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Abstract
The “Killer Examples” series of workshops are highly interactive workshops which have been an annual occurrence at OOPSLA since 2002. The goals of the workshops are to bring together educators and developers to share their object-oriented expertise, and to provide a forum for discussion of teaching techniques and pedagogical goals. The theme of last year’s workshop was design patterns; the theme of this year’s workshop is process: for teaching, learning and programming.

While there is a formal application procedure to guarantee admission to the workshop, we do accept walk-ins if space permits and the walk-ins are determined to have adequate interest and background in the workshop theme to be able to contribute positively to the discussions.

Categories and Subject Descriptors K.3.2 [Computers and Education]: Computer and Information Science Education—Computer Science Education

General Terms Design

Keywords Object-orientation, Pedagogy, Process, Teaching, Learning, Programming

1. Themes and Goals

killer app The application that actually makes a sustaining market for a promising but under-utilized technology.

First used in the mid-1980s to describe Lotus 1-2-3 once it became evident that demand for that product had been the major driver of the early business market for IBM PCs. The term was then retroactively applied to VisiCalc, which had played a similar role in the success of the Apple II. After 1994 it became commonplace to describe the World Wide Web as the Internet’s killer app. One of the standard questions asked about each new personal-computer technology as it emerges has become “what’s the killer app?”

For the past five years we have organized and run, with various colleagues from different institutions, the “Killer Examples” series of workshops at OOPSLA. These workshops have been well-received, and have adapted over the years in response to attendee feedback and to keep interest high.

This year, with two new organizers on-board (Jürgen Börstler from Umeå Universitet and Michael Kölling from University of Kent) we are proposing to make more substantial changes to the workshop, to broaden both its appeal and its scope. In the past the “Killer Examples” workshops have focused on gathering examples of design pattern usage which are suitable for use in a CS curriculum, especially in beginning courses. This has, to a large extent, put the focus on what we teach.

This year we want to shift the focus more to how we teach object orientation. This is a timely issue because many educators, who are not well-versed in object-orientation, have been slow to adopt, or unsuccessful at adopting, or worse, reluctant to try adopting, an object-oriented approach, especially in CS1-CS2 courses. We believe part of the difficulty stems from educators lacking a sound pedagogy for teaching newcomers to object orientation (be they beginning students or seasoned professionals trying to move into the OO arena) how to “think in objects”. Another part of the difficulty lies in students not being given a clear and effective process for tackling problems in an OO way.

The main theme of this workshop is therefore “process”, and the main goals of the workshop are to address questions like the following:

- What are the “killer” pedagogical processes that educators use?
- What are the “killer” problem-solving processes that students use?

We are interested in addressing these questions because finding answers to them will lead us to a better understanding of the following kinds of issues:

- While first-year undergraduate students often have prior coursework in computer programming, they appear not to be as well-prepared for university-level CS courses.
- What are the mental models (of programs/programming) held by novices? Knowing them could improve the way we teach.
• What is the role of early design/modelling in an objects-first curriculum? How does the teaching process and the students' programming process address design/modeling?

• What are needs of industry - what will keep students competitive in the workplace?

If accepted, this will be our sixth workshop at OOPSLA. Previous workshops have been held at OOPSLA 2002 through OOPSLA 2006. Carl Alphonce has been involved with the workshop since its inception: Michael Caspersen was a participant at both the 2003 and 2004 workshops and was an organizer in both 2005 and 2006; Adrienne Decker was an organizer in both 2005 and 2006; Jürgen Börstler is a new organizer this year, who has organized the very successful Workshop on Pedagogies and Tools for the Teaching and Learning of Object Oriented Concepts workshop series, which has been held OOPSLA 1997, ECOOP 1998, OOPSLA 1999, ECOOP 2000, OOPSLA 2001 and ECOOP 2002 through ECOOP 2007; Michael Kölling is also a new organizer this year, who has organized several BlueJ workshops, and served on the program committee of OOPSLA 2005.

Our previous workshops were successful on several fronts. The reviews of the workshops by the participants were all very positive. Participants indicated they would like to see future workshops on the same topic and would recommend the workshop to a colleague.

