Developing GPU-Enabled Scientific Libraries

Matthew Knepley

Computation Institute University of Chicago Department of Molecular Biology and Physiology Rush University Medical Center

GPU-SMP 2011

GPU Solutions to Multiscale Problems in Science and Engineering Lanzhou, China July 18–21, 2011





Outline



- 2 Linear Systems
- 3 Assembly
- Integration
- 5 Yet To be Done

Main Point

To be widely accepted, GPU computing must be transparent to the user,

and reuse existing infrastructure.

Main Point

To be widely accepted, GPU computing must be transparent to the user,

and reuse existing infrastructure.

Main Point

To be widely accepted, GPU computing must be transparent to the user,

and reuse existing infrastructure.

Lessons from Clusters and MPPs

Failure

- Parallelizing Compilers
- Automatic program decomposition

Success

- MPI (Library Approach)
- PETSc (Parallel Linear Algebra)
- User provides only the mathematical description

Lessons from Clusters and MPPs

Failure

- Parallelizing Compilers
- Automatic program decomposition

Success

- MPI (Library Approach)
- PETSc (Parallel Linear Algebra)
- User provides only the mathematical description





イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

What is PETSc?

How did PETSc Originate?

PETSc was developed as a Platform for Experimentation

We want to experiment with different

- Models
- Discretizations
- Solvers
- Algorithms
 - which blur these boundaries



The Role of PETSc

Developing parallel, nontrivial PDE solvers that deliver high performance is still difficult and requires months (or even years) of concentrated effort.

PETSc is a toolkit that can ease these difficulties and reduce the development time, but it is not a black-box PDE solver, nor a silver bullet.

- Barry Smith

GPU-SMP

8/85

Advice from Bill Gropp

You want to think about how you decompose your data structures, how you think about them globally. [...] If you were building a house, you'd start with a set of blueprints that give you a picture of what the whole house looks like. You wouldn't start with a bunch of tiles and say. "Well I'll put this tile down on the ground, and then I'll find a tile to go next to it." But all too many people try to build their parallel programs by creating the smallest possible tiles and then trying to have the structure of their code emerge from the chaos of all these little pieces. You have to have an organizing principle if you're going to survive making your code parallel.

(http://www.rce-cast.com/Podcast/rce-28-mpich2.html)

< 🗇 🕨 < 🖃 >

A freely available and supported research code for the parallel solution of nonlinear algebraic equations

Free

- Download from http://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc
- Free for everyone, including industrial users

Supported

- Hyperlinked manual, examples, and manual pages for all routines
- Hundreds of tutorial-style examples
- Support via email: petsc-maint@mcs.anl.gov

Usable from C, C++, Fortran 77/90, Matlab, Julia, and Python

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

What is PETSc?

- Portable to any parallel system supporting MPI, including:
 - Tightly coupled systems
 - Cray XT6, BG/Q, NVIDIA Fermi, K Computer
 - Loosely coupled systems, such as networks of workstations
 - IBM, Mac, iPad/iPhone, PCs running Linux or Windows
- PETSc History
 - Begun September 1991
 - Over 60,000 downloads since 1995 (version 2)
 - Currently 400 per month
- PETSc Funding and Support
 - Department of Energy
 - SciDAC, MICS Program, AMR Program, INL Reactor Program
 - National Science Foundation
 - CIG, CISE, Multidisciplinary Challenge Program

A (1) > A (2) > A

What is PETSc?

The PETSc Team



Bill Gropp



Jed Brown



Hong Zhang

M. Knepley (UC)



Barry Smith



Matt Knepley



Mark Adams



Satish Balay



Lisandro Dalcin



Toby Issac

12/85

Computational Scientists

Earth Science

- PyLith (CIG)
- Underworld (Monash)
- Magma Dynamics (LDEO, Columbia, Oxford)

Subsurface Flow and Porous Media

- STOMP (DOE)
- PFLOTRAN (DOE)

Computational Scientists

OFD

- Firedrake
- Fluidity
- OpenFOAM
- freeCFD
- OpenFVM

MicroMagnetics

• MagPar

Fusion

- XGC
- BOUT++
- NIMROD

-

A (10) A (10)

