- It functions by comparing all odd/even indexed pairs of adjacent elements in the list and, if a pair is in the wrong order (the first is larger than the second) the elements are switched.
- The next step repeats this for even/odd indexed pairs (of adjacent elements).

- Then it alternates between odd/even and even/odd steps until the list is sorted.
- The total steps of odd-even transposition sort will no more than the total number of elements. ${ }^{1)}$
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- Sequential algorithm
- Example: given a list of $\{5,9,4,3\}$
- 1. odd phase $(9,4) \rightarrow\{5,4,9,3\}$
- 2. even phase( 5,4 ), $(9,3) \rightarrow\{4,5,3,9\}$
- 3 . odd phase $(5,3) \rightarrow\{4,3,5,9\}$
- 4. even phase $(4,3),(5,9) \rightarrow\{3,4,5,9\}$
- Running time
- The running time is like bubblesort, is simple but not very efficient.
- O( $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ )
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- Parallel Algorithm (recap)

Suppose we have $n$ elements need to be sorted and p processors. Each processor should be responsible for $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{p}$ elements.

1. Sort the local elements in each processor, use a fast sequential sorting algorithm like quick sort.
2. Now each processor contains a local sorted elements
3. Swap processors' elements:
4. Odd phase: $\operatorname{swap}(p[1], p[2]),(p[3], p[4]) \ldots$
5. Even phase: swap (p[0], p[1]), (p[2], p[3]) ...
6. Since each processor has stored more than one elements, we let the left side processor in the pair keep the smaller half of the elements, the right side processor in the pair keep the larger half of the elements..
7. Keep iterating odd and even phase until all elements are sorted
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- SLURM script and config
- SLURM script to run the sorting algorithm on the CCR

```
#!/bin/sh 
#SBATCH --partition=gen
#SBATCH --nodes=16
#SBATCH --constraint=IB
#SBATCH --ntasks-per-node=
#SBATCH --job-name="sortingTest
#SBATCH --output=job.node_16_key2.out
#SBATCH --exclusive
echo "SLURM_JOB_ID"=$SLURM_JOB_ID
echo "SLURM_JOB_NODELIST"=$SLURM_JOB_NODELIST
echo "SLURM_NNODES"=$SLURM_NNODES
echo "SLURMTMPDIR"=$SLURMTMPDIR
#The initial srun will trigger the SLURM prologue on the compute nodes.
module load intel/12.1
module load intel-mpi/5.1.
#key1: 100,000
#/nodes: 2: 50000 4: 25000 8: 12500 16: 6250 32: 3215 64: 1563
#key2: 200,000
#/nodes: 2: 100000 4: 50000 8: 25000 16: 12500 32: 6250 64: 3215
#key3: 1,000,000
key3: 1,000,000
#/nodes: 2: 500000 4: 250000 8: 125000 16: 62500 32: 32150 64: 15625
#key4: 2,000,000
#/nodes: 2: 1000000 4: 500000 8: 250000 16: 125000 32: 62500 64: 32150
lodes=16
key=key2
sep="
mpicc -o node_$nodes$sep$key main.c
#arg1: key_size, arg2: nums_nodes
mpirun /user/hwang67/node_$nodes$sep$key 200000 $nodes
echo "sorted"
```
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- Goal to achieve
- Use different size of array and different number of processors to implement parallel odd-even transposition sort to get the run time of the algorithm.
- Make graph of the results achieved and find the relationship between task size, number of processors and the run time.


# EXPERIMENT RESULT 

Different key size
Different number of processors

| Number of processors : | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}(\mathbf{s})$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 , 0 0 0}(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0.030 | 0.06465 |
| 4 | 0.0170 | 0.03499 |
| 8 | 0.0092 | 0.0188 |
| 16 | 0.0055 | 0.01096 |
| 32 | 0.0037 | 0.0070 |
| 64 | 0.0023 | 0.0059 |
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## EXPERIMENT RESULT



Number of nodes


| Number of processors : | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0} \mathbf{( s )}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0.2864 | 0.5768 |
| 4 | 0.1571 | 0.3003 |
| 8 | 0.09425 | 0.17028 |
| 16 | 0.05493 | 0.10324 |
| 32 | 0.03490 | 0.06815 |
| 64 | 0.0278 | 0.04853 |
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## EXPERIMENT RESULT




Fixed keySize and scale Results

| Number of <br> processors : | $\mathbf{1}$ million <br> per node $(\mathbf{s})$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ million <br> per node $(\mathbf{s})$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ million <br> per node $(\mathbf{s})$ | $\mathbf{8 0}$ million <br> per node $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0.5768 | 7.147839 | 14.78549 | 63.7654 |
| 4 | 0.609313 | 7.445081 | 15.37767 | 66.1508 |
| 8 | 0.702924 | 7.895275 | 16.28316 | 70.1465 |
| 16 | 0.786155 | 7.91641 | 16.76659 | 68.5454 |
| 32 | 0.865806 | 9.510384 | 19.7052 | 90.932 |
| 64 | 1.142172 | 12.579163 | 26.4245 | 124.3056 |
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## FIXED KEY SIZE PER NODE AND SCALE RESULT
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## FIXED KEY SIZE PER NODE AND SCALE RESULT



## Speed up

- The speedup is defined as the ratio of the serial runtime of the best sequential algorithm for solving a problem to the time taken by the parallel algorithm to solve the same problem on p processors.

$$
S=\frac{T_{S}}{T_{P}}
$$
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## SPEED UP (KEY SIZE: 100,000)

$\rightarrow$ Nodes


## Observation and Learning

- Record the difference in running time of different size of input and different number of nodes
- Parallel solution can speed up the Odd-even transposition running time
- For the same key size, when the nodes are doubles, the time required to sort the array decreases nearly by the factor of two. However, there is an additional overhead because of communication time between nodes.
- If the key size per node are fixed, while the nodes and total key size are doubled, the running time does not be the same since there is communication time between each nodes while in the sorting process.
- Learning how to work on the CCR
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## Reference:

- http://mpitutorial.com/ MPI Tutorials
- https://ubccr.freshdesk.com/support/solutions/articles/13000026245-tutorials-and-training-documents CCR Tutorials
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Odd\�\�\�even sort


## Thank you



