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Introduction

We are proposing to organize the “Killer Examples” for Design Patterns workshop for a fifth time. Previous workshops have been held at OOPSLA 2002 (Seattle), OOPSLA 2003 (Anaheim), OOPSLA 2004 (Vancouver) and OOPSLA 2005 (San Diego). Carl Alphonce has been involved with the workshop since its inception; Michael Caspersen was a participant at both the 2003 and 2004 workshops and was an organizer in 2005; Adrienne Decker was an organizer in 2005; Bruce Trask was a participant at both the 2004 and 2005 workshops.

Our previous workshops were successful on several fronts. The reviews of the workshops by the participants were all very positive. Participants indicated they would like to see future workshops on the same topic and would recommend the workshop to a colleague.

Past suggestions for improving the workshop that we have adopted over the years include,

- keeping the full-day format (one year we tried a half-day format, which was too short),
- allow both presenter and discussant submissions, and
- allow walk-ins on the day of the workshop.

These changes have increased both the number and diversity of participants in the workshops, resulting in much more interesting and productive discussions. A suggestion from previous reviews of the workshop which we’ve been able to address this year is the inclusion of an organizer from industry, Bruce Trask.

In the past we have disseminated results from the workshops in several ways. We have presented posters at both OOPSLA and SIGCSE:


In the past the workshop has been called the “Killer Examples” for Design Patterns and Objects First workshop. The title we are proposing for this year’s workshop is shortened for two reasons: the old title was very long, and in practice all presentations have in the past been tied to design patterns. We do not intend to exclude submissions which are focussed on the objects-first approach, as long as there is some tie-in with design patterns; this is made clear in the abstract and call for papers.
http://www.cs.rit.edu/~sigcse06/posters1.shtml


  http://www.oopsla.org/2004/ShowEvent.do?id=564


  http://www.sigcse.org/conferences/FinalProgram03.pdf (pg. 35)

We also presented a hands-on workshop at SIGCSE 2004 which presented results from the first two OOPSLA “Killer Examples” workshops. The fact that the workshop filled to capacity (30) and had a waiting list of 16 demonstrates that there is great interest in techniques and methods for teaching OO at the introductory course levels. The OOPSLA workshops have a different focus than the SIGCSE workshop had; the OOPSLA workshop serves as a forum for educators with successful techniques and approaches to share them with each other. The SIGCSE workshop sought to disseminate the results of the OOPSLA workshop more widely, to educators who are seeking effective means to teach this material.

  http://www.sigcse.org/conferences/FinalProgram04.pdf (pg. 25)

### Themes and Goals

**killer app** The application that actually makes a sustaining market for a promising but under-utilized technology.

First used in the mid-1980s to describe Lotus 1-2-3 once it became evident that demand for that product had been the major driver of the early business market for IBM PCs. The term was then retrospectively applied to VisiCalc, which had played a similar role in the success of the Apple II. After 1994 it became commonplace to describe the World Wide Web as the Internet’s killer app. One of the standard questions asked about each new personal-computer technology as it emerges has become “what’s the killer app?”

The Jargon File
The theme of this workshop is “killer examples” for design patterns. We take these to be examples which provide clear and compelling motivation for design pattern use.

Today’s computer science students are tomorrow’s software developers. They will design and implement solutions to complex problems. Object orientation is an excellent approach to managing the complexity of large, real-world software systems. Design patterns are an essential part of an object oriented approach to managing complexity. Our experience teaching CS1/CS2 has convinced us that it is possible and desirable to teach object orientation from a design patterns perspective.

Faculty and software developers who have learned to program using a non object-oriented approach often view object orientation with skepticism. Some find it difficult to see the benefits that object orientation offers. Others recognize that object orientation has great promise but feel that both object orientation and design patterns are too complex and too abstract for beginners to learn.

The objects-first approach is recognized in CC2001 [0] as a legitimate entry point to the computer science curriculum. There are compelling arguments [1,2,3] for the inclusion of design patterns at an early stage of the computer science curriculum, even in an objects-first CS1 course. Our collective experience teaching CS1 and CS2 has convinced us that it is possible and desirable to teach object orientation from a design patterns perspective.

