

# Relational Database Design

Jan Chomicki  
University at Buffalo

## Outline

- 1 Functional dependencies
- 2 Normal forms
- 3 Multivalued dependencies

## “Good” and “bad” database schemas

### “Bad” schema

- **Repetition** of information. Leads to **redundancies**, potential inconsistencies, and update **anomalies**.
- **Inability to represent** information. Leads to **anomalies** in insertion and deletion.

### “Good” schema

- relation schemas in **normal form** (redundancy- and anomaly-free): BCNF, 3NF.

### Schema decomposition

- improving a bad schema
- desirable properties:
  - ▶ **lossless join**
  - ▶ **dependency preservation**

## Integrity constraints

### Functional dependencies

- key constraints cannot express uniqueness properties holding in a proper subset of all attributes
- key constraints need to be generalized to functional dependencies

### Other constraints

- not relevant for decomposition

## Functional dependencies (FDs)

### Notation

- Relation schema  $R(A_1, \dots, A_n)$
- $r$  is an instance of  $R$
- Sets of attributes of  $R$ :  $X, Y, Z, \dots \subseteq \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$
- $A_1 \cdots A_n$  instead of  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ .
- $XY$  instead of  $X \cup Y$ .

### Functional dependency

- syntax:  $X \rightarrow Y$
- semantics:  $r$  **satisfies**  $X \rightarrow Y$  if for all tuples  $t_1, t_2 \in r$ :  
if  $t_1[X] = t_2[X]$ , then also  $t_1[Y] = t_2[Y]$ .

## Dependency implication

### Implication

A set of FDs  $F$  **implies** an FD  $X \rightarrow Y$ , if every relation instance that satisfies all the dependencies in  $F$ , also satisfies  $X \rightarrow Y$ .

### Notation

$F \models X \rightarrow Y$  ( $F$  implies  $X \rightarrow Y$ ).

### Closure of a dependency set $F$

The set of dependencies implied by  $F$ .

### Notation

$F^+ = \{X \rightarrow Y : F \models X \rightarrow Y\}$ .

# Keys

## Key

$X \subseteq \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  is a **key** of  $R$  if:

- 1 the dependency  $X \rightarrow A_1 \cdots A_n$  is in  $F^+$ .
- 2 for all proper subsets  $Y$  of  $X$ , the dependency  $Y \rightarrow A_1 \cdots A_n$  is not in  $F^+$ .

## Related notions

- *superkey*: superset of a key.
- *primary key*: one designated key.
- *candidate key*: one of the keys.

# Inference of functional dependencies

## Dependency inference

How to tell whether  $X \rightarrow Y \in F^+$ ?

## Inference rules (Armstrong axioms)

- 1 **reflexivity**: infer  $X \rightarrow Y$  if  $Y \subseteq X \subseteq \text{attrs}(R)$  (*trivial dependency*)
- 2 **augmentation**: From  $X \rightarrow Y$  infer  $XZ \rightarrow YZ$  if  $Z \subseteq \text{attrs}(R)$
- 3 **transitivity**: From  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $Y \rightarrow Z$ , infer  $X \rightarrow Z$ .

Armstrong axioms are:

- **sound**: if  $X \rightarrow Y$  is derived from  $F$ , then  $X \rightarrow Y \in F^+$ .
- **complete**: if  $X \rightarrow Y \in F^+$ , then  $X \rightarrow Y$  is derived from  $F$ .

Additional (implied) inference rules

4. **union**: from  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $X \rightarrow Z$ , infer  $X \rightarrow YZ$
5. **decomposition**: from  $X \rightarrow Y$  infer  $X \rightarrow Z$ , if  $Z \subseteq Y$

## Boyce-Codd Normal Form (BCNF) and 3NF

### BCNF

A schema  $R$  is in BCNF if for every nontrivial FD  $X \rightarrow A \in F$ ,  $X$  contains a key of  $R$ .

Each instance of a relation schema which is in BCNF does not contain a redundancy (that can be detected using FDs alone).