In the past we have disseminated results from the workshops in several ways. We have presented posters at both OOPSLA and SIGCSE:


We also presented a hands-on workshop at SIGCSE 2004 which presented results from the first two OOPSLA “Killer Examples” workshops. The fact that the workshop filled to capacity (30) and had a waiting list of 16 demonstrates that there is great interest in techniques and methods for teaching OO at the introductory course levels. The OOPSLA workshops have a different focus than the SIGCSE workshop had; the OOPSLA workshop serves as a forum for educators with successful techniques and approaches to share them with each other. The SIGCSE workshop sought to disseminate the results of the OOPSLA workshop more widely, to educators who are seeking effective means to teach this material.


We also presented a poster at SIGCSE 2007 with highlights from the first five years of the “Killer Examples” workshop series:

• Carl Alphonce, Michael Caspersen and Adrienne Decker. (2007). “Killer “Killer Examples” for Design Patterns”. In the proceedings of the 38th Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (SIGCSE). doi.acm.org/10.1145/1227504.1227390

While we have presented results from the workshops at SIGCSE, and participants at SIGCSE are aware of the workshops, we have found that we draw participants to the workshop mostly from the traditional OOPSLA Educators’ symposium crowd. We hope to attract more people to the workshop by broadening the focus away from just design patterns, which may be perceived as too advanced for those just thinking of adopting OO in their courses. We also hope that the East-coast location of OOPSLA will draw more participants from to OOPSLA from the East-coast crowd, which includes many SIGCSE participants, and also participants from Europe.

Workshop Organizers

• Carl Alphonce, Research and Teaching Assistant Professor, University at Buffalo.
  e-mail: alphonce@cse.buffalo.edu

• Michael E. Caspersen, Director, IT-Vest, University of Aarhus.
  e-mail: mec@daimi.au.dk

• Adrienne Decker, Lecturer, University at Buffalo.
  e-mail: adrienne@cse.buffalo.edu

• Jürgen Börstler, Associate Professor, Uméa Universitet.
  e-mail: jub@cs.umu.se

• Michael Kölling, Senior Lecturer, University of Kent
  e-mail: M.Kolling@kent.ac.uk

Primary Contact and Chair

Carl Alphonce
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Organizer Backgrounds and Roles

Carl Alphonce is a Research Assistant Professor and Teaching Assistant Professor at the University at Buffalo, State University of New York. He earned a Ph.D. from the University of British Columbia in 2000. He has taught an object-oriented introduction to computer science for 9 years.

He has organized and been chair of all of the “Killer Examples” workshops, from OOPSLA 2002 through 2006. He organized and was chair of the “Teaching Design Patterns in CS1/CS2” workshop at SIGCSE 2004. He organized and was chair of a special session entitled “Teaching using off-the shelf on-line materials” at SIGCSE 2001. He was co-organizer of a session at the 2002 International Conference on Engineering Education.

Selected publications:


Workshop role

His roles in the workshop include chair and primary contact. As chair he is primarily responsible for the organization of the workshop, putting out the call for submissions and participation, coordinating the submission review process, maintenance of the listserv for pre- and post-workshop activities, maintenance of the workshop web site, scheduling the workshop activities, and introducing and running the workshop. He will also contribute to the creation of a poster reporting on the results of the workshop.

Michael E. Caspersen is an Academic Researcher and Director of IT University West at University of Aarhus, Denmark. He has a B.Sc. in computer science and mathematics from University of Aarhus (1984) and a M.Sc. in computer science from University of Aarhus (1987). He has taught (introductory) programming for over 20 years and (introductory) object-oriented programming for over 15 years.

He organized and was chair of ITICSE 2002 conference in Aarhus, and he has been on the program committee of ITICSE 2000, ITICSE 2001, and the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th Annual Finnish/Baltic Sea Conference on Computer Science Education (2002-06). Together with Michael Kölling he organized and held a full-day workshop entitled “Teaching Introductory Object-Oriented Programming – Dangers, Traps, and a Road Map” at SIGCSE 2001, and a similar tutorial at ITICSE 2001. Together with Jens Bennedsen he organized and held a workshop entitled “Model-Driven Programming Education” at SIGCSE 2005. He was a participant of two previous “Killer Examples” workshops, at OOPSLA 2003 and 2004, and co-organizer of the workshop at OOPSLA 2005.

He has published more than a dozen papers on computer science education, including papers on how to teach frameworks and design patterns early in the curriculum. He is also author of a two-volume textbook on programming (in Danish, 1993). He is a founding member of the Scandinavian Pedagogy of Programming Network (SpOp) established in fall 2004, and co-editor of Scandinavian Pedagogy of Programming, to appear.