A question to be addressed is how to present design patterns and object oriented concepts in a grounded manner so that their purpose and applicability are plainly grasped by a beginning student. There is certainly no dearth of materials expounding the wonders of design patterns (e.g. [5]). These materials are, for the most part, directed at those with considerable experience with object orientation to start with. Many object-oriented programming texts lack original compelling and grounded examples to serve as motivation for fundamental object oriented concepts. An excellent text which suffers this fate is [4]: its presentation of design patterns is tied to the GOF examples and applications within the JFC/Swing classes. More variety and inspiration would surely help students understand the significance and practical usefulness of design patterns.

In [2], the authors conclude by noting that since “Design patterns and object orientation do not always scale down, care in choosing examples will help ensure that educators and students appreciate the power of design patterns.”

It is the aim of this workshop is to address this issue. We believe there are “naturally occurring” and compelling examples to motivate individual design patterns. The goals of the workshop are

1. to elicit from the participants “the application [the example] that actually makes a sustaining market [compelling argument] for a promising but under-utilized technology [design patterns],” and
2. to bring together educators and developers to share their respective design pattern and object-oriented expertise, and
3. to provide a forum for discussion of techniques for presenting these examples and the design patterns they showcase to students.
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Organizer Backgrounds and Roles

Carl Alphonce is Research Assistant Professor and Teaching Assistant Professor at the University at Buffalo, State University of New York. He earned a Ph.D. from the University of British Columbia in 2000. He has taught an object-oriented introduction to computer science for 8 years.  

He has organized and been chair of the “Killer Examples” workshops at OOPSLA 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005. He organized and was chair of the “Teaching Design Patterns in CS1/CS2” workshop at SIGCSE 2004. He organized and was chair of a special session entitled “Teaching using off-the shelf online materials” at SIGCSE 2001. He was co-organizer of a session at the 2002 International Conference on Engineering Education.

Selected publications:

http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/949344.949391

http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/949344.949359

http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/544414.544437

http://www.cs.umu.se/~jubo/Meetings/OOPSLA01/Contributions/PVentura.html

Workshop role

His roles in the workshop include chair and primary contact. As chair he is primarily responsible for the organization of the workshop, putting out the call for submissions and participation, coordinating the submission reviewing process, maintenance of the listserv for pre- and post-workshop activities, maintenance of the workshop web site, scheduling the workshop activities, and introducing and running the workshop. He will also contribute to the creation of a poster reporting on the results of the workshop.

Michael E. Caspersen is an Academic Researcher and Director of IT University West at University of Aarhus, Denmark. He has a B.Sc. in computer science and mathematics from University of Aarhus (1984) and a M.Sc. in computer science from University of Aarhus (1987). He has taught (introductory) programming for over 20 years and (introductory) object-oriented programming for over 15 years.

He organized and was chair of ITiCSE 2002 conference in Aarhus, and he has been on the program committee of ITiCSE 2000, ITiCSE 2001, and the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th Annual Finnish/Baltic Sea Conference on Computer Science Education (2002-06). Together with Michael Kolling he organized and held a full-day workshop entitled ”Teaching Introductory Object-Oriented Programming – Dangers, Traps, and a Road Map” at SIGCSE 2001, and a similar tutorial at ITiCSE 2001. Together with Jens Bennedsen he organized and held a workshop entitled ”Model-Driven Programming Education” at SIGCSE 2005. He was a participant of two previous ”Killer Examples” workshops, at OOPSLA 2003 and 2004, and co-organizer of the workshop at OOPSLA 2005.

He has published more than a dozen papers on computer science education, including papers on how to teach frameworks and design patterns early in the curriculum. He is also author of a two-volume textbook on programming (in Danish, 1993).

Selected publications:


Workshop role

His roles in the workshop include reviewer and moderator. As reviewer he is responsible for reviewing submissions for possible acceptance. As moderator he is responsible for facilitating discussion on the listserv during pre-workshop activities as well as during the workshop itself. He will also contribute to the creation of a poster reporting on the results of the workshop.

Adrienne Decker is a Lecturer at the University at Buffalo, State University of New York. She holds a Master’s Degree in Computer Science and Engineering from the University at Buffalo, and is currently working on the completion of her Ph.D., which is focused on assessment issues in introductory computer science courses.

She has taught an object-oriented introduction to computer science at UB since 2001 and has been involved with the continued improvement of the curriculum in those courses as well as the creation of assignments that blend the introductory material with key object-oriented concepts and especially with the use of design patterns. She was a co-organizer of the 2005 "Killer Examples" workshop.