Algorithm Developers

Iterative methods

- Deflated GMRES
- LGMRES
- QCG
- SpecEst

Preconditioning researchers

- Prometheus (Adams)
- ParPre (Eijkhout)
- FETI-DP (Klawonn and Rheinbach)

Algorithm Developers

Finite Elements

- libMesh
- MOOSE
- PETSc-FEM
- Deal II
- OOFEM

Other Solvers

- Fast Multipole Method (PetFMM)
- Radial Basis Function Interpolation (PetRBF)
- Eigensolvers (SLEPc)
- Optimization (TAO)

• • • • • • • • • • • • • •

What Can We Handle?

PETSc has run implicit problems with over 500 billion unknowns

- UNIC on BG/P and XT5
- PFLOTRAN for flow in porous media
- PETSc has run on over 290,000 cores efficiently
 - UNIC on the IBM BG/P Jugene at Jülich
 - PFLOTRAN on the Cray XT5 Jaguar at ORNL
- PETSc applications have run at 23% of peak (600 Teraflops)
 - Jed Brown on NERSC Edison
 - HPGMG code

What Can We Handle?

PETSc has run implicit problems with over 500 billion unknowns

- UNIC on BG/P and XT5
- PFLOTRAN for flow in porous media
- PETSc has run on over 290,000 cores efficiently
 - UNIC on the IBM BG/P Jugene at Jülich
 - PFLOTRAN on the Cray XT5 Jaguar at ORNL

• PETSc applications have run at 23% of peak (600 Teraflops)

- Jed Brown on NERSC Edison
- HPGMG code

- **→ → →**

What Can We Handle?

PETSc has run implicit problems with over 500 billion unknowns

- UNIC on BG/P and XT5
- PFLOTRAN for flow in porous media
- PETSc has run on over 290,000 cores efficiently
 - UNIC on the IBM BG/P Jugene at Jülich
 - PFLOTRAN on the Cray XT5 Jaguar at ORNL
- PETSc applications have run at 23% of peak (600 Teraflops)
 - Jed Brown on NERSC Edison
 - HPGMG code

How should the user interact with manycore systems?

Through computational libraries

How should the user interact with the library? Strong, data structure-neutral API (Smith and Gropp, 1996)

How should the library interact with manycore systems?

- Existing library APIs
- Code generation (CUDA, OpenCL, PyCUDA)
- Custom multi-language extensions

How should the user interact with manycore systems?

How should the user interact with the library? Strong, data structure-neutral API (Smith and Gropp, 1996)

How should the library interact with manycore systems?

- Existing library APIs
- Code generation (CUDA, OpenCL, PyCUDA)
- Custom multi-language extensions

How should the user interact with manycore systems? Through computational libraries

How should the user interact with the library?

Strong, data structure-neutral API (Smith and Gropp, 1996)

How should the library interact with manycore systems?

- Existing library APIs
- Code generation (CUDA, OpenCL, PyCUDA)
- Custom multi-language extensions

How should the user interact with manycore systems?

How should the user interact with the library? Strong, data structure-neutral API (Smith and Gropp, 1996)

How should the library interact with manycore systems?

- Existing library APIs
- Code generation (CUDA, OpenCL, PyCUDA)
- Custom multi-language extensions

How should the user interact with manycore systems?

How should the user interact with the library? Strong, data structure-neutral API (Smith and Gropp, 1996)

How should the library interact with manycore systems?

- Existing library APIs
- Code generation (CUDA, OpenCL, PyCUDA)
- Custom multi-language extensions

▲ @ ▶ ▲ ⊇ ▶ ▲

How should the user interact with manycore systems?

How should the user interact with the library? Strong, data structure-neutral API (Smith and Gropp, 1996)

How should the library interact with manycore systems?

- Existing library APIs
- Code generation (CUDA, OpenCL, PyCUDA)
- Custom multi-language extensions

Performance Analysis

In order to understand and predict the performance of GPU code, we need:

good models for the computation, which make it possible to evaluate the efficiency of an implementation;

a flop rate, which tells us how well we are utilizing the hardware;

timing, which is what users care about;

Outline

- Scientific Libraries
- 2 Linear Systems
 - 3 Assembly
 - Integration
 - 5 Yet To be Done

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

GPU-SMP

20/85

Performance Expectations Linear Systems

The Sparse Matrix-Vector product (SpMV) is limited by system memory bandwidth, rather than by peak flop rate.

