Lectures and Reading:

For next week, finish Chapter 16. Contrary to how I have skimmed over long code examples in the text before, study the code in section 16.3 (pages 506–510) closely. Similar code in the ISR framework would avoid such a hard separation of the notions of "key" and "value" and thus might be simpler in parts. Then skip Chapter 17 (which might support a compilers course), and go into Chapter 18. While reading 18, hark back to chapter 13, while noting that 18 gives the signature implementation of a priority queue. The topics we will emphasize regarding Chapter 18 are (i) how a heap enables compiling "top-ten (or so)" lists in less time than the order- $n \log n$ needed for sorting, and (ii) how to quickly fix up a heap if the priority value of one item suddenly changes. (I am not sure whether I will cover the topic of immutable trees in section 16.3.2; it could distract away from the flow to chapters 21 and 20.)

------- Assignment 6, due Sat. Apr. 23 "midnight stretchy" on CSE Autograder

Brief Task Statement:

Redo the task of Assignment 4, but with a different strategy for handling words like question that are listed as multiple parts of speech with separate sets of synonyms. Instead of (i) creating separate tagged keys (as in the given answer code) or (ii) lumping the multiple synonym sets together under one key (which was also OK for this task), simply store multiple entries having the same key. The built-in Scala Set and Map data structures do not allow "duplicate-key items" but the ISR code does. Since the ISR implementations are sorted, the multiple items with the same key like question will be consecutive when one iterates through the data structure. Save the information about whether the key is a noun or verb or adjective (or etc.)—when Fallows gives it at all—in a separate field of the SynonymEntry class that is not part of the key. Demonstrate that your new code replicates the results of Assignment 4 while either (i) giving faster time than your previous strategy (i), or (ii) allowing you to preserve the information about whether the reciprocal synonyms were for the original key word being a noun or verb or adjective (or etc.) if you used something more like strategy (ii). Write one essay that includes comparing the results of timing code between the assignment 4 answer and your new one (report the average of ten runs for each) using either BALBOA or BALBOADLL as the underlying implementation of the ISR trait that is sandwiched and selected by changing one line in Cardbox. Write a second essay that compares the time against either the SortedSLL or SortedDLL implementation, summarizing why BALBOA is faster.

Points are: 20 for compiling under the ISR framework, 20 (only) for replicating the correct output from Assignment 4, 20 for the client code edits needed to employ "first-class iterators," and 18 for each essay, making 96 points total. A header comment with /** atop your SynonymsISR.scala that includes your name is now required.

Files to Submit. Please zip the following. All Scala code files can go together into src/ or some other subfolder, but output.txt and essay6.txt must be in your project root folder. NOTE CHANGE (4/18/22, am): The dictionary file has been upgraded to

Fallows1898fx.txt, which has several hundred typo fixes and adds about 100 new entries overall. (It is still far from perfect but has all KEY: fields fixed, so as not to detract from the main point about handling like keys.) Your submissions must use this. The new file gives the same results on the tests in the Assignment 4 autograder, although the fixed typos produce 2 reciprocal pairs (quantity and sum, quick and brisk) plus 6 new non-reciprocating ones, increasing output.txt from 202 to 210 lines overall.

- SynonymsISR.scala Note that the file of this name in .../cse250/DataStructures/ has been replaced by SynonymsISR0.scala. You are to modify that source file and rename it back.
- ISR.scala, Cardbox.scala, BALBOADLL.scala, and whichever others of the ISR implementation code you use. (Note, however: the grading script will overwrite them by the reference versions anyway. No problem submitting all of the repository code.)
- output.txt
- essay6.txt, with two essay answers (A) and (B).

Submitting Fallows1898fx.txt in your project root folder is optional, or having it read as ../Fallows1898fx.txt (in which case, you won't submit it). Auxiliary code files are OK if you do not use package and put them in the same folder as SynonymsISR.scala. The repository code may be updated during the span of this assignment and a further one, but this comes with a promise that the code will work alike as visible to clients—this is a larger-world point of the whole exercise.

