INTELLIGENT USER INTERFACES:
CONNECTING ARC/INFO AND SNACTOR, A SEMANTIC NETWORK BASED SYSTEM FOR PLANNING ACTIONS

This report describes an interface between ARC/INFO, a geographic information management system, and SNACTOR, the SNePS acting component. It also shows a small example interaction that demonstrates how ARC/INFO and SNACTOR can interact using the new interface, and how more sophisticated future applications can make use of its functionality. The interface was designed and implemented during a two-month research project carried out in Summer, 1990 at the State University of New York at Buffalo.

INTRODUCTION

As GIS software matures in functionality, speed, and flexibility, issues of the use and usability of GIS come to the fore. User interfaces have become a critical focus within the GIS research agenda at the National Center for Geographic Information and Analysis (Gould, 1991; Mark, 1991; Mark and Gould, 1991; Mark et al., 1992) and in general. As we begin to think about delivering GIS technology and GIS databases to the general public, we need user interfaces that are easy-to-use, intuitive, and perhaps "intelligent." Intelligent user interfaces may also be valuable to professional users, allowing them to concentrate on their actual task, rather than on the system commands (Neal et al., 1989; Neal and Shapiro, 1991).

Recently, there have been several efforts directed at improving the usability of ARC/INFO and related databases. ESRI's own ArcView, announced at the 1991 user conference (see ESRI, 1991), has great potential to make ARC/INFO databases useable by users with no training in ARC/INFO per se. HyperArc is a prototype interface that runs on a Macintosh computer but which provides an interface to ARC/INFO, as well as help functions (Raper and Bundock, 1991). And thirdly, the CUBRICON system (Neal et al., 1989; Neal and Shapiro, 1991) provided a prototype intelligent user interface for mission planning, manipulating maps, natural language, tables, etc. CUBRICON is written in an AI system called SNePS (see following section). In the summer of 1990, SNePS was linked to ARC/INFO in a proof-of-concept project that is described in this paper. Although the intelligence of CUBRICON has not yet been connected to the...
computational power of ARC/INFO, results of the project described herein clearly indicate that such is possible, and might provide a model for intelligent GIS user interfaces of the near future.

THE PROBLEM

The problem tackled in this project was to interface ARC/INFO and SNACTor (Kumar et al., 1990; Shapiro, 1988; Shapiro et al., 1989), the acting component of SNePS (Shapiro, 1979; Shapiro and Rapaport, 1987). SNePS is the Semantic Network Processing System, a knowledge representation and reasoning system developed by Stuart C. Shapiro et al. at the State University of New York at Buffalo. The main motivation for interfacing these two systems is to study how a knowledge representation system such as SNePS with its powerful representation and inference mechanisms can be used to develop more sophisticated and (human) user friendly interfaces to database systems such as ARC/INFO.

The main technical problem that had to be solved in this project was to interface two very different systems that are similar in one respect: They are not designed to be called from other programs. ARC/INFO is a collection of programs written in FORTRAN and C with two main components, (1) ARC, the module that handles all geography specific computations, and that gives us a frame from which a variety of other programs can be called to perform various tasks such as editing, plotting, etc., and (2) INFO, a relational database management system that is used to store and access geographical databases in a variety of ways. ARC/INFO is a stand-alone system that was not designed to communicate with other programs, but rather give the user all functionality that he or she needs from within the ARC/INFO environment on a variety of different hardware and operating systems platforms.

SNePS and SNACTor, on the other hand, are systems written in Common Lisp. They are similar to ARC/INFO in the respect, that it is not possible to "call" them from within another program, because the start-up of the Common Lisp environment takes to long and because of the state information that accumulates and that is necessary for proper operation. What had to be done was to define a communication medium and a protocol with which these two different systems could communicate.

Scope of the Project: The scope of the project was to define and implement an interface between the two systems, and to develop a small demonstration that shows how the two systems can interact using the interface. This demonstration shows some features of how an interaction with a geographic information management system could be done in a small and simple example. It was not the intention to develop a full fledged natural language interface to ARC/INFO.

