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## Problem Definition: Image Blurring

Image blurring is a type of image filtering which is everywhere in our daily lives. filtered photos (blurred, sharppend etc.) are ubiquitous in our social media feeds, magazines, books.

## The essence of image blurring (or any

 other type of filtering) is Matrix Multiplication. Which apply a kernel (matrix) on the image matrix to change its value and repeat the multiplication for each of the pixel in image matrix.
## $1 / 9$ * $2+1 / 9$ * $2+1 / 9$ * $4+$

$1 / 9$ * $2+1 / 9$ * $2+1 / 9$ * $5+$
$1 / 9 * 5+1 / 9 * 5+1 / 9 * 5=4$


Img 1. image filtering . Source: https://www.imgtec.com/blog/heterogeneous-compute-case-study-image-convolution-filtering/

## Image Blurring Sequential algorithm:

$\square$ Given a image with size $m \times n$, and a filter of size $r \times r$
$\square$ Do a $r x r$ size matrix multiplication for each pixel in the image matrix.
$\square$ There are a total of $\mathrm{m}^{*} \mathrm{n}$ pixels in the image and the time complexity for a matrix multiplication is $\mathrm{O}\left(r^{3}\right)$. Thus, the overall time complexity of the sequential algorithm is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{m}^{*} \mathrm{n}^{*} r^{3}\right)$.
$\square$ For simplicity, we assume $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{r}$. So the time complexity of the sequential algorithm is $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{5}\right)$.

Filter matrix Image matrix (size : m * $n$ )


```
for (i=0; i < m; i++)
    for (j = 0; i < n; j++)
        matrix_multiplication(); O(r 3}
    end for
end for
```

Overall time complexity of this algorithm is $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{5}\right)$

## Image Blurring with parallel matrix multiplication

1.Partition these matrices in square blocks $p$, where $p$ is the number of processes available. So there are sqrt(p) * sqrt(p) submatrices.
2. Each process ( Pij ) can maintain a submatrix of A matrix (Aij) and a submatrix of B matrix (Bij).
3.Each block is sent to each process, and the copied sub blocks are multiplied together and the results added to the partial results in the C sub-blocks.
4. The A sub-blocks are rolled one step to the left and the $B$ sub-blocks are rolled one step upward.
5.Repeat steps $3 \& 4$ sqrt(p) times to get the final result.

(a) Initial alignment of A

(c) A and B after initital alignnent

(b) Initial alignment of B

(d) Submatrix locations after firsts shift

(e) Submatrix locations after second shift (f) Submatrix locations after third shift

## Image Blurring with parallel matrix multiplication

Example: two $4 \times 4$ matrix multiplication with 4 processors.

$$
A=\begin{array}{llll}
2 & 1 & 5 & 3 \\
0 & 7 & 1 & 6 \\
9 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
3 & 6 & 7 & 2
\end{array}
$$

$B=$| 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| 1 | 9 | 8 | -8 |
| 4 | 0 | -8 | 5 |

First, partition each of the matrix into 4 submatrices:

$$
A=\frac{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
0 & 7 \\
0 & 7 \\
3 & 6
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 3 \\
1 & 6
\end{array}\right.}{\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
4 & 4 \\
7 & 2
\end{array}\right.}
$$

$\left.B=\frac{\left.\begin{array}{ll}6 & 1 \\ 4 & 5\end{array}\right]}{\left.\begin{array}{|cc|}\hline 1 & 9 \\ 6 & 3 \\ 4 & 0\end{array}\right]} \begin{array}{|cc|}\hline 8 & -8 \\ 4 & 5\end{array}\right]$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P00 } \\ & \text { A00 B00 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P01 } \\ & \text { A01 B01 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| P10 | P11 |
| A10 B10 | A11 B11 |

## Image Blurring with parallel matrix multiplication

Second, shift first round of row, column data for initial alignment. Then do the local matrix multiplication.

