University at Buffalo

Fall 2023, CSE 708SEM Seminars Section MILL Instructor: Miller, Russ (Primary)

There were: 17 possible respondents.

| | 1 | 1 | | | | | 700CEM | CCE | D: | Cab | | | | | | |
|----|--|---|-----|----------|-----|-----|---------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|-----|
| | Question Text | N | RR | Top Two | Avg | SD | 708SEM F23 | CSE F23 | Div F23 | Sch F23 | V Poor | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent | |
| 2 | Overall course rating | 5 | 29% | 100% (5) | 5 | 0 | | | | 4.2 | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 100% (5) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Str Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Str Agree | |
| 3 | Course was well organized | 5 | 29% | 100% (5) | 4.8 | 0.4 | | | | 4.3 | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 20% (1) | 80% (4) | |
| 4 | Course was intellectually challenging | 5 | 29% | 100% (5) | 4.8 | 0.4 | | | | 4.3 | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 20% (1) | 80% (4) | |
| 5 | Work load was reasonable | 5 | 29% | 100% (5) | 4.8 | 0.4 | | | | 4.2 | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 20% (1) | 80% (4) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Str Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Str Agree | N |
| 6 | Fair methods of evaluation | 5 | 29% | 100% (5) | 4.8 | 0.4 | | | | 4.4 | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 20% (1) | 80% (4) | 0' |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Str Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Str Agree | |
| 7 | Course content helped learning | 5 | 29% | 100% (5) | 4.8 | 0.4 | | | | 4.2 | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 20% (1) | 80% (4) | |
| | Follow up | | | | | | | | | | V Poor | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent | N |
| 17 | Overall instructor rating | 5 | 29% | 100% (5) | 5 | 0 | | | | 4.4 | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 100% (5) | 00 |
| | Follow up | | | | | | | | | | Str Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Str Agree | N |
| 18 | Instructor clearly presented learning expectations | 5 | 29% | 100% (5) | 5 | 0 | | | | 4.4 | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 100% (5) | 0 (|
| 19 | Instructor enthusiastic about course | 5 | 29% | 100% (5) | 5 | 0 | | | | 4.5 | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 100% (5) | 0' |

| 20 | Instructor welcomed students to seek help | 5 | 29% | 100% (5) | 4.8 | 0.4 | | 4.5 | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 20% (1) | 80% (4) | 0% (0) |
|----|---|---|-----|----------|-----|-----|--|-----|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|-----------|
| 21 | Instructor presented material clearly | 5 | 29% | 100% (5) | 4.8 | 0.4 | | 4.4 | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 0% (0) | 20% (1) | 80% (4) | 0% (0) |

| Instructor | Text Responses |
|--------------|---|
| | Question: Comments on most effective elements |
| | (CSE 708SEM) The flexibility to work and the constant feedback is something that helped us to experiement and learn more. |
| | Question: Suggestions to improve course |
| | (CSE 708SEM) Having certain introductory guides and hello world code snippets can get people started and make the setup part a bit more easy. Which eventually will help students to focus on the main project. |
| | Question: Comments on teaching effectiveness |
| Miller, Russ | (CSE 708SEM) I loved the way professor encouraged students to give their best and come up with a reasonablly well outcomes. |

Distribution of Scores