DESCRIPTION OF THE INTERFACE

General Interaction Between ARC/INFO and SNACTor

The basic idea underlying the design of the interface is to run ARC/INFO and SNACTor in two separate processes and have them communicate on some medium via some simple protocol, instead of calling ARC/INFO or subroutines of it from SNACTor directly. The motivation for not doing the latter was that during a typical ARC/INFO session a lot of internal state information is acquired and automatically taken care of. If SNACTor would call ARC/INFO subroutines directly, it would have to manage all this state information by itself, which would amount to rewriting parts of the ARC/INFO functionality within SNACTor. Another reason for choosing the protocol design is portability. Calling FORTRAN subroutines from Common Lisp is different for every implementation of Common Lisp. The protocol design, however, allows SNACTor to be run in various different Common Lises yet still allowing communication with ARC/INFO without having to change the interface.
The communication medium chosen is the file system. Commands from SNACTor to ARC/INFO are issued by generating little command files, results from command executions in ARC/INFO are brought back into SNACTor via watch files (a special mechanism provided by ARC/INFO to record ARC/INFO interactions, very similar to the script facility in UNIX^2,3). The reasons for choosing the file system as a medium were portability and simplicity. Portability, because the interface to the file system is nicely standardized in Common Lisp, and simplicity, because it was easier to write a simple communication program this way then, say, writing a full fledged server/client package that communicates via Internet ports. Even though our solution does not give a fully transparent communication across the network, it is possible to run ARC/INFO and SNACTor on different machines as long as the file system of one machine on which either ARC/INFO or SNACTor are running is visible from the other machine, e.g., by remote mounting via NFS (the network file system).

A simple handshake protocol is used, where SNACTor acts as a master and ARC/INFO as a slave. ARC/INFO waits for a command to be issued. SNACTor issues a command and waits for a result to be generated, ARC/INFO executes the command, generates a result file and waits for the next command to be issued, SNACTor reads the result file, acts accordingly and generates the next command and so on. Synchronization is achieved via file existence tests.

The Interface Directory

As mentioned above the communication medium chosen is the file system. All communication is done by writing files to and reading files from an interface directory. This directory has to be accessible from ARC/INFO as well as SNACTor which is trivially achieved if both processes run on the same machine. If the two programs run on separate machines one machine must be able to read from and write to the file system of the other machine.

The interface directory has to be defined for ARC/INFO as well as SNACTor to allow proper communication. This can be done by editing pathname variables in files that will be described below.

The ARC/INFO side

The ARC/INFO side of the communication makes use of AML, the Arc Macro Language (ESRI, references a and b). AML provides control constructs and a variety of functions that allow one to write programs containing arbitrary ARC/INFO commands. These programs can be run inside ARC/INFO just as ordinary commands (UNIX shell scripts provide a similar mechanism). What ARC/INFO does is to periodically look into the interface directory for a file nextcommand.aml. If it exists it executes it, records the output of the execution into a file comout.log and then deletes the file nextcommand.aml. Then it waits for a new file nextcommand.aml to be generated.

What we have here is a simple command loop. Read a command, execute it, print the results and wait for the next command. This algorithm itself is defined in an AML script called command-loop.aml. Before interaction with SNACTor can start ARC/INFO has to be started and command-loop.aml has to be executed. A special UNIX shell script arcloop has been written that does all this for the user, so all she or he has to do to start the ARC/INFO side of the communication is to call arcloop at the UNIX prompt.
Here is what command-loop.aml does:

```
clear the interface directory
repeat
  if (EXISTS nextcommand.aml) then
    run nextcommand.aml
    delete nextcommand.aml
  else
    sleep for a second
  until (EXISTS exit)
return from command-loop.aml
```

In the first step it clears the interface directory, i.e., it deletes nextcommand.aml and exit files that might have existed in the directory from previous runs. Then it starts the main part of the command loop. Note that there is nothing in the algorithm that deals with recording of results. This is handled by the file nextcommand.aml itself and the command loop does not have to know about this part. The "sleeping" in the else part of the if statement is to break the loop and free the cpu for other things (otherwise the endless looping itself may use up a lot of cpu cycles). The termination criterion is the existence of an exit file. Once this file has been created by SNACTor the command loop will terminate and return to the ARC/INFO top-level.