Selected publications:


Workshop role

Her roles in the workshop include reviewer and moderator. As reviewer she is responsible for reviewing submissions for possible acceptance. As moderator she is responsible for facilitating discussion on the listserv during pre-workshop activities as well as during the workshop itself. She will also contribute to the creation of a poster reporting on the results of the workshop.

Bruce Trask has been architecting and implementing large-scale distributed real-time and embedded systems for many years. He has also been teaching OO, UML, Design Patterns, C++ and other classes and study groups intensively for the past 10 years. He has incorporated many design patterns into many real world systems. He is a regular speaker at industry conferences. He is currently the Director of Software and Lead Architect for PrismTech (www.prismtech.com)

The following document describes one of the major programs to which he and his colleague applied patterns heavily:


Bruce Trask has also co-organized several Design Patterns study groups:

http://www.industriallogic.com/patterns/ili_nyc_4g.html
http://industriallogic.com/patterns/ili_nyc_posa2.html
http://industriallogic.com/patterns/ili_nyc_at.html
Workshop role

His roles in the workshop include reviewer and moderator. As reviewer he is responsible for reviewing submissions for possible acceptance. As moderator he is responsible for facilitating discussion on the listserv during pre-workshop activities as well as during the workshop itself. He will also contribute to the creation of a poster reporting on the results of the workshop.

As a representative of industry he will also assist in the advertisement of the workshop to a broader audience than has been possible in the past.

Previous related workshops

The most directly related prior workshops are the previous “Killer Examples” workshops. The proposed workshop follows the same pattern as the previous three, addressing the feedback of participants.

We have selected two workshops/conferences as representative of a larger variety of events related to design patterns. We contrast the focus of these with that of the workshop we are currently proposing.

- Workshop on Pedagogies and Tools for Assimilating Object Oriented Concepts
  This recurring workshop has as its goal for to “share experiences about alternative teaching approaches and tools to improve the teaching and learning of the basic concepts of object technology rather than teaching a specific programming language.”
  http://oopsla.acm.org/oopsla2001/fp/workshops/05.html
  This workshop has in the past alternated between OOPSLA in North America and a conference in Europe, such as ECOOP. The last North American hosting of the workshop occurred in 2001, at the Tampa OOPSLA.

- ChiliPLoP
  ChiliPLoP is a conference “designed to meet the special needs of two groups in the software community, pattern newcomers and pattern experts.”

Our current workshop will bring together educators and developers to address how best to ground abstract design patterns in a manner which makes them accessible to those unfamiliar with object orientation and/or design patterns.

Our workshop addresses a more specific concern (examples for design patterns) than the Workshop on Pedagogies and Tools for Assimilating Object Oriented Concepts. It also addressed a broader audience as it seeks to involve both academics and developers.

The ChiliPLoP conference focuses on writing patterns, by providing proving feedback and support for developers who author patterns. Our workshop is searching for examples which motivate the use of existing design patterns.

Expected number of participants

In the first two workshops we restricted participation to only those who submitted examples for presentation. Based on our experience with the SIGCSE workshop enrollment, as well as inquiries we have received regarding “observer status” at the previous OOPSLA workshops, we understand there is interest in participating without presenting. We therefore made the last two years’ workshop more accessible in two ways:
We encouraged submissions to participate as presenters and as discussants. A presenter submitted a “Killer Example”, while a discussant submitted a statement of interest. Prior to the workshop everyone (presenters, discussants and organizers) communicated via a listserv mailing list, discussing drafts of the examples to be presented at the workshop. At the workshop presenters presented their examples and participated in the discussion of other examples, while discussants participated in the discussions only.

We also allowed walk-ins at the workshop, as long as there was capacity in the room (we reached the room capacity of about 20 - we had expected about 12 participants).

We propose to structure this year’s workshop like last year’s, with presenters and discussants, as well as walk-ins. The main distinction between a discussant and a walk-in is that discussants are guaranteed place in the workshop, and can participate in the pre-workshop activities.

We expect 3 to 5 example presenters, and 6 to 10 other participants. Including the four organizers we expect the workshop to have between 13 and 19 total participants. The ideal number would be 4 example presenters and 8 discussants, for a total of 16 total participants.