- We expect bandwidth ratio speedup (3x-6x for most systems)
- Memory movement is more important than minimizing flops
- Kernel is a vectorized, segmented sum (Blelloch, Heroux, and Zagha: CMU-CS-93-173)

All computations in this presentation are memory bandwidth limited. We have a *bandwidth peak*, the maximum flop rate achievable given a bandwidth. This depends on β , the ratio of bytes transferred to flops done by the algorithm.

Processor	BW (GB/s)	Peak (GF/s)	BW Peak* (GF/s)
Core 2 Duo	4	34	1
GeForce 9400M	21	54	5
GTX 285	159	1062	40
Tesla M2050	144	1030	36

*Bandwidth peak is shown for $\beta = 4$

STREAM Benchmark

Simple benchmark program measuring sustainable memory bandwidth

- Protoypical operation is Triad (WAXPY): $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{y} + \alpha \mathbf{x}$
- Measures the memory bandwidth bottleneck (much below peak)
- Datasets outstrip cache

Machine	Peak (MF/s)	Triad (MB/s)	MF/MW	Eq. MF/s
Matt's Laptop	1700	1122.4	12.1	93.5 (5.5%)
Intel Core2 Quad	38400	5312.0	57.8	442.7 (1.2%)
Tesla 1060C	984000	102000.0*	77.2	8500.0 (0.8%)

Table: Bandwidth limited machine performance

http://www.cs.virginia.edu/stream/

Linear Systems

Analysis of Sparse Matvec (SpMV)

Assumptions

- No cache misses
- No waits on memory references

Notation

- m Number of matrix rows
- nz Number of nonzero matrix elements
 - V Number of vectors to multiply

We can look at bandwidth needed for peak performance

$$\left(8+\frac{2}{V}\right)\frac{m}{nz}+\frac{6}{V}$$
 byte/flop (1)

or achieveable performance given a bandwith BW

$$\frac{Vnz}{(8V+2)m+6nz}BW \text{ Mflop/s}$$
(2)

M. Knepley (UC)

Linear Algebra Interfaces

Strong interfaces mean:

- Easy code interoperability (LAPACK, Trilinos)
- Easy portability (GPU)
- Seamless optimization

VECCUDA

Strategy: Define a new Vec implementation

- Uses Thrust for data storage and operations on GPU
- Supports full PETSc Vec interface
- Inherits PETSc scalar type
- Can be activated at runtime, -vec_type cuda
- PETSc provides memory coherence mechanism

Also define new Mat implementations

- Uses Cusp for data storage and operations on GPU
- Supports full PETSc Mat interface, some ops on CPU
- Can be activated at runtime, -mat_type aijcuda
- Notice that parallel matvec necessitates off-GPU data transfer

GPU-SMP

27/85


Solvers come for Free

Preliminary Implementation of PETSc Using GPU, Minden, Smith, Knepley, 2010

- All linear algebra types work with solvers
- Entire solve can take place on the GPU
 - Only communicate scalars back to CPU
- GPU communication cost could be amortized over several solves
- Preconditioners are a problem
 - Cusp has a promising AMG

Example Driven Cavity Velocity-Vorticity with Multigrid

```
ex50 -da_vec_type seqcusp
  -da_mat_type aijcusp -mat_no_inode # Setup types
  -da_grid_x 100 -da_grid_y 100 # Set grid size
  -pc_type none -pc_mg_levels 1 # Setup solver
  -preload off -cuda_synchronize # Setup run
  -log_summary
```

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト 二日

Example PFLOTRAN

Flow Solver $32 \times 32 \times 32$ grid

Routine	Time (s)	MFlops	MFlops/s
CPU			
KSPSolve	8.3167	4370	526
MatMult	1.5031	769	512
GPU			
KSPSolve	1.6382	4500	2745
MatMult	0.3554	830	2337



P. Lichtner, G. Hammond, R. Mills, B. Phillip

Serial Performance NVIDIA GeForce 9400M



Serial Performance NVIDIA Tesla M2050



Serial Performance NVIDIA Tesla M2050



Outline

- Scientific Libraries
- 2 Linear Systems

3 Assembly

- Integration
- 5 Yet To be Done

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

Matrix Assembly, aggregation of inputs, is also limited by memory bandwidth, rather than by peak flop rate.