Here is what an actual nextcommand.aml file generated by SNACTor looks like:

```
&args interface
&watch %interface%/comout.log
ARCPLT
&watch 6off
&return
```

The symbols starting with an '&w' are special AML directives. The nextcommand.aml file takes one parameter called interface. It supplies the location of the interface directory. The &watch directive turns on the recording of command output. The output will be sent to the file comout.log in the interface directory. Then the actual ARC/INFO command (ARCPLT in our example) gets executed. After that output recording is turned off which will close the file comout.log and make sure that only output of the command issued in this nextcommand.aml file appears in comout.log. Then the command terminates and returns to command-loop.aml (see above) where the file nextcommand.aml will be deleted in the immediately following step.

**The SNACTor side**

The SNACTor side of the communication is defined in the Common Lisp file driver.lisp. The central function defined in this file is the function execute-command. Here is its definition and the definition of an auxiliary function that it uses (the variables *nextcom* and *comout-name* hold actual pathnames as their values):

```
(defun wait-for-completion ()
  "Waits until the file nextcommand.aml gets deleted by the
  AML command loop running in the ARC process. Returns once
  nextcommand.aml does not exist anymore."
  (loop (unless (probe-file *nextcom*)
      (return))
    ;; give system some time to breathe
    (sleep 0.1)))
```
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(defun execute-command (command-string)
  "Takes a COMMAND-STRING and writes a file nextcommand.aml into the
  communication interface directory. The command loop running in the ARC
  process waits for this file, executes it and deletes it. The output
  generated by the command gets written to a command output (watch) file.
  This allows to get results back into the LISP process."
  (wait-for-completion)
  (with-open-file (nextcom *nextcom* :direction :output)
    ;; nextcommand.aml takes as an argument the name of the
    ;; directory viewed from the ARC process, into which ARC will
    ;; write the comout file
    (format nextcom
      "ARGS interface %
      &watch %interface%/ a 
      a 
      &watch &off %
      &return %" 
      *comout-name* command-string)
  )
  (wait-for-completion))

Suppose we want to send the command ARCPLOT to the ARC/INFO process. What we have
to do is to evaluate (execute-command "ARCPLOT") in our Lisp process. Then execute-
command does the following: (1) It waits for the completion of a command that might currently be
executed by the ARC/INFO process. Completion is indicated by the non-existence of the file
nextcommand.aml. (Remember, in the command-loop algorithm described above
nextcommand.aml gets deleted immediately after its execution.) (2) After the preceding
command got completed execute-command generates a file nextcommand.aml that contains
ARCPLOT as its main command (see above for description of nextcommand.aml). (3) It waits
for the completion of the current command in the ARC/INFO process and returns after that.

Note that execute-command does not deal with retrieving or printing of results. After
command execution execute-command returns and control is back at the caller. The caller
might then choose to read the file comout.log to process results. This can be done using the
function print-result; print-result takes a stream as an argument. If the supplied
value is NIL the result will be returned as a string. If the result is not handled it will be overwritten
during the next call to execute-command.

Calling the function stop-arc will generate an exit file and terminate the ARC/INFO
command loop (see above).

AN EXAMPLE INTERACTION OF ARC/INFO AND SNACTor

The following is an annotated demonstration showing a simple example interaction with the
system. The output shown below has been edited to save space but it stems from an actual run
using the new interface. User input is shown at "*" or ":" prompts. The system's response
follows after the user input separated by a blank line.

This example shows only the SNACTor part of the interaction. ARC/INFO actions resulting
from commands sent to ARC/INFO from SNACTor will not be shown, because they involve
drawing of maps or input from the mouse. These ARC/INFO actions will be described at the
appropriate places.

At this point ARC/INFO is running; it was started with the command arcloop and is waiting
for commands from SNACTor. An example database with different coverages, from ESRI's
publication *Understanding GIS* (ESRI, c) has been installed. A basic familiarity with the example database, its coverages and concepts as well as with basic concepts of ARC/INFO is assumed.