Selected publications:


Workshop role

His roles in the workshop include reviewer and moderator. As reviewer he is responsible for reviewing submissions for possible acceptance. As moderator he is responsible for facilitating discussion on the listserv during pre-workshop activities as well as during the workshop itself. He will also contribute to the creation of a poster reporting on the results of the workshop.

Adrienne Decker is a Lecturer at the University at Buffalo, State University of New York. She holds a Master’s Degree in Computer Science and Engineering from the University at Buffalo, and is currently working on the completion of her Ph.D., which is focused on assessment issues in introductory computer science courses.

Workshop role

His roles in the workshop include reviewer and moderator. As reviewer he is responsible for reviewing submissions for possible acceptance. As moderator he is responsible for facilitating discussion on the listserv during pre-workshop activities as well as during the workshop itself. He will also contribute to the creation of a poster reporting on the results of the workshop.

Michael Kölling is a Senior Lecturer at the University of Kent. He received a PhD from the University of Sydney, and has been teaching introductory programming for 13 years. His work in software tools for programming learning and teaching has led to the development of the Bluej and Greenfoot environments, which were designed to support initial learning of programming. Together with David Barnes, he is the author of an introductory programming textbook.
Michael has organised several workshops about programming with Bluej and Greenfoot, and was on the Programme Committee of OOPSLA 2005.

Selected publications:

Workshop role

His roles in the workshop include reviewer and moderator. As reviewer he is responsible for reviewing submissions for possible acceptance. As moderator he is responsible for facilitating discussion on the listserv during pre-workshop activities as well as during the workshop itself. He will also contribute to the creation of a poster reporting on the results of the workshop.

Previous related workshops

The most directly related prior workshops are the previous “Killer Examples” workshops. The proposed workshop follows the same...
pattern as the previous five, but is not focused solely on design patterns or even on what we teach, but rather on how we teach and how students learn.

Another highly related workshop is the Workshop on Pedagogies and Tools for Assimilating Object Oriented Concepts. This recurring workshop has as its goal for to "share experiences about alternative teaching approaches and tools to improve the teaching and learning of the basic concepts of object technology rather than teaching a specific programming language." (oospa.acm.org/oospa2001/fp/workshops/05.html)

This workshop has in the past alternated between OOPSLA in North America and a conference in Europe, such as ECOOP. The last North American hosting of the workshop occurred in 2001, at the Tampa OOPSLA. We discussed with Jürgen Börstler, the main organizer of this workshop series, the possibility of holding a joint workshop at OOPSLA, to broaden the scope of our workshop and also to broaden the participation in the workshop. We are delighted that he agreed to join in the organization of this OOPSLA workshop.

Finally, the OOPSLA Educators’ Symposium is also clearly related to this workshop, insofar as it also addresses issues of pedagogy in an object-oriented setting. However, the Educators’ Symposium is significantly broader in its scope, addressing a full spectrum of object-oriented issues throughout the curriculum. It is also much larger, typically drawing on the order of one hundred participants. Finally, the Educators’ Symposium is organized more as a mini-conference rather than as an intimate and hands-on workshop, which is what we’re proposing. This workshop will complement, rather than compete with, the Educators’ Symposium.

Expected number of participants

In the first two workshops we restricted participation to only those who submitted examples for presentation. Based on our experience with the SIGCSE workshop enrollment, as well as inquiries we have received regarding “observer status” at the previous OOPSLA workshops, we understand there is interest in participating without formally presenting. We therefore made the last three years’ workshop more accessible in two ways:

- We encouraged submissions to participate as presenters and as discussants. A presenter submitted a short (3-5 page) paper describing their “Killer Example”, while a discussant submitted a statement of interest. Prior to the workshop everyone (presenters, discussants and organizers) communicated via a listserv mailing list, discussing drafts of the examples to be presented at the workshop. At the workshop presenters presented their examples and participated in the discussion of other examples, while discussants participated in the discussions only.
- We also allowed walk-ins at the workshop, as long as there was capacity in the room (we reached the room capacity of about 20 - we had expected about 12 participants).