- We expect bandwidth ratio speedup (3x–6x for most systems)
- Input for FEM is a set of element matrices
- Kernel is dominated by sort (submission to TOMS)

Assembly Interface

A single new method is added:

MatSetValuesBatch (Mat J, PetscInt Ne, PetscInt NI, PetscInt *elemRows, PetscScalar *elemMats)

Thus, a user just batches his input to achieve massive concurrency.

Copy elemRows and elemMat to device

- Allocate storage for intermediate COO matrix
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Allocate storage for intermediate COO matrix
 - Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Allocate storage for intermediate COO matrix
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input

Convenience Iterators

```
repeated_range<IndexArrayIterator>
  rowInd(elemRows.begin(), elemRows.end(), NI);
tiled_range<IndexArrayIterator>
  colInd(elemRows.begin(), elemRows.end(), NI, NI);
```

$$N_l = 3$$
elemRows 0 1 3
rowInd 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 | 3 3 3
colInd 0 1 3 | 0 1 3 | 0 1 3

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- 2 Allocate storage for intermediate COO matrix
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Sort COO matrix by row and column
 - Get permutation from (stably) sorting columns
 - 2 Gather rows with this permutation
 - In the second second
 - Gather columns with this permutation
 - Gather values with this permutation

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- 2 Allocate storage for intermediate COO matrix
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Sort COO matrix by row and column
 - Get permutation from (stably) sorting columns
 - ② Gather rows with this permutation
 - Get permutation from (stably) sorting rows
 - Gather columns with this permutation
 - Gather values with this permutation

GPU-SMP

39/85

Multikey Sort

Initial input

(1 0) (3 1) (0 0) (1 1) (3 3) (0 1) (0 3) (3 0) (1 3)

Multikey Sort

Number pairs

Index

(1 0) 0 (3 1) 1 (0 0) 2 3 (1 1) 4 (3 3) (0 5 1) 6 (0 3) 7 (3 0) 8 (1 3)

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・

Multikey Sort

After stable sort of columns

Index



< 47 ▶

- 3 →

After gather of rows using column permutation, and implicit renumbering

Index



After stable sort of rows, and gather of columns using row permutation

Index



- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Allocate storage for intermediate COO matrix
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Sort COO matrix by row and column
- Compute number of unique (i,j) entries using inner_product()

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- 2 Allocate storage for intermediate COO matrix
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Sort COO matrix by row and column
- Compute number of unique (i,j) entries using inner_product()

Initial input

(0 0) (0 1) (0 1) 3) (0 (1 0) (1 1) (3 0) 0) (3 (3 0)

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

Duplicate input

(0 0) 0) (0 (0 1) (0 1) (0 1) (0 1) (0 3) (0 3) (1 0) (1 0) 1) (1 (1 1) (3 0) (3 0) (3 0) (3 0) (3 0) (3 0)

Shift new sequence and truncate initial input

(0 0) (0 1) 1) (0 1) (0 3) (0 1) (0 (0 3) (1 0) (1 0) (1 1) (1 1) (3 0) (3 (3 0) 0) (3 0) (3 0)

"Multiply entries" using not-equals binary operator

(0 0) (0 1) (0) 1) (0 1) 1) 3) (0 (0 (0 3) (1 0) \implies (1 0) 1) (1 \implies (1 1) (3 0) \implies (3 0) (3 0) \implies 0 (3 (3 0) 0) \implies 0

Reduction of entries plus 1 gives number of unique entries



- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Allocate storage for intermediate COO matrix
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Sort COO matrix by row and column
- Compute number of unique (i,j) entries using inner_product()
- 6 Allocate COO storage for final matrix
- Sum values with the same (i,j) index using reduce_by_key()
- Convert to AIJ matrix
- Copy from GPU (if necessary)