Now we start SNePS-2.1 and run the demonstration. The first three SNePSUL commands (SNePSUL is the SNePS User Language) deal with initializations. They reset the net, define a set of relations that will be needed later on, and a path that will be used in subclass/superclass reasoning.

```
> (sneps)
   Welcome to SNePS-2.1

Copyright 1984, 88, 89 by Research Foundation of State University of New York


* (demo " /snactor/arcdemo.lisp")
File /snactor/arcdemo.lisp is now the source of input.

* (resetnet t)
Net reset

* : Arc labels for predicates and relations

(= (define action lex object1 object2 object3 sub sup agent component presumably act plan goal effect then else condition until do member class object property rel arg1 arg2 precondition relation attribute translations value translated-value
) NEW-RELATIONS)

(ACTION LEX OBJECT1 OBJECT2 OBJECT3 SUB SUP AGENT COMPONENT PRESUMABLY
ACT PLAN GOAL EFFECT THEN ELSE CONDITION UNTIL DO MEMBER CLASS
OBJECT2 PROPERTY REL ARG1 ARG2 PRECONDITION RELATION ATTRIBUTE
TRANSLATIONS VALUE TRANSLATED-VALUE)

* (define-path class (compose class (kstar (compose sub- sup))))

CLASS implied by the path (COMPOSE CLASS (KSTAR (COMPOSE SUB- SUP)))
CLASS- implied by the path (COMPOSE (KSTAR (COMPOSE SU- SUB)) CLASS-)
```

Now we are ready to read in the grammar and the lexicon. The grammar used is almost identical to the grammar developed by Sy Ali (Shapiro et al., 1989), apart from one little extension that allows for arbitrary quoted strings. The need for this will be explained later. The lexicon defines words used in descriptions of plans and actions5.

```
* (^ (lexin " /snactor/arclex.dat"))

undefined- (NIL NIL NIL NIL NIL NIL NIL NIL NIL NIL NIL NIL)
(arc arcplot .... table hand)

* (^ (atn " /snactor/arcgram.dat"))

State S processed.
State RESPOND1 processed.

```
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State GTHRESH processed.
State END processed.

Atnin read in states: (END GTHRESH ..... RESPOND1 S)

Now we set some variables that control input reading behavior as well as various forms of tracing.
In this example all tracing has been turned off to save space. For debugging purposes it is possible
to "fake" actions, i.e., to not actually send commands to ARC/INFO and just print them instead.
We did not want to fake actions in this demonstration.

* (^ (setq parser::*TERMINATING-PUNCTUATION-FLAG* "(" "." ":" ":"))
  (snip:::*INFERTRACE* nil
   parser::*DEBUG* nil))

* (^ (setq *PLANTRACE* (if (y-or-n-p "Plantrace?")
                   (if (y-or-n-p "Surface?")
                     'surface
                     t])))

Plantrace?(Y or N): n

* (^ (setq *JUST-FAKE-IT* (y-or-n-p "Fake actions?"))) 

Fake actions?(Y or N): n

Now we tell SNACTor about a set of primitive actions, i.e., actions that will not be further
decomposed before they are executed. The effect of a primitive action is defined as a piece of Lisp
code to be executed whenever the primitive action gets executed. The first set is a set of actions
whose definitions already come with the SNACTor package. The second set is defined via the
function declare-primactions, a function that declares all the primitive actions which were
defined specifically for this demonstration.

* (describe
  (assert member ((build lex "snsequence")
                     (build lex "snif")
                     (build lex "sniterate")
                     (build lex "achieve")
                     (build lex "believe")
                     (build lex "forget")
                     class (build lex "primitive"))))

(M8! (CLASS (M7 (LEX primitive)))
  (MEMBER (M1 (LEX snsequence))
           (M2 (LEX snif))
           (M3 (LEX sniterate))
           (M4 (LEX achieve))
           (M5 (LEX believe))
           (M6 (LEX forget))))

(M8!)