We propose to structure this year’s workshop in the same fashion, with presenters and discussants, as well as walk-ins. The main distinction between a discussant and a walk-in is that discussants are guaranteed place in the workshop, and can participate in the pre-workshop activities.

We expect 3 to 5 presenters, and 12 to 15 other participants. Including the organizers we expect the workshop to have between 20 and 25 total participants. The ideal number would be 4 example presenters, 12 discussants, and 5 organizers, for a total of 21 total participants.

Workshop advertisement

We will advertise the workshop on mailing lists such as the SIGCSE list, the AP-CS list, OOTINCSE, and various other mailing lists whose main audiences are likely to be interested in the workshop topic. The organizers will also post notices on their homepages to help advertise the workshop.

Workshop preparation (pre-workshop activities)

Prior to the workshop we expect participants to submit their contribution for posting on a web page. Presenters submit a short paper, while discussants submit a brief statement of interest. As with previous workshops, a listserv e-mail forum is set up to allow for pre-workshop discussion of submissions.

As moderators of the pre-workshop activities the workshop organizers will ensure that the discussion on the listserv is active and constructive, by asking questions and generally engaging the workshop participants in dialog. This process generally results in constructive feedback which submitters can use to revise and improve their submissions prior to the workshop. The allows us to use our workshop time more effectively: since everyone has a good sense of the background issues, this permits workshop discussions to focus on more substantial issues.

Workshop activities and format

We are proposing a full-day workshop. The morning program will consist of a short introductory presentation by the organizers, followed by presentations by the presenters of their submissions.

In the afternoon we break into smaller groups for more intense and focused discussions. Each group consists of a presenter(s), at least one a workshop organizer (who serves as a facilitator), and discussants. We mix and match the groups so that everyone gets a chance to discuss each example with its presenter(s).

Here is a tentative schedule for events, modelled after last year’s schedule, assuming we have 4 accepted presentations:

8:30 Introduction 10 minutes
8:40 1st example 30 minute presentation, 10 minute question period
9:20 2nd example 30 minute presentation, 10 minute question period
10:00 Coffee break 30 minutes
10:30 3rd example 30 minute presentation, 10 minute question period
11:10 4th example 30 minute presentation, 10 minute question period
11:50 Morning wrap-up 10 minutes
12:00 Lunch 60 minutes
1:00 Small group discussions, round 1 40 minutes (groups I & II, groups III & IV)
1:40 Small group discussions, round 2 40 minutes (groups I & III, groups II & IV)
2:20 Small group discussions, round 3 40 minutes (groups I & IV, groups II & III)
3:00 Coffee break 30 minutes
3:30 Poster materials preparation 50 minutes
4:20 Poster materials presentation 30 minutes
4:50 Workshop wrap-up (summary of findings, reactions) 10 minutes
This schedule assumes that the day starts at 8:30 AM and finishes at 5:00 PM. If the day is longer we will distribute that time to presentation and discussion periods.

The discussion periods will center around understanding what makes a particular process a “killer”, and how it could be improved or adapted for use at different institutions.

Post-workshop activities

After the workshop participants will be expected to submit final versions of their submissions for inclusion on a web site for general dissemination. The web sites from previous years’ workshop are linked from:

www.cse.buffalo.edu/faculty/alphonce/KillerExamples/

As noted, we have in the past presented posters of the workshop at the conference poster session, and are prepared to do so again. The workshop poster is halfway prepared ahead of time, with general information about the workshop and its presentations, but with open space for workshop outcomes, to be filled in after the workshop.

The organizers will also endeavor to advertise the workshop activities by submitting to relevant related conferences; as noted we have in the past presented workshop results at both OOPSLA and SIGCSE; this year, with a large European contingent on the organizing committee of the workshop, we plan to submit posters at both OOPSLA 2007, SIGCSE 2008, ITiCSE 2008 and ECOOP 2008.

We maintain, at the above-noted website, materials from previous years’ workshops which serve as a resource for educators seeking materials. We will encourage participants to post updates to their materials after the workshop is over and as they are using the examples in the classroom.

Special requirements

The workshop organizers and most participants will bring laptops. We will therefore require power for them (i.e. power strips are required for each table). A data projector has been available for each of the previous workshops, and has proven very beneficial. We would be most pleased if arrangements for data projectors could be made.

Other items which we have made use of in the past include an overhead projector, easels with paper and markers, and whiteboards with dry-erase markers.