

Knowledge Representation and Reasoning Logics for Artificial Intelligence

Stuart C. Shapiro

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
and Center for Cognitive Science

University at Buffalo, The State University of New York
Buffalo, NY 14260-2000

`shapiro@cse.buffalo.edu`

copyright ©1995, 2004–2009 by Stuart C. Shapiro

Contents

Part I

1. Introduction	4
2. Propositional Logic	19
3. Predicate Logic Over Finite Models	172
4. Full First-Order Predicate Logic	223
5. Summary of Part I.....	366

Part II

6. Prolog	379
7. A Potpourri of Subdomains	413
8. SNePS.....	430
9. Belief Revision/Truth Maintenance	512
10. The Situation Calculus	564
11. Summary	583

Part III

12. Production Systems.....	596
13. Description Logic.....	605
14. Abduction.....	622

14 Abduction

Abduction is the non-sound inference

from

$P \Rightarrow Q$

and Q

to

P

See *Brachman & Levesque*, Chapter 13.

Some Uses of Abduction

1. Explanation

from *It's raining* \Rightarrow *The grass is wet*
and *The grass is wet* to *It's raining*

2. Diagnosis

from *Infection* \Rightarrow *Fever*
and *Fever* to *Infection*

3. Plan Recognition

from *Cooking pasta* \Rightarrow *Boil water*
and *Boil water* to *Cooking pasta*

4. Text Understanding

from $\forall x(\text{gotGoodService}(x) \Rightarrow \text{leftBigTip}(x))$
and *Betty left a big tip.* to *Betty got good service.*

Prime Implicates

Applies to KRR using resolution.

For some KB and some clause C , if

$\text{KB} \models C$

and for any C' s.t. C' is a proper subset of C

$\text{KB} \not\models C'$

C is a prime implicate of KB.

Example of Computing Prime Implicate

```
prover(4): (prove '( => (and p q r) g)
              (= > (and (not p) q) g)
              (= > (and (not q) r) g))
            'g)
```

1	(p (not q) g)		Assumption
2	(q (not r) g)		Assumption
3	((not p) (not q) (not r) g)		Assumption
4	((not g))		From Query
5	(p (not q))	R,4,1,{}	
6	(q (not r))	R,4,2,{} Subsumed	
7	((not p) (not q) (not r))	R,4,3,{} Subsumed	
8	((not r) p)	R,5,6,{} Subsumed	
11	((not q) (not r))	R,7,8,{} Subsumed	
12	((not r))	R,11,6,{} Subsumed	

Example from *Brachman & Levesque*, p 271.

Example 2

```
prover(8): (prove '((forall x (=> (enterRestaurant x) (beSeated x)))
                    (forall x (=> (beSeated x) (beServed x)))
                    (forall x (=> (beServed x) (getFood x)))
                    (forall x (=> (getFood x) (eatFood x)))
                    (forall x (=> (eatFood x) (and (pay x) (leaveTip x))))
                    (forall x (=> (gotGoodService x) (leftBigTip x)))
                    (enterRestaurant Betty))
            '(leftBigTip Betty))
```

```
1 ((enterRestaurant Betty)) Assumption
2 ((not (enterRestaurant ?1)) (beSeated ?1)) Assumption
3 ((not (beSeated ?3)) (beServed ?3)) Assumption
4 ((not (beServed ?5)) (getFood ?5)) Assumption
5 ((not (getFood ?7)) (eatFood ?7)) Assumption
6 ((not (eatFood ?9)) (pay ?9)) Assumption
7 ((not (eatFood ?10)) (leaveTip ?10)) Assumption
8 ((not (gotGoodService ?12)) (leftBigTip ?12)) Assumption
9 ((not (leftBigTip Betty)) (Answer (leftBigTip Betty))) From Query
10 ((not (gotGoodService Betty))
     (Answer (leftBigTip Betty))) R,9,8,{Betty/?12}
nil
```

I.e., $(=> (\text{gotGoodService Betty}) (\text{leftBigTip Betty}))$

Interpretation

Possible interpretations of

`(=> (gotGoodService Betty) (leftBigTip Betty))`:

1. Abduction: Since `(leftBigTip Betty)`,
infer `(gotGoodService Betty)`.
2. Diagnosis: Since `(not (leftBigTip Betty))`,
infer `(not (gotGoodService Betty))`.
3. Hypothetical Answer: If `(gotGoodService Betty)`
then `(leftBigTip Betty)`.
4. Why Not: Didn't infer `(leftBigTip Betty)`
because didn't know `(gotGoodService Betty)`.