

SYNTACTIC SEMANTICS:  
FOUNDATIONS OF COMPUTATIONAL NATURAL-LANGUAGE  
UNDERSTANDING

In Eric Dietrich (ed.), *Thinking Computers and Virtual Persons:  
Essays on the Intentionality of Machines*  
(San Diego: Academic Press, 1994): 225–273.

Originally published in:  
James H. Fetzer (ed.), *Aspects of Artificial Intelligence*  
(Dordrecht, Holland: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1988): 81–131.

William J. Rapaport

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering and Center for Cognitive Science  
State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260

rapaport@cse.buffalo.edu, <http://www.cse.buffalo.edu/~rapaport>

ERRATA

PAGE	PARAGRAPH	LINE	ERROR	CORRECTION
226	1	8	can <i>not</i>	cannot
232	1	6	natural-language	natural language
232	3	7, 8	$[\varphi_2]$	$[\varphi_2]$
235	2	6, 9 (–5, –2)	intentional	intensional
236	1	2	intentional	intensional
236	2	3	12 ...”	12 ...”.
242	3	–2	interpretation is the	interpretation is, is the
245	4	3	$S_2$ .	$S_2$ .
247	0	8	is which	is what
247	[displayed quotation]	11	intentional	intensional
253	4	–1	$s_1. s_2,$	$s_1. s_2.$
254	0	2	sis	is
254	0	3	$s_2$ swill	$s_2$ will
261			numerous errors in Fig. 10.4	see attached page
267	References	8	(Rochester, NY: Cognitive Science Society)	( <i>University of Rochester</i> ) (Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates)
269	References		Kamp 1984 should be listed	<i>after</i> Kamp 1983
271	References	11	Comnputer	Computer
271	References		Rapaport 1988 should be listed	<i>after</i> Rapaport 1987
271	References	–3 to –5	Reference should be:	
			Russell, B. (1918), The philosophy of logical atomism. In B. Russell, <i>Logic and Knowledge: Essays 1901–1950</i> , R. C. Marsh (ed.), (New York: Capricorn, 1956), 177–281.	