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## Lec 36: Memory Hierarchy

## Announcements

- PA3 due last night (submissions close Tues @ 11:59PM)
- WA5 will be released today
- Course evaluation!
- This is the last week of recitations



## LIES!

Lie \#1: Accessing any element of an array of any length is $\mathbf{O}(1)$

- This assumes the "RAM" model of computation
- Simple, but not perfect
- Real-world hardware isn't this simple
- Memory is hierarchical
- Non-Uniform Memory Access (NUMA)

Lie \#2: The constants don't matter...

## Algorithmic Complexity

Remember: $\mathbf{O}(f(n))$ placed bounds on growth functions in general. Not necessarily only for runtime growth functions...
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## Algorithmic Complexity

Remember: $\mathbf{O}(f(n))$ placed bounds on growth functions in general. Not necessarily only for runtime growth functions...

Runtime Bounds (or Runtime Complexity)

- The algorithm takes $\mathbf{O}(. .$.$) time$

Memory Bounds (or Memory Complexity)

- The algorithm needs $\mathbf{O}(. .$.$) storage$

I/O Bounds (or I/O Complexity)

- The algorithm performs $\mathbf{O}(. .$.$) accesses to slower memory$


## The Memory Hierarchy (simplified)
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## Reading an Array Entry
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## Reading an Array Entry

## In order to read an Array Entry:

1. Is the array entry in cache?
a. Yes: Return it (1-4 clock cycles)
b. No: Is it in real memory?
i. Yes: Load it into a cache line (10s of cycles)
ii. No: Load it from a page of virtual memory (100s of cycles)

## Reading an Array Entry

## In order to read an Array Entry: <br> Tiny constant

1. Is the array entry in cache?
a. Yes: Return it (1-4 clock cycles)
b. No: Is it in real memory?

OK constant

HUGE constant
i. Yes: Load it into a cache line (10s of cycles)
ii. No: Load it from a page of virtual memory (100s of cycles)

## Ground Rules: Disk vs RAM

1. All data starts off in a file on disk
a. Need to load data into RAM before accessing it
b. Load data in 4KB pages
c. Amount of RAM is finite
2. Must describe 3 features of an algorithm
a. Number of instructions (runtime complexity)
b. Number of data loads (I/O complexity)
c. Number of pages of RAM required (memory complexity)

Note: Similar rules apply to any pair of levels in the hierarchy

## Binary Search

## Example:
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## Example:
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> Step 14
> $(\log (16,384)=14)$
> Load Page 0
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## Binary Search: Complexity

Steps 0-14: Sloooooow...each one loaded a new page (15 pages loaded)
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Steps 0-14: Sloooooow...each one loaded a new page (15 pages loaded)
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Runtime complexity $=\mathbf{O}(\log (n))$
What's the memory complexity? $\mathbf{O ( 1 )}$
How many pages do we need loaded at one time? 1 page...we only care about the maximum memory we will need at any one time

## Binary Search: Complexity

Steps 0-14: Sloooooow...each one loaded a new page (15 pages loaded)
Steps 15-19: Fast! All access the page loaded on step 14
Runtime complexity $=\mathbf{O}(\log (n))$
What's the memory complexity? O(1)
How many pages do we need loaded at one time? 1 page...we only care about the maximum memory we will need at any one time

What about I/O complexity?

## Binary Search: I/O Complexity

Let's set up some variables:

- $\boldsymbol{n}$ - total number of records
- $\boldsymbol{R}$ - record size (in Bytes)
- $\boldsymbol{P}$ - page size (in Bytes)
- $C$ - $L R / P 」$ records per page
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## Binary Search: I/O Complexity

Binary Search does $\log (n)$ steps broken into two stages:
Stage 1: Each request has to load a new page into memory

- $\log (n)-\log (C)$ steps

Stage 2: The remaining requests all happen in the same page

- $\log (C)$ steps

Remember: Our page size is fixed...C records per page Therefore: The last $\log (C)$ binary search steps are all on the same page

## Binary Search: I/O Complexity

Binary Search does $\mathbf{O}(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g } ( n )} \boldsymbol{-} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g } ( C ) )}$ ) loads from memory
Therefore: I/O complexity of Binary Search is $\log (n)$

## Binary Search: Complexity

## Binary Search Complexity:

- Runtime Complexity: $\mathbf{O}(\log (n))$
- Memory Complexity: O(1)
- I/O Complexity: $\mathbf{O}(\log (n))$

How can we improve on this?

