

Satisfaction of a Quantified WFF

In case my explanation in lecture of the definition of satisfaction for a quantified wff wasn't clear, here it is at greater length (for a finite case, which may make it easier to understand, and can be done "wolog", i.e. "without loss of generality").

Suppose our domain, D , contains the following items:

$$d_1, \dots, d_{200}$$

Suppose our language has these variables:

$$x_1, \dots, x_{100}$$

The definition of satisfaction for a universally quantified wff is:

$$\mathfrak{S}, \mu \models \forall v. \alpha \text{ iff } \mathfrak{S}, \mu' \models \alpha \text{ for all } \mu' \text{ that differ from } \mu \text{ at most on } v.$$

E.g., suppose v is the variable: x_{50}
and α is the wff: " $x_{50}^2 = x_{50} * x_{50}$ "

Consider the set of all variables: $\{x_1, \dots, x_{50}, \dots, x_{100}\}$

Consider what set of members of D we get by applying a variable assignment μ to all the members of this set:

$$\{\mu(x_1), \dots, \mu(x_{50}), \dots, \mu(x_{100})\} \subseteq D$$

Let's say that this set = $\{d_1, \dots, d_{50}, \dots, d_{100}\}$.

Now consider all μ' that differ from μ at most on x_{50} . Suppose they are μ_1, \dots, μ_{300} .

(It may be that $\mu_{301}, \dots, \mu_{1000}$ differ from μ on other variables, too, so we don't consider these at all!)

Note that our μ above is one of these 300 μ' (because all the μ' differ **at most** on x_{50} , meaning that they might not differ on x_{50} at all). For convenience, let's say that our μ above is μ_1 .

So consider what all these μ' do to the set of all variables; they map them into sets of elements of D as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 & : \{ \mu_1(x_1), \dots, \mu_1(x_{50}), \dots, \mu_1(x_{100}) \} \\ & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ \mu_{300} & : \{ \mu_{300}(x_1), \dots, \mu_{300}(x_{50}), \dots, \mu_{300}(x_{100}) \} \end{aligned}$$

Note, in particular, that μ_1 gives us: $\{d_1, \dots, d_{49}, d_{50}, d_{51}, \dots, d_{100}\}$. What about the others? Well, each differs from μ (or μ_1) at most on what it does to x_{50} , so we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 & : \{d_1, \dots, d_{49}, d_{50}, d_{51}, \dots, d_{100}\} \\ \mu_2 & : \{d_1, \dots, d_{49}, d_1, d_{51}, \dots, d_{100}\} \\ & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ \mu_{300} & : \{d_1, \dots, d_{49}, d_{77}, d_{51}, \dots, d_{100}\} \end{aligned}$$

I.e., they are all alike on the first 49 variables and on the last 50 variables, but they can vary wildly on good old x_{50} .

Now, according to the definition, if all of these μ' satisfy " $x_{50}^2 = x_{50} * x_{50}$ ", then our original μ will satisfy " $\forall x_{50} [x_{50}^2 = x_{50} * x_{50}]$ ".

But to decide if a given μ' satisfies " $x_{50}^2 = x_{50} * x_{50}$ ", we can ignore what it does to everything except x_{50} .

(We can do this for 2 reasons: First, they **don't** differ on the other variables. Second, our wff only contains that one variable, so that's the only one we care about.)

On x_{50} , each μ' differs. Does each one satisfy " $x_{50}^2 = x_{50} * x_{50}$ "? Sure! Here's the proof:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{50}^2 & = d_{50} * d_{50} \\ d_1^2 & = d_1 * d_1 \\ & \dots \\ d_{77}^2 & = d_{77} * d_{77} \end{aligned}$$

So: all the μ' that differ from μ at most on x_{50} satisfy " $x_{50}^2 = x_{50} * x_{50}$ ", so μ satisfies " $\forall x_{50} [x_{50}^2 = x_{50} * x_{50}]$ ".

And similarly, "mutatis mutandis" (as mathematicians say; i.e., changing what needs to be changed), for the existential quantifier.