* (^ (declare-primactions))

(tell do-one say type do-all issue clear execute start interpret noop send stop)
The SNePS node described below defines a mapping between landuse codes used in the tables defining the Landuse coverage and their corresponding translations which are more intelligible for humans. This mapping will be used later when we try to identify a certain region on a map.

* (describe
  (assert relation landuse
    attribute lu-code
    translations ( (build value 100 translated-value Urban)
          (build value 200 translated-value Agriculture)
          (build value 300 translated-value Brushland)
          (build value 400 translated-value Forest)
          (build value 500 translated-value Water)
          (build value 700 translated-value Barren)))))

After resetting some internal parser variables we are ready to start up the parser. The prompt will be ":" from now on.

* (^ (reset-all))
* (^ (parse -1))

ATN parser initialization...

Input sentences in normal English orthographic convention.
May go beyond a line by having a space followed by a <CR>
To exit parser, write "end.

Now we tell SNACTor about the domain. We will define some basic concepts and terminology. The next sentence, for example, tells SNACTor that Landuse belongs to the class polygon coverages. This will allow us later on to make assertions about polygon coverages that will automatically apply to Landuse as an instance of this class.

The responses of SNACTor are of the form "I understand that...". These responses are not just echoed input, they rather are generated from the SNePS nodes constructed during the parsing of the sentence with the help of a generation grammar. The generated sentence will match the input sentence if the input was properly understood by SNACTor.

: Landuse is a polygon coverage.

I understand that Landuse is a polygon coverage.
Define the class to which the Streams coverage belongs.

: Streams is a line coverage.

I understand that Streams is a line coverage.

The next sentences define subclass/superclass relationships between different types of coverages.

: Polygon coverages are coverages.

I understand that polygon coverages are coverages.

: Line coverages are coverages.

I understand that line coverages are coverages.

Now we tell SNACTor about peculiarities of the ARC/INFO environment. For example, that arc is a program and that arcpplot is an embedded program. This is important later on, because, for example, before arcpplot can be invoked arc (the frame program) has to be active.

: Arc is a program.

I understand that arc is a program.

: Arcpplot is an embedded program.

I understand that arcpplot is an embedded program.

: Embedded programs are programs.

I understand that embedded programs are programs.

We have to tell SNACTor about the different states ARC/INFO can be in. For example, if arcpplot is running (it is an embedded program) certain commands that are only valid in the frame program arc cannot be executed. That is, arcpplot is active and arc is not. Now, if a certain program needs arcpplot to be active it should activate it if necessary. Activating means starting it if arc is active. A more complicated case with more than one embedded program could require quitting the other embedded program and then starting arcpplot. We will not need this for our example. The connections between starting, stopping and activity of programs is defined as a set of effects of the actions start and stop. That is, a sentence of the form "After ...ing a ... P" defines P as an effect of the mentioned action.

: After starting a program the program is active.

I understand that after performing start on a program, the program is active.

: After stopping a program the program is not active.

I understand that after performing stop on a program, the program is not active.

: After starting an embedded program arc is not active.

I understand that after performing start on a embedded program, arc is not active.
After stopping an embedded program arc is active.

I understand that after performing stop on a embedded program, arc is active.

Here is an interesting sentence: It describes a conditional plan. SNACTor can use it in the following way: Suppose it wants to activate arcplot. It will try to find a plan that tells it how to do this. It will find the plan described below because arcplot is of the class embedded program. Then it checks whether the plan is applicable by examining whether its condition holds. If so it executes the plan.

If arc is active then
a plan to achieve that an embedded program is active is to start
the embedded program.

I understand that if arc is active then a plan to achieve that
a embedded program is active is by performing start on the embedded
program.

Now we tell SNACTor how to plot a coverage. We do this using a special set of primitive actions that allow us to assemble a complex ARC/INFO command in a pseudo natural language style. The three primitive actions say, issue and send work very much like write, write-line and write plus send. The objects of the actions are collected until a send is encountered. Then the collected items are coerced into a single command and sent to ARC/INFO. This feature uses the extension of the grammar that allows reading of arbitrary quoted strings.