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Allocate storage for intermediate COO matrix
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Sort COO matrix by row and column
- Compute number of unique (i,j) entries using inner_product()
- Allocate COO storage for final matrix
- Sum values with the same (i,j) index using reduce_by_key()
- Convert to AIJ matrix
- Copy from GPU (if necessary)

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Allocate storage for intermediate COO matrix
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Sort COO matrix by row and column
- Compute number of unique (i,j) entries using inner_product()
- Allocate COO storage for final matrix
- Sum values with the same (i,j) index using reduce_by_key()
- Convert to AIJ matrix
- Copy from GPU (if necessary)

GPU-SMP

51/85

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Allocate storage for intermediate COO matrix
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Sort COO matrix by row and column
- Compute number of unique (i,j) entries using inner_product()
- Allocate COO storage for final matrix
- Sum values with the same (i,j) index using reduce_by_key()
- Onvert to AIJ matrix
- Opy from GPU (if necessary)

Copy elemRows and elemMat to device

- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Communicate off-process entry sizes
 - Find number of off-process rows (serial)
 - 2 Map rows to processes (serial)
 - Send number of rows to each process (collective)

Copy elemRows and elemMat to device

Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input

- Ommunicate off-process entry sizes
 - Find number of off-process rows (serial)
 - Map rows to processes (serial)
 - Send number of rows to each process (collective)

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Communicate off-process entry sizes
 - Find number of off-process rows (serial)
 - 2 Map rows to processes (serial)
 - Send number of rows to each process (collective)

GPU-SMP

52/85

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Ommunicate off-process entry sizes
- Allocate storage for intermediate diagonal COO matrix
- Partition entries
 - Partition into diagonal and off-diagonal&off-process using partition_copy ()
 - Partition again into off-diagonal and off-process using stable_partition ()

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Ommunicate off-process entry sizes
- Allocate storage for intermediate diagonal COO matrix
- Partition entries
 - Partition into diagonal and off-diagonal&off-process using partition_copy ()
 - Partition again into off-diagonal and off-process using stable_partition ()

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >
- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Communicate off-process entry sizes
- Allocate storage for intermediate diagonal COO matrix
- Partition entries
 - Partition into diagonal and off-diagonal&off-process using partition_copy()
 - Partition again into off-diagonal and off-process using stable_partition ()

Partitioning Entries Process owns rows [0,3)

Initial input

(0,0)		(0,2)	(0,3)
	(\mathcal{M}_{1})		(0,3)
(2,0)		(2,2)	(0,3)
(3,0)	(3,1)	(3,2)	(3,3)

(3 0) (0 1) (3 3) (0) 3) (0 0) (3 1) (1 3) (1 1) (1)0)

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

Partitioning Entries Process owns rows [0, 3)

Partition into diagonal, and off-diagonal & off-process entries

	(0	0)
Diagonal	(1	1)
Diagonal	(0	1)
	(1	0)
	(3	1)
Off-diagonal	(3	0)
and	(1	3)
Off-process	(3	3)
	(0	3)

Partitioning Entries Process owns rows [0, 3)

Partition again into off-diagonal and off-process entries

	(0	0)
Diagonal	(1	1)
	(0	1)
	(1	0)
Off diagonal	(1	3)
On-ulayonal	(0	3)
	(3	1)
Off-process	(3	0)
	(3	3)

< 🗇 🕨 < 🖃 🕨

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Ommunicate off-process entry sizes
- Allocate storage for intermediate diagonal COO matrix
- Partition entries
- Send off-process entries
- O Allocate storage for intermediate off-diagonal COO matrix
- Repartition entries into diagonal and off-diagonal using partition_copy ()
- Repeat serial assembly on both matrices

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Ommunicate off-process entry sizes
- Allocate storage for intermediate diagonal COO matrix
- Partition entries
- Send off-process entries
- O Allocate storage for intermediate off-diagonal COO matrix
- Repartition entries into diagonal and off-diagonal using partition_copy ()
- Repeat serial assembly on both matrices

