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Note:
Numerous errors were introduced into the published version by the copy editor’s decision not to use single quotes to form the names of words, but rather to use italics. That would be fine (after all, linguists do it that way), but the copy editor was inconsistent in his use of italics for this purpose, and there was no way for me to distinguish between the use of italics for this purpose and their use for emphasis. In addition, none of the corrections for the abstract, authors’ affiliations, and bibliography were made. Sigh.
## Error Correction

### Abstract

- Page x: "can" should be corrected to "can".
- Page x: "revised" should be corrected to "revised".
- Page x: "converges" should be corrected to "converges".
- Page x: "dictionary-like" should be corrected to "dictionary-like".
- Page x: "settles down" should be corrected to "settles down".
- Page x: "steady state" should be corrected to "steady state".

### Text Corrections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAGE</th>
<th>PARA.</th>
<th>LINE</th>
<th>ERROR</th>
<th>CORRECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>can</td>
<td></td>
<td>can</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>revised</td>
<td></td>
<td>revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>converges</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;converges&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>dictionary-like</td>
<td></td>
<td>dictionary-like</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>settles down</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;settles down&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>steady state</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;steady state&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information for Karen Ehrlich is wrong; see 1st page of this ERRATA for correct affiliation.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARA.</th>
<th>LINE</th>
<th>ERROR</th>
<th>CORRECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>xix</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Page 347: "word)" should be corrected to "word)."
- Page 349: "brachet" should be corrected to "brachet".
- Page 353: "not" should be corrected to "not".
- Page 357: "in common with" should be corrected to "in common with".
- Page 358: "how" should be corrected to "how".
- Page 362: "dress" should be corrected to "dress".
- Page 363: "Martins Shapiro" should be corrected to "Martins & Shapiro".
- Page 365: "Inclusion" should be corrected to "Inclusions".
- Page 366: "smite" should be corrected to "smite".
- Page 367: "Reply S1:" should be corrected to "Reply S1:".
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAGE</th>
<th>PARA.</th>
<th>LINE</th>
<th>ERROR</th>
<th>CORRECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>368</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>dress</td>
<td>dress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Question D2:</td>
<td>Question D2:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>not background belief (2)</td>
<td>not background belief (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>rejecting</td>
<td>rejecting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>add</td>
<td>add</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>either</td>
<td>either</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>or</td>
<td>or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372</td>
<td>“Siskind”</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–6</td>
<td>correct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“correct”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>word-to-meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>word-to-meaning mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>“Future Work”</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>previously</td>
<td>above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“N.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“N”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375</td>
<td>“Algorithm for Verb Definition”</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>“V.”</td>
<td>“V”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375</td>
<td>Note 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>brachet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>brachet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Bibliography Errata

Since there are so many errors in the combined Bibliography of this book (e.g., duplicate entries, as for Winston 1975 and 1985, one of which is merely a reprint of the other; incorrect alphabetical order, e.g., listing ‘Mc’ and ‘Mac’ incorrectly)—which I will not attempt to indicate here—and since the Bibliography is a combined one, for convenience I here included the complete and correct list of references for our paper.