Data shifting between processors:

$$
\left.B=\frac{6}{6} \frac{1}{4} \begin{array}{cc}
5 \\
1 & 9 \\
4 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 3 \\
6 & 5
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\mathrm{A} 0=$| 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 |
| 9 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 3 | 6 | 7 | 2 |$\quad \mathrm{~B} 0=$| 1 | 9 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0 | 6 | 5 |
| 6 | 1 | 8 | -8 |
| 4 | 5 | -8 | 5 |




Partial result matrix C0 :

$C 0=$| 17 | 45 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 9 | 42 | 35 |
| 62 | 19 | 0 | -12 |
| 42 | 33 | 40 | -46 |

## Image Blurring with parallel matrix multiplication

Third, do second round of row, column data shifting, then do the local matrix multiplication:
Data shifting between processors:

$$
\left.A=\begin{array}{|ll|ll}
2 & 1 & 5 & 3 \\
0 & 7 & 1 & 6 \\
\hline 9 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\
3 & 6 & 7 & 2
\end{array} \quad B=\begin{array}{ll|l|l|}
\hline 4 & 5 & 6 & 5 \\
\hline 1 & 9 & 8 & -8 \\
4 & 0 & -8 & 5
\end{array}\right]
$$



Partial result matrix C1

$\mathrm{C} 1=$| 16 | 7 | 16 | -25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | 35 | -40 | 22 |
| 20 | 36 | 30 | 37 |
| 15 | 63 | 42 | 39 |

## Image Blurring with parallel matrix multiplication

Finally, update the partial result matrix C1 to C0 to get the final result.

$$
\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} 0+\mathrm{C} 1=\begin{array}{cc|cc}
17 & 45 & 10 & 11 \\
25 & 9 & 42 & 35 \\
\hline 2 & 19 & 0 & -12 \\
42 & 33 & 40 & -46
\end{array}+\quad \begin{array}{cc|cc}
16 & 7 & 16 & -25 \\
28 & 35 & -40 & 22 \\
20 & 36 & 30 & 37 \\
15 & 63 & 42 & 39
\end{array} \quad=\begin{array}{ll|ll}
33 & 52 & 26 & -14 \\
53 & 44 & 2 & 57 \\
\hline 82 & 55 & 30 & 25 \\
57 & 96 & 82 & -7
\end{array}
$$

## Image Blurring with parallel matrix multiplication

Run parallel image blurring algorithm with OpenMP:
$\square$ Convert input image data into matrix representation and define filter matrix.
$\square$ Write the program for image blurring with Cannon's algorithm.

- Parallelize the matrix multiplication part of the program using OpenMP.
$\square$ Test the program with different settings to compare the result.


## Experiments:

Image size $1000 \times 1000$ test result:

| Num of processors | Run time (s) | Speed up |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 13.48 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 6.72 | 2.0 |
| 4 | 3.36 | 4.0 |
| 8 | 1.69 | 8.0 |
| 16 | 0.85 | 15.8 |
| 32 | 0.44 | 30.7 |



## Experiments:

Image size $5000 \times 5000$ test result:

| Num of processors | Run time | Speed up |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 261.37 | 1.0 |
| 2 | 131.65 | 2.0 |
| 4 | 67.51 | 3.9 |
| 8 | 35.14 | 7.4 |
| 16 | 18.61 | 14.0 |
| 32 | 10.91 | 24.0 |



## Experiments:

Image size $10000 \times 10000$ test result:

| Num of processors | Run time | Speed up |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1067.80 | 1.00 |
| 2 | 535.62 | 1.99 |
| 4 | 275.84 | 3.87 |
| 8 | 143.11 | 7.46 |
| 16 | 76.76 | 13.91 |
| 32 | 44.45 | 24.02 |
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## Observations:

- Algorithm has very good scalability against input data size:

With the same number of processors being constant (32), data size change form $10^{6}$ (1000×1000), $25 \times 10^{6}(5000 \times 5000)$ to $100 \times 10^{6}$ (10000 x 10000);

| Input data size (relative) | Runtime (s) | Runtime(relative) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.44 | 1 |
| 25 | 10.91 | 24.8 |
| 100 | 44.45 | 101.0 |
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## Observations:

- Algorithm doesn't work very well when the data size if very small. Probably due to multithreading message passing overhead over shadows the actual calculating time, which is very small.
- For example, with image size of $100 \times 100$ :

| Num of processors | Runtime(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0.000605 |
| 2 | 0.000531 |
| 4 | 0.000416 |
| 8 | 0.000488 |
| 16 | 0.000870 |
| 32 | 0.00178 |
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## Thanks!