### 3NF

$R$  is in 3NF if for every nontrivial FD  $X \rightarrow A \in F$ :

- $X$  contains a key of  $R$ , or
- $A$  is part of some key of  $R$ .

### BCNF vs. 3NF

- if  $R$  is in BCNF, it is also in 3NF
- there are relations that are in 3NF but not in BCNF.

## Decompositions

### Decomposition

Replacement of a relation schema  $R$  by two relation schema  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  such that  $R = R_1 \cup R_2$ .

### Lossless-join decomposition

$(R_1, R_2)$  is a **lossless-join** decomposition of  $R$  with respect to a set of FDs  $F$  if for every instance  $r$  of  $R$  that satisfies  $F$ :

$$\pi_{R_1}(r) \bowtie \pi_{R_2}(r) = r.$$

A simple criterion for checking whether a decomposition  $(R_1, R_2)$  is lossless-join:

- $R_1 \cap R_2 \rightarrow R_1 \in F^+$ , or
- $R_1 \cap R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \in F^+$ .

### Decomposition into more than two schemas

- generalized definition
- more complex losslessness test

## Dependency preservation

Dependencies associated with relation schema  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  in a decomposition  $(R_1, R_2)$ :

$$F_{R_1} = \{X \rightarrow Y \mid X \rightarrow Y \in F^+ \wedge XY \subseteq R_1\}$$

$$F_{R_2} = \{X \rightarrow Y \mid X \rightarrow Y \in F^+ \wedge XY \subseteq R_2\}.$$

$(R_1, R_2)$  **preserves** a dependency  $f$  iff  $f \in (F_{R_1} \cup F_{R_2})^+$ .

## Decomposition into BCNF

### Algorithm: decomposition of schema $R$

- 1 For some nontrivial nonkey dependency  $X \rightarrow A$  in  $F^+$ :
  - ▶ create a relation schema  $R_1$  with the set of attributes  $XA$  and FDs  $F_{R_1}$ .
  - ▶ create a relation schema  $R_2$  with the set of attributes  $R - \{A\}$  and FDs  $F_{R_2}$ .
- 2 Decompose further the resulting schemas which are not in BCNF.

This algorithm produces a lossless-join decomposition into BCNF which does not have to preserve dependencies.

## Decomposition (synthesis) into 3NF

### Minimal basis $F'$ for $F$

- set of FDs equivalent to  $F$  ( $F^+ = (F')^+$ ),
- all FDs in  $F'$  are of the form  $X \rightarrow A$  where  $A$  is a single attribute,
- further simplification by removing dependencies or attributes from dependencies in  $F'$  yields a set of FDs inequivalent to  $F$ .

### Algorithm: 3NF synthesis

- 1 Create a minimal basis  $F'$ .
- 2 Create a relation with attributes  $XA$  for every dependency  $X \rightarrow A \in F'$ .
- 3 Create a relation  $X$  for some key  $X$  of  $R$ .
- 4 Remove redundancies.

This algorithm produces a lossless-join decomposition into 3NF which preserves dependencies.

## Multivalued dependencies (MVDs)

### Notation

- Relation schema  $R(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ .
- $r$  is an instance of  $R$
- Sets of attributes:  $X, Y, Z, \dots \subseteq \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ .

### Multivalued dependency

- syntax: a pair  $X \twoheadrightarrow Y$ .
- semantics:  $r$  satisfies  $X \twoheadrightarrow Y$  if for all tuples  $t_1, t_2 \in r$ :  
*if  $t_1[X] = t_2[X]$ , then there is a tuple  $t_3 \in r$  such that  $t_3[XY] = t_1[XY]$   
and  $t_3[Z] = t_2[Z]$ ,*  
where  $Z = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\} - XY$ .

### Implication

Defined in the same way as for FDs.

## Fourth Normal Form (4NF)

$F$  is the set of FDs and MVDs associated with a relation schema  $R = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ .

### 4NF

$R$  is in 4NF if for every multivalued dependency  $X \twoheadrightarrow Y$  entailed by  $F$ :

- $Y \subseteq X$  or  $XY = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  (trivial MVD), or
- $X$  contains a key of  $R$ .