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Communicate off-process entry sizes
- Allocate storage for intermediate diagonal COO matrix
- Partition entries
- Send off-process entries
- Allocate storage for intermediate off-diagonal COO matrix
- Repartition entries into diagonal and off-diagonal using partition_copy ()
- Repeat serial assembly on both matrices

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Communicate off-process entry sizes
- Allocate storage for intermediate diagonal COO matrix
- Partition entries
- Send off-process entries
- Allocate storage for intermediate off-diagonal COO matrix
- Repartition entries into diagonal and off-diagonal using partition_copy ()
- Repeat serial assembly on both matrices

- Copy elemRows and elemMat to device
- Use repeat&tile iterators to expand row input
- Communicate off-process entry sizes
- Allocate storage for intermediate diagonal COO matrix
- Partition entries
- Send off-process entries
- Allocate storage for intermediate off-diagonal COO matrix
- Repartition entries into diagonal and off-diagonal using partition_copy ()
- Repeat serial assembly on both matrices

Serial Performance NVIDIA GTX 285



Outline

- Scientific Libraries
- 2 Linear Systems





Integration

- Analytic Flexibility
- Computational Flexibility
- Efficiency

Yet To be Done

What are the Benefits for current PDE Code?

Low Order FEM on GPUs

- Analytic Flexibility
- Computational Flexibility
- Efficiency

What are the Benefits for current PDE Code?

Low Order FEM on GPUs

Analytic Flexibility

Computational Flexibility

Efficiency

What are the Benefits for current PDE Code?

Low Order FEM on GPUs

- Analytic Flexibility
- Computational Flexibility

Efficiency

What are the Benefits for current PDE Code?

Low Order FEM on GPUs

- Analytic Flexibility
- Computational Flexibility
- Efficiency

Outline



Integration

Analytic Flexibility

- Computational Flexibility
- Efficiency

イロト イヨト イヨト イ

Analytic Flexibility

Analytic Flexibility

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}} \nabla \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
(3)

element = FiniteElement('Lagrange', tetrahedron, 1) v = TestFunction(element) u = TrialFunction(element) a = inner(grad(v), grad(u))*dx

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Analytic Flexibility

Analytic Flexibility

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}} \nabla \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
(3)

```
element = FiniteElement('Lagrange', tetrahedron, 1)
v = TestFunction(element)
u = TrialFunction(element)
a = inner(grad(v), grad(u))*dx
```

Analytic Flexibility Linear Elasticity

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \left(\nabla \vec{\phi}_i(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla^T \vec{\phi}_i(\mathbf{x}) \right) : \left(\nabla \vec{\phi}_j(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla \vec{\phi}_j(\mathbf{x}) \right) d\mathbf{x}$$
(4)

element = VectorElement('Lagrange', tetrahedron, 1)

- v = TestFunction(element)
- u = TrialFunction(element)
- a = inner(sym(grad(v)), sym(grad(u))) * dx

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Analytic Flexibility Linear Elasticity

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \left(\nabla \vec{\phi}_i(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla^T \vec{\phi}_i(\mathbf{x}) \right) : \left(\nabla \vec{\phi}_j(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla \vec{\phi}_j(\mathbf{x}) \right) d\mathbf{x}$$
(4)

element = VectorElement('Lagrange', tetrahedron, 1)

- v = TestFunction(element)
- u = TrialFunction(element)
- a = inner(sym(grad(v)), sym(grad(u))) * dx

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Analytic Flexibility Full Elasticity

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \left(\nabla \vec{\phi}_i(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla^T \vec{\phi}_i(\mathbf{x}) \right) : C : \left(\nabla \vec{\phi}_j(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla \vec{\phi}_j(\mathbf{x}) \right) d\mathbf{x}$$
(5)