Workshop preparation (pre-workshop activities)

Prior to the workshop we expect participants to submit their contribution for posting on a web page. As with previous workshops, a listserv e-mail forum will be set up to allow for pre-workshop discussion of the posted proposals. We will invite participants to discuss the problems, their solutions, as well as the contributor’s justification for the example. We expect the discussion to cover the effectiveness of the submitted examples and what makes examples effective (or ineffective). Constructive feedback to improve submitted examples will also be welcome, so that the workshop discussions can focus on more substantial issues.

In the past several years pre-workshop activities have been slow to get started. To re-invigorate these activities and make them more worthwhile to participants we propose to make available a prepared example (problem statement plus solution code) along with pedagogical motivation for discussion on the listserv.

Planned workshop activities

We are proposing a full-day workshop. The morning program will consist of a short introductory presentation by the organizers, followed by presentations by the presenters of their submissions.

In the afternoon we break into smaller groups for more intense and focused discussions. For these discussions we break into four smaller groups, I through IV. Each ground consists of the presenter(s) of the indicated example, a workshop organizer, and discussants. We mix and match the groups so that everyone gets a chance to discuss each example with its presenter(s).

Here is a tentative schedule for events, modelled after last year’s schedule, assuming we have 4 accepted presentations:

8:30 Introduction 10 minutes
8:40 1st example 30 minute presentation, 10 minute question period
9:20 2nd example 30 minute presentation, 10 minute question period
10:00 Coffee break 30 minutes
10:30 3rd example 30 minute presentation, 10 minute question period
11:10 4th example  30 minute presentation, 10 minute question period
11:50 Morning wrap-up  10 minutes
12:00 Lunch  60 minutes
1:00 Small group discussions, round 1  40 minutes (groups I & II, groups III & IV)
1:40 Small group discussions, round 2  40 minutes (groups I & III, groups II & IV)
2:20 Small group discussions, round 3  40 minutes (groups I & IV, groups II & III)
3:00 Coffee break  30 minutes
3:30 Poster materials preparation  50 minutes
4:20 Poster materials presentation  30 minutes
4:50 Workshop wrap-up (summary of findings, reactions)  10 minutes

This schedule assumes that the day starts at 8:30 AM and finishes at 5:00 PM. If the day is longer we will distribute that time to presentation and discussion periods.

The discussion periods will center around understanding what makes a particular example a “killer”, and how the example could be improved or adapted for use at different institutions.

Post-workshop activities

After the workshop participants will be expected to submit final versions of their examples for inclusion on a web site for general dissemination. The web sites from previous years’ workshop are linked from:

http://www.cse.buffalo.edu/faculty/alphonce/KillerExamples/

As noted, we have in the past presented posters of the workshop at the conference poster session, and are prepared to do so again. The workshop poster is halfway prepared ahead of time, with general information about the workshop and its presentations, but with open space for workshop outcomes, to be filled in after the workshop.

The organizers will also endeavor to advertise the workshop activities by submitting to relevant related conferences; as noted we have in the past presented workshop results at both OOPSLA and SIGCSE, and plan to submit posters at both OOPSLA 2006 and SIGCSE 2007.

We maintain, at the above-noted website, materials from previous years’ workshops which serve as a resource for educators seeking materials. We will encourage participants to post updates to their materials after the workshop is over and as they are using the examples in the classroom.

Special requirements

The workshop organizers and most participants will bring laptops. We will therefore require power for them (i.e. power strips are required for each table). A data projector has been available for each of the previous workshops, and has proven very beneficial. We would be most pleased if arrangements for data projectors could be made.

Other items which we have made use of in the past include an overhead projector, easels with paper and markers, and whiteboards with dry-erase markers.
Abstract

According to the Jargon File, a killer app is one “that actually makes a sustaining market for a promising but under-utilized technology.” A “killer example” provides clear, compelling motivation for a design pattern or design principle. An example is “killer” if it compels someone to spontaneously “buy in” to that design pattern or technique.

“Killer examples” are important pedagogically because they get students on-board and excited about design and design patterns, and motivate design pattern use to those not familiar with object-orientation, dispelling the myth that design patterns are esoteric.

The workshop’s goal is to gather educators and developers who have “killer examples” to present, or who wish to discuss the examples and techniques for presenting design patterns to beginners. Pre-workshop activities encourage interaction and refinement of examples before workshop presentation. The workshop strives to help people learn how to create compelling examples by themselves.