Specific Directions: The file **SynonymsISRO.scala** has some directions inside it. Major points:

- Directions in ALL CAPS indicate things to change—and you can then remove those comment lines.
- $\bullet\,$ Names that end in 0 should be changed to names without the 0 when you modify the code.
- The code for apply in the SynonymBox class (name after you remove the 0) will not be used and can be removed.
- Note that ISR containers are being used on two levels: for the overall dictionary of synonyms entries, and for the synonyms within an entry. Both will use the same implementation—whichever one you select inside Cardbox.scala.
- You should use contains only with the synonyms within an entry. For the large outer container holding the 6,000+ synonyms entries, however, you will use the find method inherited from the ISR trait and then further use the Iterator it returns.
- When you change the implementation line in Cardbox, both Cardbox.scala and then the client (i.e., SynonymsISR.scala) need to be recompiled. Your IDE should be able to recognize and do this automatically—at least it works for IntelliJ—but from the command line you must follow this sequence.

• The timing code is already provided. To report times, you must do an average of 10 runs. It is OK to leave the line val allowPrintWhenTiming = true set true when timing; the main essay points are not affected by the small differences from allowing screen and/or file output. Indeed, you must leave it set true in tour final submission, so we can check your output.

Motivations:

It is often advantageous to manage data with a more flexible notion of what is *key* and what is *value* than the standard Map[K,V] implementations provide. This includes allowing items with different values to share the same key. The ANSI C++ Standard Template Library (STL) provides multiset and multimap at the same primary level as its set and map containers (which work the same as Scala's Set and Map). The multiset (also called "bag") is especially useful, and is what the ISR trait allows.

A sorted data structure will guarantee that items with the same key will be consecutive in the iteration order. (We will see that some kinds of hash tables can give this as well.) If we can rapidly find the first such item, then we need only do consecutive iteration steps to read them all. This can be quicker than a regime where we extend a key k into distinct keys k1, k2, k3, ... for inclusion into a Map object, say mymap. The reason is that the calls mymap(k1), mymap(k2), and mymap(k3) require separate evaluations of the Map, which can be relatively expensive. Note that these evaluations are the most natural thing to do with a Map ("that's what its code is for") and yet we can replace them by simpler steps involving an explicit iterator that are less repetitive and hence quicker.

For this, it helps to have a somewhat more flexible environment for managing iterators than the standard Scala/Java-based methods hasNext and next() provide. For one thing, it is nice to be able to read the current data point of an iterator itr without having to advance it to the next item as itr.next() does. This is much the same motivation as our text's making peek as well as pop() a top-level operation of stacks and queues in chapter 7. The ISR framework does this by coding an apply method, which Scala automatically makes involve just parentheses by themselves. So we can get the value by itr() and the iterator itr stays put. (It might be nice if we could back up itr to the previous item, too. The "ISR" repo stops short of such a prev operation, but you could choose to add the functionality. In any event, the whole approach is toward making iterators be "First-Class Objects" in the code. Being able to quickly get an iterator to the desired location, rather than having to create iterator in the first position and traverse the whole data once as in the text, is the other key point.)

The iterators also allow a different way of handling an unsuccessful item lookup. Note at https://docs.scala-lang.org/overviews/collections/maps.html that the standard Scala Map class offers two possibilities. If the key kO is not present in mymap, the simple call mymap(kO) will throw a java.util.NoSuchElementException. The call (mymap get kO) will return None, as opposed to Some(v) when the key has the associated value v. This involves either the hazard of a runtime error or an extra level of None/Some wrapper syntax around the data point. The way exemplified in the ISR trait and its conformant implementations, where mybox is the whole data structure and iO is an item playing the role of the key kO, is that a call to mybox.find(iO) returns an iterator itr. If the item is not found, then itr.hasNext (which is always a quick and simple call) is false. If the item is found, then itr.hasNext is true and itr() returns the found item—which may have extra value information compared to a "dummy item" i0. The whole process is visually like a web form where if the initial name or e-mail info you type in is found, then the form fills in the values of the rest. Anyway, the lookup call itself will never bomb—you just have to remember to test hasNext (or equality to the end iterator) on the result before trying to read the value.

A final point is that this protocol for handling search results—combined with protocols for inserting or removing an item—has rules that are independent of any particular implementation. Scala reflects this in that Set and Map come in several varieties: you can specify SortedSet or SortedMap or alternatively HashSet and HashMap. (The latter seem to be the same as the default forms.) This allows you to compare the efficiency and stability of different implementations. The file Cardbox.scala allows changing the implementation by commenting-in just one line of code.