## Observations

## Observation 1:

- Total size of records: $64 \mathrm{MB}=2^{20} \mathrm{x}$ sizeof(key + data)
- Total size of keys only: $8 \mathrm{MB}=2^{20} \mathrm{x}$ sizeof(key)


## Observation 2:

- The first stage doesn't care what array index the record is at, just the page it is on
- Each page stores a contiguous range of keys...


## Fence Pointers

Idea: Precompute the greatest key stored on each page

- $\boldsymbol{n}$ total records, $\boldsymbol{C}$ records per page, $\boldsymbol{n} / \mathbf{C}$ keys required
- For our example, $2^{20}$ records needs $2^{14}$ pages, therefore $2^{14}$ keys
- $2^{20} 64$ byte records need 64 MB memory
- $2^{14} 8$ byte keys only needs 512KB memory
- Call this a "Fence Pointer Table" and store it in memory

RAM: $2^{14}=16,384$ keys (Fence Pointer Table)

Disk: 16,384 pages (Actual Data)

## Fence Pointer Example

Binary Search for 321


| Disk: | keys 0-178 | keys 192-273 | keys 274-412 | keys 412-611 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Page 0 | Page 1 | Page 2 | Page 3 |

## Fence Pointer Example

Binary Search for 321

| RAM (Fence Pointer Table): |  |  | $273<312 \leq 412$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 178 | 273 | 412 | 611 | 913 | 975 | ... |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |


| Disk: | keys 0-178 | keys 192-273 | keys 274-412 | keys 412-611 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Page 0 | Page 1 | Page 2 | Page 3 |

## Fence Pointer Example

Binary Search for 321


## Binary Search with Fence Pointers

Step 1: Binary search the fence pointer table

- $\mathbf{O}(\log (n)-\log (C))$ steps
- All in memory, 0 disk reads

Step 2: Load page

- 1 step, 1 disk read

Step 3: Binary search within page

- $\mathbf{O}(\log (C))$ steps
- All in memory, 0 disk reads
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- 1 step, 1 disk read
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I/O: O(1)
Memory?

## Binary Search with Fence Pointers

Step 1: Binary search the fence pointer table

- $\mathbf{O}(\log (n)-\log (C))$ steps
- All in memory, 0 disk reads

Step 2: Load page

- 1 step, 1 disk read

Step 3: Binary search within page

- $\mathbf{O}(\log (C))$ steps
- All in memory, 0 disk reads

Runtime: $O(\log (n))$
I/O: O(1)
Memory: O(n)
We need the entire fence pointer table in memory at all times :(
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Records per page, $C$, is a constant, size of the fence pointer table is $\boldsymbol{n} / \mathrm{C}$
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- Search the fence pointer table, then search the page

I/O Complexity: 1 page read $=0(1)$

- Load the single page found by searching the fence pointer table

Memory Complexity: $O(n / C+C)=O(n)$

- Need to store the fence pointer table (at all times), and one additional page that we load after the fence pointer table search


## What about Runtime/Memory Complexity?

Records per page, $C$, is a constant, size of the fence pointer table is $\boldsymbol{n} / \mathbf{C}$
Runtime Complexity: $\log (n / C)+\log (C)=O(\log (n))$

- Search the fence pointer table, then search the page

I/O Com $O(n)$ is not ideal... and what if the fence pointer table

- Loar gets too big for memory?

Memory Complexity: $O(n / C+C)=O(n)$

- Need to store the fence pointer table (at all times), and one additional page that we load after the fence pointer table search


## Improving on Fence Pointers

At some point, we will have to store the fence pointers on Disk...
In our current example with 4KB pages, and 8B keys, we can fit 512 keys per page

## Improving on Fence Pointers

At some point, we will have to store the fence pointers on Disk...
In our current example with 4KB pages, and 8B keys, we can fit 512 keys per page

Idea: What if we binary search the fence pointers on disk?

## Improving on Fence Pointers

## With our current example:

- We can store 5128 Beys per 4KB page ( $2^{9}$ keys per page)
- $2^{20}$ records $/ 64$ records per page $=2^{14}$ pages of records
- $2^{14}$ fence pointer keys $=2^{5}$ pages
- Binary search of the pointer key pages will require $\log \left(2^{5}\right)=5$ loads

In general: $\log (n)-\log (C)-\log (k e y s / p a g e)$

## Improving on Fence Pointers

## With our current example:

- We can store 5128 Beys per 4KB page ( $2^{9}$ keys per page)
- $2^{20}$ records $/ 64$ records per page $=2^{14}$ pages of records
- $2^{14}$ fence pointer keys $=2^{5}$ pages
- Binary search of the pointer key pages will require $\log \left(2^{5}\right)=5$ loads

In general: $\log (n)-\log (C)-\log ($ keys $/$ page $) \leftarrow$ Still $O(\log (n))$

## Improving on Fence Pointers

IO Complexity: $\log (n)-\log \left(C_{\text {data }}\right)-\log \left(C_{\text {key }}\right)=O(\log (n))$

- $C_{\text {data }}=$ records per page (ie: 64)
- $C_{\text {key }}=$ keys per page (ie: 512)

Can we improve our search of the on-disk Fence Pointer Table...?

