University at Buffalo

Fall 2022, CSE 708SEM Seminars Section MILL

Instructor: Miller, Russ (Primary)



There were: 22 possible respondents.

	te were. 22 possible respons					ar.	CSE	Div	Sch	Str				G: 1	77/1
	Question Text	N	RR	Top Two	Avg	SD	F22	F22	F22	Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
1	Academic integrity violations did not occur	6	27%	83% (5)	4.7	0.8	4.3	4.5	4.5	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	0% (0)	83% (5)	0% (0)
										V Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	
2	Overall course rating	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4		3.7	4.5	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	
										Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	
3	Course was well organized	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4		4.2	4.3	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	
4	Course was intellectually challenging	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4		3.7	4.6	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	
5	Work load was reasonable	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4		4.3	4.3	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	
										Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
6	Fair methods of evaluation	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4		4.3	4.6	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	0% (0)
										Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	
7	Course content helped learning	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4		4.1	4.2	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	
	Follow up									Str Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Str Agree	N/A
10	Online components easy to navigate	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4			5	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	0% (0)
11	Technology requirements were clear	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4			5	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	0% (0)
12	Required resources were accessible	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4			5	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	0% (0)
13	Required software was accessible	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4			5	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	0% (0)

14	Technical support was adequate	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4		5	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	0% (0)
15	Technology was easy to use	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4		5	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	0% (0)
16	Technology was reliable	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4		5	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	0% (0)
									V Poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	N/A
17	Overall instructor rating	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4	4.3	4.6	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	0% (0)
									Str	Disagras	Neutral	Agroo	Str Agree	N/A
									Disagree	Disagree	Neutrai	Agree	Sii Agree	IN/A
18	Instructor clearly presented learning expectations	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4	4.1	4.6	Disagree 0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	0% (0)
18	presented learning	6	27%	100% (6)	4.8	0.4	4.1	4.6						0%
	presented learning expectations Instructor enthusiastic			. ,					0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	0% (0)

No comments were found

Distribution of Scores