Currently broken in FEniCS release

M. Knepley (UC)

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Analytic Flexibility

Analytic Flexibility Full Elasticity

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \left(\nabla \vec{\phi}_i(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla^T \vec{\phi}_i(\mathbf{x}) \right) : C : \left(\nabla \vec{\phi}_j(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla \vec{\phi}_j(\mathbf{x}) \right) d\mathbf{x}$$
(5)

element	= VectorElement('Lagrange', tetrahedron, 1)
CElement	= lensorElement(Lagrange , tetranedron , 1,
	(dim, dim, dim, dim))
v = TestF	unction (element)
u = Trialf	⁻ unction(element)
C = Coeff	iicient (cElement)
i, j, k,	I = indices(4)
a = sym(g	rad(v))[i,j]*C[i,j,k,l]*sym(grad(u))[k,l]*dx

Currently broken in FEniCS release

M. Knepley (UC)

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回</p>

Analytic Flexibility Full Elasticity

$$\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathcal{T}} \left(\nabla \vec{\phi}_i(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla^T \vec{\phi}_i(\mathbf{x}) \right) : C : \left(\nabla \vec{\phi}_j(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla \vec{\phi}_j(\mathbf{x}) \right) d\mathbf{x}$$
(5)

element cElement	<pre>= VectorElement('Lagrange', tetrahedron, 1) = TensorElement('Lagrange', tetrahedron, 1,</pre>	
v = TestF u = Trial C = Coef	Function (element) Function (element) ficient (cElement)	
a = sym(grad(v))[i, j]*C[i, j, k, l]*sym(grad(u))[k, l]*dx		

Currently broken in FEniCS release

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

Form Decomposition

Element integrals are decomposed into <u>analytic</u> and <u>geometric</u> parts:

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}} \nabla \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
(6)

$$= \int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{\partial \phi_i(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \phi_j(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{\alpha}} d\mathbf{x}$$
(7)

$$= \int_{\mathcal{T}_{ref}} \frac{\partial \xi_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{\beta}} \frac{\partial \xi_{\gamma}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \phi_{j}(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{\gamma}} |J| d\mathbf{x}$$
(8)

$$= \frac{\partial \xi_{\beta}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial \xi_{\gamma}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} |J| \int_{\mathcal{T}_{ref}} \frac{\partial \phi_i(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{\beta}} \frac{\partial \phi_j(\xi)}{\partial \xi_{\gamma}} d\mathbf{x}$$
(9)

$$= \qquad G^{\beta\gamma}(\mathcal{T})K^{ij}_{\beta\gamma} \qquad (10)$$

Coefficients are also put into the geometric part.

M. Kne	epley	(UC)
--------	-------	------

Weak Form Processing

```
from ffc.analysis import analyze_forms
from ffc.compiler import compute_ir
parameters = ffc.default_parameters()
parameters['representation'] = 'tensor'
analysis = analyze_forms([a,L], {}, parameters)
ir = compute_ir(analysis, parameters)
a_K = ir[2][0]['AK'][0][0]
a_G = ir[2][0]['AK'][0][1]
K = a_K.A0.astype(numpy.float32)
G = a G
```

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Outline



Integration

- Analytic Flexibility
- Computational Flexibility
- Efficiency

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イ

Computational Flexibility

We generate different computations on the fly,

and can change

- Element Batch Size
- Number of Concurrent Elements
- Loop unrolling
- Interleaving stores with computation

Computational Flexibility

Computational Flexibility Basic Contraction



M. Knepley (UC)

GPU

GPU-SMP 69 / 85

Computational Flexibility

Computational Flexibility Basic Contraction



69 / 85

Computational Flexibility

Computational Flexibility Basic Contraction



69 / 85

Computational Flexibility

Computational Flexibility Basic Contraction



M. Knepley (UC)

GPU

GPU-SMP 69 / 85

Computational Flexibility

Computational Flexibility Element Batch Size



Computational Flexibility

Computational Flexibility Element Batch Size



Computational Flexibility

Computational Flexibility Element Batch Size



M. Knepley (UC)

GPU-SMP 70 / 85

Computational Flexibility

Computational Flexibility Element Batch Size



M. Knepley (UC)

GPU-SMP 70 / 85

Computational Flexibility

Computational Flexibility Concurrent Elements



71/85
Integration

Computational Flexibility

Computational Flexibility Concurrent Elements



Integration

Computational Flexibility

Computational Flexibility Concurrent Elements



M. Knepley (UC)

GPU

Integration

Computational Flexibility

Computational Flexibility Concurrent Elements



Computational Flexibility

Computational Flexibility

/* GK contra	ac	tion: unroll	=	full	*/
E[0] += G[0]	*	K[0];			
E[0] += G[1]	*	K[1];			
E[0] += G[2]	*	K[2];			
E[0] += G[3]	*	K[3];			
E[0] += G[4]	*	K[4];			
E[0] += G[5]	*	K[5];			
E[0] += G[6]	*	K[6];			
E[0] += G[7]	*	K[7];			
E[0] += G[8]	*	K[8];			