## Improving on Fence Pointers

Idea: A fence pointer table for our fence pointer table!
(and if that fence pointer table is too big... a fence pointer table for that table...and so on and so on and so on... until we have one that fits in memory)

## Improving on Fence Pointers
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2. Load page and binary search for record
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## Improving on Fence Pointers

III
Fence pointer array (in memory)
$\square$ Fence pointer array (in a page on disk)

Page of actual data
2. Load and search Level 2 page


1. Binary Search @ Level 0 to find Level 1 page


## Improving on Fence Pointers

II
Fence pointer array (in memory)
$\square$ Fence pointer array (in a page on disk)

Page of actual data
2. Load and search



1. Binary Search @ Level 0 to find Level 1 page


## Improving on Fence Pointers

11
Fence pointer array (in memory)
$\square$ Fence pointer array (in a page on disk)
2. Load and search Level 1 page to find Level 2 page
3. Load and search Level 2 page to find data page
4. Load and search data page to find the record



1. Binary Search @ Level 0 to find Level 1 page


## tmproving onFence Peinters ISAM Index

Fence pointer array (in memory)
Fence pointer array (in a page on disk)
2. Load and search Level 1 page to find Level 2 page
3. Load and search Level 2 page to find data page
4. Load and search data page to find the record



1. Binary Search @ Level 0 to find Level 1 page


## ISAM Index

## IO Complexity:

- 1 read at LO (or assume already in memory)
- 1 read at L1
- 1 read at L2
- 1 read at $L_{\text {max }}$
- 1 read at data level


## ISAM Index

How many levels will there be (this isn't a binary tree...)
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## ISAM Index

How many levels will there be (this isn't a binary tree...)

- Level 0: 1 page w/ $C_{\text {key }}$ keys
- Level 1: Up to $C_{\text {key }}$ pages w/ $C_{\text {key }}{ }^{2}$ keys
- Level 2: Up to $\boldsymbol{C}_{\text {key }}{ }^{2}$ pages w/ $\boldsymbol{C}_{\text {key }}{ }^{3}$ keys
- Level max: Up to $\mathbf{C}_{\text {key }}{ }^{\text {max }}$ pages $w / C_{\text {key }}{ }^{\text {max+1 }}$ keys
- Data Level: Up to $\boldsymbol{C}_{\text {key }}{ }^{\text {max+1 }}$ pages $\mathrm{W} / \boldsymbol{C}_{\text {data }} \boldsymbol{C}_{\text {key }}{ }^{\text {max+1 }}$ records


## ISAM Index

$$
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## ISAM Index

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=C_{d a t a} C_{k e y}^{\max +1} \\
& \frac{n}{C_{d a t a}}=C_{k e y}^{\max +1} \\
& \log _{C_{k e y}}\left(\frac{n}{C_{d a t a}}\right)=\text { max }+1 \\
& \log _{C_{k e y}}(n)-\log _{C_{k e y}}\left(C_{d a t a}\right)=\max +1 \\
& \text { Number of Levels: } O\left(\log _{C_{k e y}}(n)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## ISAM Index

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & =C_{d a t a} C_{k e y}^{\max +1} \\
\frac{n}{C_{d a t a}} & =C_{k e y}^{\max +1} \\
\log _{C_{k e y}}\left(\frac{n}{C_{d a t a}}\right) & =\max +1 \\
\log _{C_{k e y}}(n)-\log _{C_{k e y}}\left(C_{d a t a}\right) & =\max +1
\end{aligned}
$$

Note this isn't base 2!
Number of Levels: $O\left(\log _{C_{k e y}}(n)\right)$

## ISAM Index

Like Binary Search, but "Cache-Friendly"

- Still takes O(log(n)) steps
- Still requires $\mathbf{O}(1)$ memory (1 page at a time)
- Now requires $\log _{\text {ckey }}(n)$ loads from disk $\left(\log _{\text {ckey }}(n) \ll \log _{2}(n)\right)$


## ISAM Index

What if the data changes?