The nice thing about this method is that we do not have to define a primitive action for every slightly different ARC/INFO action that we want to perform. It also allows us to say things such as issue the coverage which will result in the right coverage name depending on the coverage that was used to instantiate the plan.

Note that in the plan below we hardcoded lu-code landuse.lut even though we use the general coverage as an argument to polygonshades. This was done for simplicity and because we knew that for this demonstration this plan would be used only to plot the Landuse coverage.

Note also that there is a bug in the generation below. Instead of generating ...performing say on the coverage... it generates ...performing say on Landuse... i.e., it grabs an instance instead of a generic reference.

A plan to plot a polygon coverage is to issue "clear"
and then say "mapextent" and then issue the coverage
and then say "polygonshades" and then say the coverage
and then send "lu-code landuse.lut".

I understand that a plan for performing plot on a polygon coverage
is by performing issue on clear and then performing say on mapextent
and then performing issue on Landuse and then performing say
on polygonshades and then performing say on Landuse and then
performing send on lu-code landuse.lut.

Here is a precondition for plotting (see also the discussion above). A sentence of the form
Before ...ing a P will attach P as a precondition to the action mentioned in the sentence,
i.e., before the action can be executed P has to be satisfied. If P is not satisfied, SNACTor will try
to find a plan to achieve P.
Before plotting a coverage arcplot must be active.

I understand that before performing plot on a coverage, arcplot must be active.

Here is an effect of plotting:

: After plotting a coverage the coverage is displayed.

I understand that after performing plot on a coverage, the coverage is displayed.

We cannot say "After clearing the display no coverage is displayed" and get the implication that after clearing the display all of them are considered as not displayed, hence we have to formulate this effect as a conditional plan:

: If a coverage is displayed then after clearing the display the coverage is not displayed.

I understand that if a coverage is displayed then after performing clear on display, the coverage is not displayed.

How do you display a coverage? Plot it.

: A plan to achieve that a coverage is displayed is to plot the coverage.

I understand that a plan to achieve that a coverage is displayed is by performing plot on the coverage.

The main task of this demonstration is to display the Landuse coverage, a map that indicates different uses of the various regions with different colors and shadings, and then point at some region and have ARC/INFO identify it, i.e., say what use the particular region has.

Here we tell SNACTor some things about identifications. First a little trick: We define this region as a member of the class region. This will allow us later to say "Identify this region" and use a plan that talks about regions in general.

: This region is a region.

I understand that this region is a region.

The following conditional plan describes how to identify a region. The condition says that it applies only if some polygon coverage is displayed. Then we have a collection of says and sends to assemble the necessary ARC/INFO command.

There is one new primitive action in this plan: The action tell. It allows us to send a message to the user just before the identification command is executed in ARC/INFO (that is why tell is the last command before the send). The motivation for this is that the user has to be told that action on his or her part is required and that he or she has to move the mouse and click on some polygon.

The approach chosen here to handle the multi-modality of the ARC/INFO SNACTor interaction is very primitive. A real application should handle this more smoothly which is a nontrivial problem to solve.
: If a polygon coverage is displayed then
a plan to identify a region is to say "identify"
and then say the coverage and then tell
"Move the mouse over the coverage until cross hairs appear
and then choose an area by clicking on it"
and then send "polys *" and then interpret the region on the
coverage.

I understand that if a polygon coverage is displayed then a plan
for performing identify on a region is by performing say on identify
and then performing say on Landuse and then performing tell on
Move the mouse over the coverage until cross hairs appear
and then choose an area by clicking on it
and then performing send on polys * and then performing interpret
on the region and Landuse.

Now we have told SNACTor enough to be able to actually do a few things. First we send a few
initialization commands to ARC/INFO to enable it to find coverage files, and to tell it what kind of
graphics hardware we are using.

The Now doing: ... indicates that the shown command is actually sent to the ARC/INFO
process and executed there.

: Send "$workspace /u0/grads/hans/getstart/data$".

I understand that you want me to perform
send on $workspace /u0/grads/hans/getstart/data$.