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

Computational Flexibility

```
/* G K contraction: unroll = none */
for(int b = 0; b < 1; ++b) {
    const int n = b*1;
    for(int alpha = 0; alpha < 3; ++alpha) {
        for(int beta = 0; beta < 3; ++beta) {
            E[b] += G[n*9+alpha*3+beta] * K[alpha*3+beta];
        }
}</pre>
```

Computational Flexibility Interleaving stores

```
/* G K contraction: unroll = none */
for(int b = 0; b < 4; ++b) {
    const int n = b*1;
    for(int alpha = 0; alpha < 3; ++alpha) {
        for(int beta = 0; beta < 3; ++beta) {
            E[b] += G[n*9+alpha*3+beta] * K[alpha*3+beta];
        }
    }
    /* Store contraction results */
elemMat[Eoffset+idx+0] = E[0];
elemMat[Eoffset+idx+32] = E[2];
elemMat[Eoffset+idx+48] = E[3];</pre>
```

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Computational Flexibility Interleaving stores

```
n = 0;
for(int alpha = 0; alpha < 3; ++alpha) {
    for(int beta = 0; beta < 3; ++beta) {
        E += G[n*9+alpha*3+beta] * K[alpha*3+beta];
    }
}
/* Store contraction result */
elemMat[Eoffset+idx+0] = E;
n = 1; E = 0.0; /* contract */
elemMat[Eoffset+idx+16] = E;
n = 2; E = 0.0; /* contract */
elemMat[Eoffset+idx+32] = E;
n = 3; E = 0.0; /* contract */
elemMat[Eoffset+idx+48] = E;
```

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

GPU-SMP

Outline



Integration

- Analytic Flexibility
- Computational Flexibility
- Efficiency

イロン イ理 とくほとく ほ

Performance Influence of Element Batch Sizes



Performance Influence of Element Batch Sizes



Performance Influence of Code Structure



Performance Influence of Code Structure



Price-Performance Comparison of CPU and GPU 3D P₁ Laplacian Integration

Model	Price (\$)	GF/s	MF/s\$
GTX285	390	90	231
Core 2 Duo	300	2	6.6

< 🗇 🕨 < 🖃 >

Price-Performance Comparison of CPU and GPU 3D P₁ Laplacian Integration

Model	Price (\$)	GF/s	MF/s\$
GTX285	390	90	231
Core 2 Duo	300	12*	40

* Jed Brown Optimization Engine

GPU-SMP

Outline

- Scientific Libraries
- 2 Linear Systems
- 3 Assembly
- Integration
- 5 Yet To be Done

• • • • • • • • • • • •

GPU-SMP

Current Model: PETSC

- Single language
- Hand optimized
- 3rd party libraries
- new hardware

Current Model: PETSC

- Single language
- Hand optimized
- 3rd party libraries
- new hardware

GPU-SMP

Current Model: PETSC

- Single language
- Hand optimized
- 3rd party libraries
- new hardware

Current Model: PETSC

- Single language
- Hand optimized
- 3rd party libraries
- new hardware

Alternative Model: PetCLAW

- Multiple language through Python
- Optimization through code generation
- 3rd party libaries through wrappers
- New hardware through code generation

Yet To be Done

New Model for Scientific Software



What Do We Still Need?

Better integration of code generation

- Match CUDA driver interface to CUDA runtime interface
- Extend code generation to quadrature schemes
- Kernel fusion in assembly

Better hierarchical parallelism

- Larger scale parallel GPU tests
- Synchronization reduction in current algorithms

GPU-SMP