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## Proposed Parallel Implementation

- Split your data into two halves according to the splitter you have
- Check to see if you are in the upper or lower half of processes for your division (EX: if you are $>31$ or $<=31$ for 64 processes)
- If you are in the upper half send your lower data to your partner in the lower half, and vice versa for if you are in the lower half.
- Combine the new data on each process.
- Repeat, step 1 going down each significant bit as you recurse.


## Visualized...



## How Data Was Gathered

- Run On CCR using the intel-mpi/4.1.3 module
- Ran each Data Point/process number pair 6 times
- Got a time output from each process and averaged them together for one runtime
- Averaged together the 6 runtime totals to get the final average runtime for the chart
- Requested exclusive process by running
fisbatch --nodes=8 --ntasks-per-node=8 --time=2:30:00
- partition=general-compute --qos=general-compute --exclusive


## 500,000 Data Points

| 2 | 0.04631 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 0.137358 |
| 8 | 0.177292 |
| 16 | 0.102867 |
| 32 | 0.0833 |
| 64 | 0.126603 |



## 1,000,000 Data Points

| 2 | 0.061422 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 0.168529 |
| 8 | 0.202968 |
| 16 | 0.225062 |
| 32 | 0.121137 |
| 64 | 0.136793 |



## 2,000,00o Data Points

2000000 Time Graph Amdahl

| 2 | 0.078498 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 0.208954 |
| 8 | 0.299576 |
| 16 | 0.271205 |
| 32 | 0.233671 |
| 64 | 0.197424 |

## 500,000 Data Points



## 1,000,000 Data Points

| 2 | 0.024167 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 0.045 |
| 8 | 0.064792 |
| 16 | 0.114583 |
| 32 | 0.16 |
| 64 | 0.209714 |



## 2,000,00o Data Points

| 2 | 0.04 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 0.082083 |
| 8 | 0.121667 |
| 16 | 0.19125 |
| 32 | 0.240887 |
| 64 | 0.351094 |

2000000 Time Graph Gustafson


## Observations

- Code can likely be optimized further because of the data trends
- Sending overhead is large, so that can affect results depending on data distribution
- Data distribution is not optimal, as in you cannot tell how much data will end up on each process
- A reason that the two processes could run faster than the higher processes is due to it being less steps to separate the data into its halves as in the implementation the maximum amount of runs is $\log _{{ }_{10}}(\mathrm{n})$ where n is the number of processes or if there is 1 process per division, as such for the lower amount of processes, they will finish in fewer steps.


## References used during project

- Algorithms Sequential and Parallel: A Unified Approach
- Russ Miller \& Laurence Boxer


## QUESTIONS?

University at Buffalo The State University of New York