Now doing: $workspace /u0/grads/hans/getstart/data$:

: Send "$station 9999$".

I understand that you want me to perform send on $station 9999$.

Now doing: $station 9999$

Initially, arc is active because we started ARC/INFO with the
command arcloop.

: Arc is active.

I understand that arc is active.

Now we tell SNACTor to plot a coverage. Note that in order to plot a coverage it first had to find a
plan for doing this. A precondition for plotting was that arcplot had to be active. Another plan
told it that when arc is active arcplot can be activated by just issuing the command. This
inference led to the execution of arcplot. The second Now doing... just shows an
instantiation of the plan for plotting.

: Plot Landuse.

I understand that you want me to perform plot on Landuse.

Now doing: arcplot
Now doing: clear
mapextent Landuse
polygons shades Landuse lu-code landuse.lut

At this point ARC/INFO has actually displayed a nice colorful map of the Landuse coverage. Now we want to identify some region on this map. Admittedly, Identify this region is a very poor way of expressing that the user wants to know something about a region on the current display. But a more appropriate way would require quite a few extensions to the current grammar. That is why we did it in this simple fashion.

Again, SNACTor first tries to find a plan that describes how an identification can be done (see the conditional plan above). It finds one, verifies that some polygon coverage is actually displayed and hence executes an instantiation of the plan. Right after the message the user can move cross hairs over the coverage by moving the mouse. Clicking on an area will result in highlighting of the region and generating a result that contains a row of the table that describes the attributes of the polygons in the Landuse coverage. This result is then read by SNACTor, interpreted and translated using the landuse code translation table defined at the beginning of the example, and then the user is told the kind of region she or he pointed at. In our example it was brushland.

: Identify this region.

I understand that you want me to perform identify on this region.

*** Move the mouse over the coverage until cross hairs appear
and then choose an area by clicking on it ***

Now doing: identify Landuse polys *

This area on the coverage Landuse is brushland.

This example showed the two-way nature of the interaction between ARC/INFO and SNACTor, i.e., that commands can be sent to ARC/INFO and that results of these commands can be read and interpreted by SNACTor after the command got executed.

Now we clear the display to show that conditional plans are actually conditional.

: Clear the display.

I understand that you want me to perform clear on display.

Now doing: clear

At this point the Landuse coverage has disappeared and the display is clear. When we try again to identify a region nothing happens because the condition of the plan that describes identification, that is that some polygon coverage must be displayed, is not met.

: Identify this region.

I understand that you want me to perform identify on this region.
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CONCLUSION

We have described an interface between ARC/INFO, a geographic information management system, and SNaCTor, the SNePS acting component. We demonstrated how ARC/INFO and SNaCTor can interact by means of an example interaction developed as part of the project. Here are a few of the many interesting questions that have to be answered before a full-fledged natural language front end to ARC/INFO can be developed, for example, how much of the information contained in the ARC/INFO database has to be copied in one form or the other into the SNaCTor knowledge base for proper operation (as done in the mapping between land usage codes and more humanly readable translations thereof), and how much of it can SNaCTor find out itself by consulting the ARC/INFO database directly? Another question is how to handle the multi-modal interaction between the ARC/INFO-SNaCTor system and the user? Even our simple demonstration showed the need for proper synchronization of natural language input and output, identification of regions with help of a mouse, and presentation of database information in graphic as well as tabular form. A lot more research and the development of bigger and more realistic applications is needed to provide answers to these questions.
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NOTES

1 Some of the examples in this paper are very specific to the hardware and software situations at Buffalo in the summer of 1990; however, we feel that these are useful as concrete examples.

2 UNIX is a trademark of AT&T Bell Laboratories.

3 The interface described in this report was developed under the UNIX operating system. There will be various UNIX specific terms used throughout this document. A basic familiarity with the UNIX operating system is assumed.

4 Timing information and excess blank lines have been removed, some lists have been "prettyfied" to overcome problems of Lisp's pretty-printer.

5 The demonstration uses the old morphological analyzer englex.lisp. The new implementation could not be used because of some differences in behavior.