These themes are reflected in this assignment in the following ways:

- 1. We have keys that are single words, but the part of speech (a for Adjective, n for Noun, v for Verb) also matters. Some key words like question have different data for different forms. The word mean not only has all three forms, there are two entries for the adjective form in which the original 1898 dictionary gives no lexical distinction at all—so they are duplicate items even under a regime that would make (say) mean_a the key. Even if our task allows a shortcut of lumping all the lists for mean together into one record, we would still want to know which form of the word mean was matched. (We might also wish to know between the two adjective forms, but Fallows gives no further distinction, so we won't either.)
- 2. So we make a separate field in our simple SynonymEntry class to hold the part of speech—so we can print it out later if and when we need to. (If the part isn't given, as for most Fallows entries, store u for Unknown there.) The simple keyComp function does not involve this field.
- 3. If we wanted to, we could rebuild the data structure with a different keyComp function that could use the idea a < n < v to give a "second level of sorting." But this is extra work. The same caveat applies to the idea of sticking with the standard Scala Map but creating the two-level sort—so that items with the same first-level key would come adjacent and one could iterate rather than evaluate the Map multiple times.
- 4. Having a single item type A in Cardbox[A] rather than splitting into "K" and "V," avoiding the creation of a "Frankenkey" with the part-of-speech appended, and iterating over just the desired sub-range, yields code that is both simpler and quicker.

Set-Up For This Assignment:

The first thing should do is download the whole code you in /.../cse250/DataStructures/ into your IDE. If you've already done this with the original FlowerCardbox test client, note that the source files were updated when the Assignment 4 key was posted on 4/11 and AIOLI.scala (which you will not need to use) has reappeared. Your IDE (at least in my own Intelli setup) will automatically be able to figure out the chains of dependence, in particular:

$\texttt{ISR.scala} \leftarrow \texttt{SortedDLL.scala} \leftarrow \texttt{BalboaDLL.scala} \leftarrow \texttt{Cardbox.scala} \leftarrow \texttt{SynonymsISR0.scala}$

with all the files in the same folder, without needing organization into package(s). (At the command line, one needs to recompile dependent classes after any change; in the C/C++ world, this is managed by a Makefile.) Try changing the implementation line in Cardbox.scala from BALBOADLL (or however it is set) to SortedDLL directly, and then to SortedArray. Observe the changes in running time, as well as that the code still works.

Options—And Not

It is recommended that you work from SortedISR0.scala as provide, at least initially. There are no academic integrity issues in doing so, and submitting your revision of this code will not bring any deficit in points. You may, however, try converting your own previous client to the ISR framework, as would be good experience for later. One of the points of the way SortedISR0.scala is given, compared to the official answer key A4Key/SynonymsKey.scala (the version with 2 handles the alternate form of the Fallows file, as does SortedISR0.scala), is that the initial conversion can be done with minimal changes in syntax. To carry over the timing code, be aware that the step of reading the file to create the database is timed separately from the task of finding reciprocal synonyms, and this assignment focuses only on the latter. Once you work on your SortedISR.scala proper, you will make further changes that take advantage of features of the ISR trait—and you may find that the code overall gets *shorter*.

One option that is not provided is using Fallows's cross-references. When Fallows writes e.g.'Quagmire, [See MARSH]" the intent is that the synonyms of marsh are also synonyms of quagmire. Unfortunately, this becomes an unexpected quagmire especially toward the end of the alphabet, where the Project Gutenberg file has myriad cases of cross-references being put on the wrong entries, off-by-one or worse. I do not understand how a digitized file—the source was evidently this—leads to this kind of error. In a released file, this is inexcusable (SYN: Unmitigated, unpardonable, indefensible, unjustifiable). My version Fallows1898fx.txt fixed many of these in the course of sanitizing every KEY: field, but there are many more. Thus we have to shut down this possible option. (It was possible only when Fallows gives a single cross-reference anyway, and even some of those just go to the antonym. He was of course writing for processing by human beings, not computers.)

FAQ and Clarifications